Class B

File No. PWD 18 TBP 57.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT

Notes/Correspondence/Collection

Department P.W.D. Section Major Irrigation

Subject:— TBP — Common ~~portion~~ works relating to the T.B.P. and R.D.S. — transfer of control to the T.B. Board

Earlier References:—

Later References:—

23/2/56
9/8/70

(Classification A/B/C)

Notes Pages.....
Correspondence Pages.....
For/Not for Section note Book
To be destroyed after.....

B. S. Subbarayadasy
Under Secretary to Government.
27/8/71

To Receive on 10 more years
10/12/70

2148

437/A
C.O.

No.34(5)/56-DW.VI.
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF IRRIGATION AND POWER
*****

From

Shri S.Narayanaswamy,
Under Secretary to the Government of India.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Public Works Department.
Bangalore.

Date 21/2
PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTS.

New Delhi, the 22 Feb. 1955.

Subject:- Tungabhadra Board - Hyderabad side - Control over - Resolution - Issue of.

.....

Sir,

I am directed to say that the Government of India have had under consideration the question of bringing within the purview of the reconstituted Tungabhadra Board the common works on the Hyderabad side of the Tungabhadra Project. The Government of Hyderabad have agreed to the issue of the draft Resolution (copy attached). I am to request that the Concurrence of your State Government in the issue of the draft resolution or comments if any, thereon may please be communicated to this Ministry at an early date.

Yours faithfully,

(S.Narayanaswamy)
Under Secretary to the Government of India.

3) With reference to paras 1-2 supra, it is submitted that the question of control of the Tungabhadra Board over the Hyderabad side of the T.B. Projects was considered by the Board at its fifth meeting. The decision taken by the Board is at page 29 of the fifth meeting proceedings

T.B. Board — Hyderabad side — control over
437 GoI
PWC(A)

2

Flagged "V". Now the ~~proposal of the~~ ~~Godavari's~~ draft resolution sent by the GoI for bringing the common works on the Hyderabad side of the T.B. project within the purview of the Reconstituted T.B. Board is for concurrence and comments of Mysore

T.B. Board — Hyderabad Side —

Mysore Government Secretariat | File No. of 195 . | [App. F. III 2

Serial No.

for orders

Secy (PW)

K
31/3

11) The question of vesting, under which control of the works on the Hyderabad side of the Tungabhadra project, in the Tungabhadra Board was taken up at a time when large-scale reorganisation of States in India on a scale now much consideration had not been thought of. The position has since changed substantially. The Hyderabad side of the project, excepting for a small tail-end portion in Alampur and Gadwal taluks of Raichur District, is going to form part of larger Mysore, and in the future setup of States, the only two States that will be concerned with the Tungabhadra Project are Mysore and Andhra-Telangana. The extension of the jurisdiction of the Board to the Hyderabad side as at present proposed will therefore be mostly a matter of form and not of substance. It is difficult to predict now what arrangements will be made for the administration of the Tungabhadra Project after the reorganisation of States: very probably, the present Board will continue with Hyderabad out of the picture. The Govt. of India might be of the view that if the Hyderabad side of the Project is formally brought under the control of the Board, it would,

Note.—Contn. Sheet

TB Board — Hyderabad Side — control over

4 File No. of 195 437 GOI/29 GOI/PWT(A) Mysore Government Secretariat

Serial No.

a question of continuing the Board with all its powers and jurisdiction even after 30.9.56 (when the ~~[illegible]~~ supply of water from Hyderabad) in order to provide fully and adequately for the administration, maintenance and operation of an Inter-State project like this.

(2) It seems to me that the GOI might be informed that the question may be considered after the States Reorganisation Bill is passed and when arrangements for the administration of the Project are to be made in accordance with the proposal ~~proposed~~ under the Clause 100 of the Bill

3/4 (3) 3/4

(4) 11.4.56

(5) 13/4

(6) It has not been possible to submit these papers personally to the Chief Minister so far. The GOI have reminded telegraphically asking for an urgent reply. It is proposed to send a reply by wire ~~on~~ in accordance with the draft placed below, pending final orders. In the alternative, we will have to agree to the proposal of the GOI, which has already been endorsed by both the Tungabhadra Board

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR REVENUE & PUBLIC WORKS
No. 48
3 APR 1956

Telegram dated 20.4.56 from Sharpower, New Delhi

Reminds for urgent reply.

(6) A draft telegram as per orders of page 5 CF

T/B Board — Hyderabad Side — Control over

Mysore Government Secretariat

File No. of 195 [App. E III-2] 437 GOI 29 59/31 Part A

Serial No.

is put up for appl.
PWD 21/4/56.
Secy (PW)

4
25/4

and by w Govt. of Hyderabad.

Min: Rev (PW) 23/4.

18) 64
23/4

19) Inme ~~their reply also as quickly as possible~~

Issued in No. PWR. 12/GOI dated 24.4.56.

20) The telegram and the confirmation copy have been issued. The file is resubmitted with reference to paras 11 to 14 for further orders.
PWD 25/4/56. 26/4/56.

21) For final orders
Secy (PW)
16
28/4

22) Await reply from GOI
30/4

23) 30/4

Telegram No. 34(5)/56 - PW VI dated 2.5.56 from the Secretary to G.O.I, Ministry of Irrigation and Power.

States that the ~~[illegible]~~ cause of notification/placing over of common works on the Hyderabad side of the Tungabhadra Project by the Tungabhadra Board is necessary to ensure unified control

Note.—Contn. Sheet

T.B. Board — Hyderabad Side — control over.

6

File No. 31 GOJ 46 GOK 195 PWD(A)

Mysore Government Secretariat

Serial No.

over regulation of supplies and therefore requests to communicate concurrence to or comment on the proposed notification.

24) Orders thereon:-

"xxx 12.20 p.m. Put up file at once"

(Initd) S.K.S. 3/5

With reference to the orders in para 24 above the relative file is submitted for orders.

Secy PW 25) 3/5

3/5/56

26) The GOI desire that the question of Cauvery in Hyderabad should be considered straight away. We may agree. There are no remarks to offer regarding the terms of the draft resolution.

Min. Rev & PW & Chief Min. 27) 11/5

3/5

11/5

28) The Hyderabad Government continued to be in-charge and was managing the Tungabhadra Project in its jurisdiction so far. Now that the State is disintegrated, they have agreed to the ~~solution~~ proposal which they did not think of earlier. Such questions should not be disposed of by the Government of

THE MINISTER FOR REVENUE & PUBLIC WORKS OFFICE OF No. 614 4 MAY 1956

T.B. Board — Hyderabad Side — Continued over

Mysore Government Secretariat

File No. 46 of 1956 (A) App. F. III 2

Serial No.

Hyderabad pending settlement of various problems that arise in the course of re-constitution of States. It is for the new State of Mysore and its Government to take a decision on the subject. It is not right for the existing Government of Mysore or for the Government of Hyderabad to take a decision on this important subject and commit the future Government to such decision. We may therefore write to the Government of India to continue the status quo.

CHIEF MINISTER.
14.5.56

Memo No. 34(5)/56-Dw VI
dt 2.5.56 from the Ld. Govt. Ministry of Irr.
forwards confirmation copy of telegram

29) 15/5

30) Ref: paras 28 above. Draft — Submitted for appr.
15/5/56

Secy PW 31) 16/5

32) Fair Dft
19/5

925
22 MAY 1956

33) Ref: Para 32. Fair draft — placed below for appr.
19/5/56

Secy PW 34) 19/5

35) For perusal before issue
Min: Rev & PW

22/5

36) 25/5

37) 29/5

Letter No. 34(5)/56-Dw. VI
dt 21.5.56. from the Ld Govt. Ministry of Irr.

Note.—Contn. Sheet

T.B. Board — Hyderabad Side — Control over

4

File No. 46/5/75 GOI of 195 .
PWA

Mysore Government Secretariat

Serial No. 4

38) The draft letter already put up and referred to in para 33 may now be approved and ordered for issue.

Sd/3
29/5/56

39) For approval to the off put up.

bm 29/5

40) May the draft issue

Secy (W)

31/5

41) Yes

5.6

42

1/6

Issued No. P.W.A. G.O.I 48/Irr 2-56-42 dated 5.6.56

To The Under Secretary to Govt of India. Ministry of Irrigation and Power New Delhi

---

Letter No 34(5)/56-Dw-VI dated 30.6.56 from the U/Secy to Govt Ministry of Irrigation & Power.

Forwards a revised draft Resolution for the concurrence of Mysore Govt.

43) The present letter of the GOI and its enclosures at pages 15 to 20 CF are for perusal with reference to paras 26 to 41 supra. The revised draft Resolution now sent by the Govt of India ~~[illegible]~~ for concurrence of Mysore Govt

T.B. Board — Hyderabad side — control over

Mysore Government Secretariat | File No. | of 195 | [App. F. III 2] 75/124 GOI

Serial No.

includes certain amendments proposed by the Andhra Govt. The present draft notification brings even the regulation of supply of water stored in the reservoir under the control of the Board.

(4) In the circumstances stated by the GOI, the revised draft resolution at pages 18 – 20 C.F is for concurrence or otherwise of Mysore Govt.

6/7/56 (Sd) P.L. See the notfn of 5/12/53 (Flag A). and the draft resolution of 5.02 (page 18 bw). Flag

Sem (PW) 6/7

(4) Reference is invited to para 28 above. The Government of India have reiterated their request to this Government to agree to the issue of the Resolution as proposed.. In doing so, they have brought to notice certain amendments proposed by the Government of Andhra, which have apparently been accepted by the Government of India. Certain clarifications are being asked for as in the draft letter placed below. The matter will be put up for orders after the clarifications are received.

Minister for Rev. & P.W.)

(47) 14/7

(48) 14/7

TB Board — Hyderabad Side — Control over.

10 File No. 124 GoI 174 GoI of 195 part (A)

Mysore Governme Secretariat

Serial No.

To,

The V/s to the Govt of India,
Min: of Irrigation & Power,
New Delhi

Issued No. P.W.A. 73/GoI
Irr. 2-56-62 Dt. 21.7.58
Calling for certain particulars

Letter No. 34(5)/58-SW VI dated 9.8.58 from the Under Secretary to the Govt of India, Ministry of Irrigation and Power clarifies certain points relating to the subject.

49) The G.O.I letter at page 22 C.F furnishes information on the points raised by Mysore in the letter at page 21 C.F. In view of the present letter, ~~[illegible]~~ the revised draft resolution at pages 18-20 C.F proposed to be issued by the Govt of India as for concurrence or otherwise of Mysore Govt. It may be stated here that the concurrence of this Govt to the draft resolution has perhaps to be communicated only after the Hyderabad Govt communicate their views and the same are made known to this Govt.

50) It is desirable that we have to inform GoI to Hyderabad

MS 17/8/58. Sm

Tungabhadra Board — Hyderabad Sub — control over.

Mysore Government Secretariat

File No. 1241 T.L. of 195 [App. F. III 2

Serial No.

Govt before we take a decision

Secy (W)

21/8

51) Draft letter to GOI requesting them to withdraw the views of the Hyderabad Govt. have since been received and to state what they are.

53) Ref: Para 51. Draft submitted for approval.

54) Informal reference Secy (W)

24/8/56

16/8

55) Submitted in Pro. A. No. 107/Gen.

Dtd 24/8. G.O.

Ministry of Irrig & Power

New-Delhi

Letter No. 34(5)/56-DW VI dated 6.9.1956 from the Under Secretary to the Govt. of India, Ministry of Irrigation and Power

forwards a copy of the letter of the Government of Hyderabad.

57) Submitted for perusal of pages 24-25 C.F with reference to para 51 above. The question of issuing a revised resolution

Note.—Contn. Sheet

T.B. Board – Hyderabad Side – Control over.

12 File No. of 195

Mysore Governmen Secretariat

Serial No.

regarding the "Control of the Tungabhadra Board over the Hyderabad Side of the Tungabhadra Project" has been under consideration the Govt. of India ever since April 1955. A draft Resolution of the Govt. of India regarding the subject, with a proviso proposed by the Govt. of Hyderabad was referred to the Tungabhadra Board for comments. The subject was included in the agenda of the 2nd, 3rd, 4th and 5th meetings (55–56) of the Board – vide details at flags V and Y of the agenda for the 3rd meeting.

58) This subject also found a place as a note on important matters prepared by the Chairman, Tungabhadra Board. In that connection it was put up to the council and instructions as on the margin of the page flagged L in the collection placed below were issued.

59) The subject was deferred by the Board several times. Finally at the 5th meeting the Board recorded its comments as at flag Z.

60) After taking into account the views of the Board, the Govt. of India, in consultation with the Govt. of Hyderabad, sent another revised draft resolution on the subject for comments of the Board. The subject was thereupon included in the Agenda for the 7th meeting

TB Board — Hyderabad Side — Control over 13

Mysore Government Secretariat | File No. of 195 | [App. F. III 2

Serial No.

(55-56) of the Board held in March 56 — vide details at flag D. The Board considered the matter and resolved that it had no fu comments to offer on the subject — vide flag

61) Now, it is seen from page 25 CF that the Govt of Hyderabad have agreed to the revised draft resolution (Pages 18-20 CF) ba on the modifications proposed by the Govt of Andhra and sent with letter No. 34(5)/56-Dw VI dated 30.6.56 of the Govt of India (Page, But they have also insisted upon the retention of the proviso for obtaining the previous concurrence of the concerned Govt for utilising its share of waters in other states and also the right of such state to claim water cess for the use of water from its share for areas in other states.

62) In this connection the agenda for Board meetings during ~~56 (55-56)~~ 55-56 and 56-57 and the minutes of all the meetings upto till now were gone through. In all of them there is no mention of Hyderabad having agreed to withdraw the proviso, referred to above, as stated in the Andhra Govt letter at page 17.

63) The draft resolution proposed by the Govt of India — vide pages 18-20 CF — is for consideration in the light of the letter at page 22 CF clarifying certain

Note.—Contn. Sheet

T.B. Board — Hyderabad Side — Control over

64

File No. 174 GOI 209 GOI of 195 PWCAS.

Mysore Government Secretariat

Serial No.

Provisions of it and the views of the Govt of Hyderabad at page 25 c.r.

Secy
PWR

6/10/56. 6/10

64) Put up after 1.11.56 or when a further reference is received from GOI

6/10.

65) Ref: para 64. ~~Here these recommend as per orders~~ No further communication has been received from the GOI. This is resubmitted as per orders.

Secy
PWR

5/11/56

66

No action until a reference is received from the GOI

67

5/11

68

Letter No. 4189-I/56-7 dated 21.1.57 from the Deputy Secretary to the Govt of Andhra Pradesh.

Forwards a copy of the Andhra Pradesh Govt letter addressed to the Govt of India on regarding common works relating to T.B.P. left side canal being transferred to the control of the Tungabhadra Board consequent on the re-organisation of States

Submitted for perusal of

69

T/B Board - - Hyderabad Side - Control over

Mysore Government Secretariat | 209 gov 50 | File No. 1507 (INT/MS) of 195 . | [App. F. III 2

Serial No.

Flag "S"

The Andhra Pradesh letter at pages 26 to 28 CF. The Govt of Andhra Pradesh have requested the Govt of India that in excercise of the powers vested in the Central Govt under Section 108 of the States Re-organisation Act 1956, the works of common concern to Mysore and Andhra Pradesh on the left side of the Tungabhadra Dam including the Hydro Electric Scheme and the Rajolibanda project be placed under the control of the Tungabhadra Board so that benefits originally contemplated may not be lost by unilateral action on the part of either State. It is also stated that the details of the works to be placed under the control of the Board may be decided by the Govt of India after consultation with the Govts of Andhra Pradesh & Mysore and the Tungabhadra Board.

70 ~~[illegible]~~ It is for orders whether ~~[illegible]~~ a copy of the Andhra Pradesh letter be sent to CE MI(N) and the ~~CE Electricity~~ CE L for furnish a detailed report on the subject. This requires orders of the Secy PWD.

Sd/ 2/2/57 Sd/ 2/2/57

71 Sir may please be moved to the Secy. PWD.

Dy. Secy PWD

Note.—Contn. Sheet

2/4/57

TB Board — Hyderabad Side — Control over.

16

File No. 1507 PWT(HS) of 195 .

Mysore Government Secretariat

Serial No.

72) Pl. call for a report from CE MIN in a week. Issue letter & put up.

4/2/57

73) Ref. para 72. Draft submitted for appl.

4/2/57 4/2/57

74) Issue and put up

4/2/1957

Issued in No. D.O. PWT(HS) 1185 dt. 4.2.57 to the CE MIN calls for a report.

75) Letter to CE MIN has been issued. File is resubmitted as per orders at para 72 and 74 above.

4/2/57 4/2/57

pl. refer. para 72.

Secy. P.W.D.)

4.2.57

76

Remind CE MIN & put up by 13th

77

Ref. para 77. Draft and fair of a D.O. Reminder submitted for appl.

8/2/57 8/2/57

Issue urgently

8/2/57

78

79

TB Board — Hyderabad Side — Control over f.

Mysore Government Secretariat

File No. PWD 18 TBP 57 of 195 | [App. F. III/2354/1507 PWD(HS)

Serial No.

80) Ref: para 77. File resubmitted as per orders
Sec'y, pw)
11/2/57 11/2/57

307(B)
Date 12/2/57
PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTS.

81) Put up after my return from Bangalore
14/1/57

82) KR 14/1/57

83) Ref: para 81. The CE MS(N) will be reminded, in the meanwhile, for the report called for from him. Draft also submitted. Dft & fair are put up.
14/2/57 14/2/57

84

Issued vide PWD 18 TBP 57 dt 6.2.57 to Sri B. Murthy, B.E., Chief Engineer, H.E.S. (Nizam)

Letter No. 945/TS dated 13.2.57 from the CE MSN.

Requests to forward a copy of this office letter No. D.O. PWD/S 1185 dated 4.2.57

86 Submitted with a draft and fair for appr.

87) 20/2/57 20/2/57

Fair
20/2/1957

Note.—Contn. Sheet

TB Board — Hyderabad side — Control over.

354 File No. PWD 18 TBP 57 of 195.

Mysore Government Secretariat

Serial No.

Issued vide PWD-18-TBP 57 dt 20/2/57.
To The Ex. Eng (Works)
Munirabad, Raichur Dist.

88) Resubmitted with reference to para 81 overleaf.

89) 20/2/57 20/2/57 Secy, PWD)

90) Put up on 23.2.57)

91) 21/2/57

92) 4.3.57

93) Resubmitted with reference to para 90 above.

94) 23/2/57 23/2/57 Secy, PWD)

95) Pl. remind CE MIN demi-official

96) 28/2/57

97) Ref. para 95. Draft and fair of a D.O. letter to CE MIN Subs. for appl.

98) 28/2/57 28/2/57 Issue 28/2/57

Issued vide No. PWD 18 TBP 57 dt 1.3.57.

SECRETARY TO G. OF K. 460(B) Date 20/2/57 PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTS.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 483(W) Date 23/2/57 PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTS.

TBP — Hydrabad Side — Control over. 19

Mysore Government Secretariat 3540 702 Ng — File No. of 195 . Pwd 18 TBP 57 [App. F. III 2

Serial No.

Letter No. 1232/3/TS dated 26.2.57 from the Chief Engineer M.I. Work, Munirabad.

Forwards a report-

99) The report of the Chief Engineer at pages 36 to 46 C.F. regarding the proposal of the Andhra Pradesh Govt. to bring the Tungabhadra project (Munirabad side) Irrigation works, Hydro Electric works and Rajolibanda Schemes under the control of the Tungabhadra Board, is for perusal with reference to paras 69 to 72 of the notes and pages 26 to 28 C.F.

100) It will be seen from page 40 C.F. that the CE has referred to CEE's letter No. 5962 dt 12.11.56 re: T.B.P. Hydro Electric Scheme, (Munirabad side). The file containing that reference is placed below and flagged S.

101) Now ~~it is proposed~~ the stand that Mysore State should take in regard to the Andhra Pradesh move to bring T.B.P. (Munirabad side) and R.D.S. under the control of the T.B. Board requires to be finalised so that a reply may be sent to Govt as soon as they ask for the remarks of this Govt.

102) Dy. Secy PWD 12/3/57 Secy 12/3/57 3

14/3/57

29/TBP — Hyderabad Side — Control over

Mysore Government Secretariat

(1)

702 J 962 M1 File No. PWD 18 TBP 57 of 195.

Serial No.

103) It was ordered by the Secy. PWD that the Ch. Elect. Engr's comments on the Andhra Pradesh proposal may be obtained. Draft and fair submd. for appr.

15/4/57 15/4/57 104) D.O. Letter to CEE —

Issued No. PWD 18 TBP 57 of 16/4/57. 11/4/58

To The Chief Engineer for Electricity, Mysore, Bangalore. (By name)

105) Draft D.O. reminder to Chief Engineer, for Electricity, Mysore, Bangalore, is put up for approval and issue.

2/5/57 106) Dft — & fair are prompt

Issued. No PWD 18 TBP 57 of 2/5/57

To the C.E. (By name)

B'lore.

3286 to 3304
111

21

Memo No.2714/1/TS dated 9-5-57 from the Chief Engineer, Major Irrigation North, Munirabad.

Forwards a copy of his letter addressed to the Consulting Engineer to the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh regarding information asked for by him regarding the areas served by the Tungabhadra Project, Munirabad side in Telengana xxxx.

10 D) Orders on the letter :-

" Get a copy of this letter. I dont think C.E.M.I.N need have sent a reply direct to the C.E. Andhra even if the latter chose to address him direct".

INTLD. D.K.S. 14/5
" B.S.S. 15/5

10 E) With reference to the orders above, copy of the letter of the Consulting Engineer to the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh addressed to the C.E. M.I.N. is placed below at page 2 C.F. for perusal. It is for orders whether the observation in para 1 above may be communicated to the C.E.M.I.N.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
2003
Date 21/5/57
PUBLIC WORKS & FLOOD

18/5/57 17/5/57 Dy Secy (PWD) 10 G) 18/5/57

11 A) We may inform C.E. (M.I.N.) not to give any materials information likely to become the subject matter of controversy directly to any other officer of an other state. In case a request is made by an officer of an other state to the C.E. (M.I.N.) (C.E. MIN) to give such information he may request that officer to approach the Govt of Mysore for the information.

BSS 21.5.57
Dy Secy (PWD)

Secy (PWD)

11 B) Yes. This is one of the cases in which a ~~direct~~ reply should not have been given direct by CEMIN to the C.E. 26/5 BSS 27/5/57

9a/TBP — Main canal — areas irrigable in Telangana.
3304
111

113 Draft - Sulid. for appr.
28/5/57 28/5/57 113

KL 28/5/57

Issued vide No. 18 TBP 57 dt 31/5/57
To the Chief Engineer,
Main Irrigation (N)
Munirabad, Raichur Dist

1) Letter No.10374 dated 7-5-57 from the Chief Engineer for Electricity forwarding a report.

2) Letter No.34/4/57-DW.VI dated 17-6-57 from the Under Secretary to Govt. of India, Ministry of Irrigation & Power addressed to the Secretary to the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh, P.W.D. and copied to this Government.

Regarding Tungabhadra Project.- Contral over common works on the left side.

114) Orders on reference ~~to~~ 2 —

"Put up connected papers"

(Intld) DK.S. 21/6
" B.S.S. 24/6/57

115) With reference to the above orders the connected file is put up and a precis of the entire file is given below:-

PRECIS.

116) Consequent on the formation of the Andhra State, the Govt. of India in their notification No.DW II-22(129) dated 29-9-53 constituted the Tungabhadra Board with representatives of the Mysore, Andhra and Hyderabad Govts. for the completion of the construction of the sanctioned Tungabhadra Project and its operation and maintenance during the period of construction and other connected matters; the Board exercising the powers of a Chief Engineer.

117) A little later the Govt. of India, with the concurrence of the three States, issued Resolution No.DW II-22 (129) dated 6-11-53 placing the Tungabhadra Board in over all control in respect of all matters relating to works on or connected with the Tungabhadra Project which are common to all the States of Andhra, Hyderabad and Mysore, the Hyderabad Govt. continuing to construct, operate and maintain the project in so far as it fell within the State of Hyderabad, subject to the overall control of the Board.

It was provided that nothing in the Resolution should be deemed to be an agreement entered into under sub section (1) of Sec. 66 of the Andhra State Act 1953.

118) In March 1955 the Govt. of India in their Notification No.DW.VI-4(9) dated 14-3-55 reconstituted the Tungabhadra Board, with representatives of Mysore, Andhra and Hyderabad, with the powers of a part "A" State Govt.

119) In April 1955 the Govt. of India prepared a draft resolution for conferring on the Tungabhadra Board over-all control in respect of all matters relating to works on or connected with the T.B.P. which are common to the States of Andhra, Hyderabad and Mysore including the regulation of supplies of shared waters from the reservoir, the Govt. of Hyderabad continuing to ~~contract~~ construct, operate and maintain the project, in so far as it fell within the State of Hyderabad subject to the overall control of the Board referred to above. The Govt. of Hyderabad indicated that they had no objection to the draft resolution provided a proviso was added in it to the effect "that at any moment if the Hyderabad State is not in a position to utilise their half share of water and if it is to be diverted for cultivation of areas in other States, then the previous concurrence of the Govt, of Hyderabad will have to be obtained and that the Govt. of Hyderabad will have a right to claim water Cess for the use of the water, from its own share, for areas in other States".

120). The draft resolution and the proviso proposed by Hyderabad was then referred to the Tungabhadra Board for its comments. The Board considered the subject and resolved at its 5th meeting (55-56) held in Nov. 55 "that items of common interest e.g. maintenance, operation, and control of the Dam and Reservoir and operation of sluice and spillway gates should be under the unitary control of the Board provided that the provisions contained in paragraph 3 of the notification No.DW.VI.4(9) dated 10-3-55 of the Govt. of India shall apply to the State of Hyderabad to the same extent and in the same manner as they now apply to the States of Andhra and Mysore".

121) Taking the views of the Board in-to consideration, the Govt. of India prepared a revised draft resolution and forwarded it to the Govts. of Mysore, Andhra and the T.B.Board for concurrence or comments if any. The revised draft resolution included the proviso proposed by Hyderabd Govt. and under it giving rights not only to Hyderabd but also to the Govts. of Mysore, and ~~Hyderabad~~ Andhra. It also included provisions which were substantially the same as the provisions of para 3 of the Govt. of India Notification No.DW.VI-4(9) of 10-3-55.

122) The revised draft notification was considered by the T.B.Board and it was resolved at its Seventh Meeting (55-56) held in March 1956 that the Board had no further comments to offer in the matter. P.

123) The revised draft notification was considered by the Mysore Govt. and the Govt. of India was requested to intimate whether the matter was being pursued in view of the proposed reorganisation of States which was to bring the Hyderabad side of the Project into the New Mysore State. The Govt. of India replied that the issue of the proposed resolution was necessary to ensure unified control over regulation of supplies. The matter was considered again and a reply was sent to the Govt. of India in June 56 that the Mysore Govt. felt that "it would not be right for the Govt. of the existing State of Mysore or for the Govt. of Hyderabad to take a decision on this important subject and to commit the future States of Mysore and Andhra-Telangana". The States that would come into existence after re-organisation would have to consider the matter and reach a decision. The Govt. of India was therefore requested to continue the Status quo. pages 7 CF. page 9 CF. pages 14 CF.

124) With reference to this Govt's letter about maintaining the Status quo, the Govt. of India stated that the draft notification proposed by them was in pursuance of the earlier notification No.DW-II-22(129) dated 6-11-53 issued on the subject and that the draft notification included the regulation of supply of stored water from the reservoir and was in the interests of all the participating State Govts. including Mysore. It was also stated that the Govt. of Andhra had suggested the marginally noted amendments to the draft resolution sent for their comments and that the Govt. of India were inclined to accept the amendments. The Govt. of India therefore forwarded a re-revised draft resolution incorporating the suggestions made by the Govt. of Andhra and requested the Mysore Govt. to reconsider the matter and to pages 15-16 CF. page 17 CF. pages 18-20 CF.

1) Addition of the following sentence at the end of para one of the resolution to define more clearly certain functions of the Board:
"The Tungabhadra Board shall also have unitary control over the items of common interest e.g. maintenance, operation and control of the Dam and reservoir and operation of sluice and spillway gates".

2) Deletion of the proviso under para (1) of the resolution, relating to the participating States' concurrence being obtained to the use elsewhere of un-utilised share of waters and that states right to claim water cess for the use of water from its own share for areas in other States.

3) Para 3 of the resolution being recast so as to provide for the operation and maintenance being subject to such rules of regulation as may be agreed to by the three States or by the Board with the concurrence of the three States or in the absence of any agreement in such manner as may be directed by the president.

convey their agreement to the issue of the draft resolution.

125) In this connection the Govt. of India were requested (1) to intimate whether the Govt, of Hyderabad had agreed to the amendments proposed by Andhra Govt, (2) to clarify the distinction sought to be made between "overall control" in sub para (1) of para (1) of the draft resolution and the "unitary control" referred to in sub-Para (ii) of the same para and (3) the need for amplifying the provision in sub para (1) by adding sub Para (ii) in the manner proposed by Andhra. The Govt. of India intimated that the words "overall control" occurring in sub para 1 of para (1) of the draft resolution refer to the general supervisory control by the Tungabhadra Board over the common works of the Tungabhadra project e.g. construction works, whereas sub para 2 of the same paragraph refers to the exclusive control by the Board over maintenance, operation and control of the Dam and reservoir and operation of sluice and spillway gates and that the word "unitary" in sub para 2 may however be read as 'unified'. It was also intimated that the Govt. of Hyderabad had agreed to the revised draft notification being issued subject to the retention of the proviso relating to the participating State's concurrence being obtained to the use elsewhere, of its un-utilised share of waters and that State's right to claim water cess for the use of water of its own share for areas in other States.

126) The consideration of the re-revised draft resolution proposed by the Govt, of India was ordered to be deferred till the receipt of a further communication from the Govt. of India.

127) In January 1957 the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh requested the Govt. of India that the exercise of the powers vested in the Central Govt. under Section 108 of the States Re-organisation Act 1956, the works of common concern to Mysore and Andhra Pradesh on the left side of the Tungabhadra Dam, including the Hydro Electric Scheme and the Rajolibanda Project be placed under the control of the Tungabhadra Board so that benefits originally contemplated may not be lost by unilateral action on the part of either State. They have also stated that the details of the works to be placed under the control of the Board be decided by the Govt. of India after consultation with the Govts. of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore and the Tungabhadra Board. The Govt. of Andhra Pradesh sent a copy of their letter to Govt. of India. to this Government for information.

26

128) It is seen from the letter of the Andhra Pradesh Govt. addressed to the Govt. of India that they have advanced the following reasons in support of their proposals referred to in the previous para:-

(1) The Tungabhadra project as designed by the former Hyderabad Govt, was intended to irrigate lands both in Karnataka and Telangana areas. As a result of the re-organisation of States, the Karnataka territory is transferred to Mysore State and the Telangana area has been merged in Andhra Pradesh. These areas are to be irrigated by a main canal, by two canals known as Gadval North and South Canals taking off from the main canal and another canal farther down called the Alampur Branch Canal. The main canal runs to a length of 127 miles till the off-take point of the Gadval North and South Canals and irrigates area in Karnataka now included in Mysore. The first twelve miles of the Gadval North Canal and the first fourteen miles of Gadval South Canal also lie in the Karnataka area now included in Mysore State. Below these points they lie in Telangana area which is now included in Andhra Pradesh. The waters available beyond thes points were intended for irrigation of telengana area which is merged in Andhra Pradesh. The tota area intended to be brought under irrigation in Telangana portion i.e. Andhra Pradesh is about 70,000 acres.

(2) The Hydro Electric Scheme on the left of the Tungabhadra Project was intended to supply power to Raichur, Gulburga and Mahaboobnagar Districts according to an article published in the June 1956 issue of the 'Bhagirath Power Supplement'.

(3) The Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme is intended to serve both Karnataka and Telangana Regions and a large part of the benefit of the scheme is to go to Telangana now included in Andhra Pradesh.

(4) The step suggested is similar to the action taken in regard to the canal etc. on the right side of the Tungabhadra Dam in October 1953 when, as a result of the creation of a separate Andhra State, the area to be benefited by the Tungabhadra Irrigation and Power project was brought under two different States instead of being under a simple State.

129) With reference to the points raised by the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh, reports were called for from the Chief Engineer M.I.(N) and the Chief Engineer Electricity. The Chief Engineer M.I.(N) has stated as follows:- Pages 36-41 Cf.

(1) According to the 1944 Agreement between Madras and the former Hyderabad State, the Tungabhadra Reservoir was to be constructed to F.R.L. 1628.00 with a storage of 113 TM Cft. The sharing of the water was to be on a 50 :50 basis (65 TM cft on either side). Under this scheme the left bank or former Hyderabad Canal was designed for an initial discharge of 3100 cusecs to irrigate 4,50,000 acres as recommended by a Committee in 1945. Later on the F.R.L of the Tungabhadra Reservoir was raised to 1633 resulting in an increased storage of 20 TM cft. with which additional irrigation became possible. The cropping scheme was therefore revised to 5,80,000 acres by the Govt. of Hyderabad

in March 1955. The area proposed as per the revised cropping scheme was commanded by 127 miles of the main canal and the South Gadwal Branch of 14 miles length. The entire area commanded by this length of the Canal is, after States Reorganisation, fully situated in the present Mysore State.

(2) There were repeated representations to the former Hyderabad Govt., for extending irrigation under T.B.P. to the Gadwal and Alampur areas. It had however been decided that there should be no change in the cropping scheme already approved by Govt., but whatever water became available beyond mile 141 would be taken down for irrigation.

(3) The Govt. of India, Planning Commission's Report of the Technical committees of July 1952 for the optimum utilisation of Krishna and Godavari waters envisages the ultimate utilisation of 100 TM Cft at the Tungabhadra Dam by the former Hyderabad State i.e. 65 TM cft as per initial Agreement plus 35 TM Cft for future extensions under the Canal, inclusive of evoporation losses. As per the water requirements of the approved cropping scheme, as shown in the Demand Tables sent, the share of 100 TM cft will be completely utilised within the present Mysore State. Further, at the time of preparing the demand tables originally, duties of 180 and 210 were taken for Khariff and Rabi crops. These figures are on the high side. Sri M.S. Thirumalai Iyengar's Report on Tungabhadra project published in 1942 indicates that experiments at Siruguppa have shown that the duties are more or less on an average of 135 for both Khariff and Rabi. The duties already adopted for the Hyderabad side therefore require modification. Taking a duty of 135 for Khariff and 120 for Rabi, and preparation of Demand table, would result in utilisation of the ultimate share of water upto mile 127 alone. Demand beyond mile 127 cannot be assured and the question of giving water to tail reached would arise only in exceptionally good years. Hence supply of water to any area in the Andhra Pradesh is not feasible.

(4) Further, the question of North and South Gadwal Branches of the T.B.P. Canal is linked up with the upper Krishna Scheme. The Scheme envisages the dropping of the Left Bank canal from upper Krishna into the T.BP. Canal mile 103 for supplying water to North and South Gadwal area. The upper Krishna Scheme was proposed when the former Bombay state had not yet formulated proposals for Koyna, Ghataprabha and Malaprabha projects (the latter two now falling in Mysore limits). Now that all these schemes are finalised and included in the Second Five Year Plan and all of them are on the major trbutaries of the Krishna, regular inflow into the Reservoir will be considerably reduced. Unless a reservoir of a very large capacity is constructed, (Which in turn submerges the Sangameshwar temple, a pilgrimage centre and the railway bridge near Chimalgi) the proposal for dropping the Left Bank Canal from Upper Krishna into T.B.P. Canal for further irrigation of the tail reaches, as originally contemplated, will not be feasible. For the same reasons the irrigable area under the proposed upper Krishna Scheme also gets diminished.

(5) In the Gadwal and Alampur taluks, the Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme provides irrigation facilities to an extent of nearly one lakh acres.

(6) The T.B.P. Left Bank Canal as also the whole area irrigable by it being entirely situated in the Mysore State limits, the question of placing the works on the left bank under Board control does not arise.

(7) As regards the Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme, it had been agreed by the former Hyderabad and Andhra States that the Chief Engineer, Andhra should prepare and forward an estimate for the construction of the flood bank on the Andhra side to prevent submergence of lands. Further work on the anicut is held up due to delay in the construction of flood banks. Out of a total irrigable area of 1.15 lakh acres, an extent of 7,400 acres in the first reaches of the Canal is situated in Mysore State in the Manvi and Raichu taluks. The length of the R.D.S. Canal in Mysore limits is 27 miles. The proposal for placing this scheme under the control of the Tungabhadra Board is premature.

Pages 53-55 C.F.

130) The Chief Engineer for Electricity has stated as follows:-

(1) the power generated on the left bank of the Tungabhadra Dam is to be utilised almost entirely in the area that now forms part of Mysore State. Only a small amount of power i.e. about 500 Kilowatts has to be made available at the border at Narayanapet now situated in Andhra Pradesh. Beyond this no further claim for the utilisation of the power can be entertained. The ~~question~~ quantity of power referred to can be supplied in the same way as Mysore is at present giving power supply to Andhra at Hindupur.

(2) the design of the Power Station on the left bank has been completed, work on the construction of the Station is being proceeded with and orders for the machinery have been placed. If the Hyd~~erabad~~ Electric Works on the Left Bank are placed ~~on~~ under the control of the Board, it "involves unnecessary extra cost and does not give flexibility and proper economic co-ordination with our existing power system".

(3) Mysore is pressing for the abolition of the Tungabhadra Board itself and the whole system now under the Board being handed over to Mysore and as such it would not be desirable to handover the works on the left bank to the Board as it is neither in the interest of economy nor the quick execution of the project.

131) In this connection an extract from file No.A/21/49 of the former Hyderabd Govt. (relating to the claims of the Muslim Stores,) received from the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh is given below which throws light on the views held by the Andhra Pradesh Govt. itself:-

"Discussed with D.S., who also consulted the Addl. C.E. Irrigation.

It would appear that the T.B.Project as originally conceived on the Hyderabad side did not provide for utilisation of the water beyond Raichur and that the present State of Andhra Pradesh is not interested in the T.B.Project on the Hyderabad side. If this is the correct position, we can take the line that the agreement between the Muslim stores and the former Hyderabad Govt. and the claims which the Stores may have against the former Hyderabad Govt. are matters to be settled by the new State of Mysore.

Finance and Law may adivse."

Sd/- (V.K. Rao)
4-12-56.

Finance
Law.

"FD agrees with views of the Secy. PWD above also FD would like to see the opinion of the Law Department.

Sd/- (Illegible) 5/12/56
Law Dept.

The agreement between the Muslim Stores and the erstwhile Government of Hyderabad, on which the claim is based, relates to Tungabhadra Project, which is now wholly situate in Mysore State. Under clause (a) Sub-section (1) of Section 87 of the States Re-organisation Act, (Central Act 37 of 1956) the purposes of the contract is exclusively the purposes of the new Mysore State and as such it shall be deemed to have been made in the exercise of the executive power of that State. In this view, the Mysore Govt, is alone competent to take decision in the matter."

Sd/- Bankat Pershad,
22-1-1957.

132) It may also be stated that surreptitious attempts have been made by the consulting Engineer to the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh=to obtain from the Chief Engineer, M.I.(N), files relating to and details of ayacut in Telangana area contemplated by the former Hyderabad government under the T.B.P. Left side Canal Scheme. The Chief Engineer M.I.N. has however not furnished any information to the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh.

pages 50 to 52 and paras 107-111

133) Now, with reference to the letter addressed to them by the Andhra Pradesh Govt., the Govt. of India have stated that the Andhra Pradesh Govt. may take up the matter with the Mysore Govt. to arrive at an agreement regarding the administration, maintenance, operation and distribution of benefits under the T.B. project (including the Hydro electric Scheme) on the left bank side (erstwhile Hyderabad side) and the Rajolibanda project before approaching the central Govt. for issuing a direction under section 108 of the States Re-organisation Act. They have also stated that the agreement be finalised by the 1st Novr.1957 and the Irrigation & Power Ministry informed. The Govt. of India have sent a copy of the letter to this Government also.

134) Now, in view of the opinions furnished by the Chief Engineers, and the circumstances explained above, the stand that Mysore should take in regard to the Andhra Pradesh Govt's, move to bring the

30 TB Board — Munirabad side — control over
962 ✓ 3286 ✓ 3304 ✓ 3140 ✓ 4212 PWD 18 TB P&T
TB

Tungabhadra Project (Munirabad side) including the Hydro Electric Scheme on that side, and the Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme under the control of the Tungabhadra Board requires to be finalised so that a reply may be sent to the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh and the Government of India.

(36) Perhaps we may have to await a direct letter from A.P. Govt. in this matter. But, however, it will be better if a decision is taken by us, by the time the letter is rec'd from them.

2/7/57 3/7/57

D/S. P.W.D.
Secy P.W.D.

JCR
3/7/1957
(A.S. P.W.D.)

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
Date 6/7/57
PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC DEPTS.

Serial No.

137) Refer Paras 116 et seq.

The letter from the Government of India of 17th June suggests that the Andhra Pradesh Government may take up the matter with us. We should therefore await a communication from them. However, on the material made available by the Chief Engineer, Major-Irrigation (North), ~~it is held that~~ and even on the admission of the Secretary, P.W.D. Andhra Pradesh (Vide para 131 of the Notes above), the Government of Andhra Pradesh can have no interest in the T.B. Project on the northern side, as no part of the Canal and no part of the Ayacut under that Canal will be in Andhra Pradesh ~~side~~ territory. If and when we receive a communication from the Andhra Pradesh Govt. we will have to tell them so and add that there is no question of common control either by the Board or any other agency over that part of the T.B.Project.

138) The next question is whether this subject, along with that relating to the arrangements for the execution of Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme, should be discussed at the ensuing Inter-State Conference. It has to be mentioned in this connection that the proposed Inter-State Conference is for settling outstanding questions pertaining to the T.B.Project on the southern side, in pursuance of the provisions of Section 66 of the Andhra State Act. That Conference is not intended to deal with matters relating to T.B. Project on the northern side or matters which might arise as a result of State Re-organisation in general. However, without prejudice to this position, we may say that there is no objection to the Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme being discussed at the ensuing Inter-State Conference in as much as it is an Inter-State scheme and only

T.B. Board — Mysore side — control over

32

File No. of 195 . Mysore Government Secretariat

Serial No. M9.170/434

Andhra Pradesh and Mysore are interested, and also because the last date for reaching an agreement on such matters is 31st October '57. We cannot obviously have another Inter-State Conference to decide this particular question before that date, ~~and it is just that it is dealt with at the~~ as well Inter-State Conference convened for other purposes.

(39) As regards the T.B.Project on the northern side, we might straight-away say that since Andhra Pradesh Government cannot be deemed to be interested in that portion of the Project the question of discussing it at an Inter-State Conference does not arise. Since the T.B.Board have made a reference in this behalf and have suggested that it should be included ~~at~~ in the Agenda we may write to the Board saying that for the reasons mentioned above this subject need not be included.

11/7/57

D. K. SRINIVASACHAR
Secy. P. W. & Elec. Depts.

THE MINISTER FOR PUBLIC WORKS
No. F.114
12 JUL 1957
BANGALORE

(40) 19/7

MINISTER FOR PUBLIC WORKS)

Follow up at the meeting

(41) The meeting, I think we have since received a reference from GOI supporting that this should be discussed at the Inter-State Conference. If so, the position will change somewhat

19/7

WD-0136 -GPB-P. Z. S.- 80,000

TBP (Municipal Side) — contd over.
4212 ∪ 4810
MJ

33
Pwd 18 TBP 57

Letter No. ~~[illegible]~~ 4189-I/56-13 dated 11.7.57. from the Secy. Pwd Andhra Pradesh stating that the question of bringing the T.B.P left side under the control of the T.B. Board be discussed at the ensuing Inter-State Conference.

49.170 / 434

142) Orders thereon:-
"Put up after return of papers from Minister."
(Initd) A.K.S 16/7
H.C. 17/7
K.B.M 18/7

143) With reference to the orders in para 142 the connected file is submitted. It is now for orders whether as per orders in paras 137-140 ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ and particularly in view of para 141 of the notes, draft letters to the Government of Andhra Pradesh and the Secretary, Tungabhadra Board may be put up on the lines of paras 137 to 139. This requires urgent orders of the Secy. Pwd.

21/7/57. 22/7/57 Secy (PWD)

144) 22/7/57

145) Subd. as per orders, for reference at the meeting.

26/7/57

146) A reply may be sent to A.P. Govt. & T.B. Board on the lines of paras 137 & 139

147) The letter now received from the Govt. Andhra Pradesh and placed below at pages 62-63 CF

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
Date 22/7/57
PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTT.

Letter No.4189-I/56-16 dated 24-8-57 from the Secretary to the Govt. Andhra Pradesh.

34

TUNGABHADRA BOARD - CONTROL OVER MUNIRABAD SIDE OF T.B.PROJECT.

48 10 5776 / 119 — 206 / prog. — PWD 18 Sept 57

is for perusal with reference to the notes in paras 116 to 146. ~~of the notes~~. The point referred to in the penultimate para at page 63 CF. of the Andhra Pradesh letter has been dealt with in paras 125 - 126 of the notes.

79.170 / 434

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
Date 4/9/57
PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTT.

148) As per orders passed in paras 137 to 146 above, draft letters to the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh and the Tungabhadra Board are put up. In this connection it is submitted that in the orders in paras 137 to 146 there is no reference to the Tungabhadra project Hydro Electric Scheme on the left bank (which is one of the points raised by Andhra Pradesh). It is therefore for orders:

(1) whether the draft letters has put up on the basis of orders in paras 137 - 146 and placed below may be issued.

OR

(2) whether reasons for not bringing the Hydro Electric Scheme on the left side under the Board have also to be included in the reply to Andhra Pradesh. If so, the reasons to be put forth are also for orders.

There is no need to refer to this now. our Contention is that Andhra Prade Govt have no interest in the ~~[illegible]~~ left side Project in all its aspects.
BSS 3/9/57

149) Submitted for orders.

30/8/57. 30/8/57

150) 30/8/57

Sept WD

151) Put up on 2nd Sept '57
BSS 31/8/57

31.8.57

152) The file is resubmitted with reference to para 151 above, for orders.

2/9/57. 2/9/57

Sept WD

153) Dft may be approved.
BSS 3.9.57
Dy Sec (PWD)

3/9/57

154) Dft 6 (6) BSS 6.9.57

4/9/57

Tungabhadra Board — Control over Munirabad side of the Project — 35

Mysore Government Secretariat

File No. 5776/6269/T.B. of 195 . [App. F. III 2

Pwd 18/9/57

Serial No.

Letter No.612/57/A dated 13-9-57 from the Secretary, Tungabhadra Board.

Regarding: Tungabhadra Board - Control over the Munirabad side of Tungabhadra Project.

156) Orders on the letter:-

" x x x Bring up".

Intld. D.K.S. 16/9
" B.S.S. 17/9
" K.B.M. 17/9

157) With reference to the orders above the relative file is submitted. In this connection the notes in paras 137 to 155 is for perusal. Now, in the circumstances explained in his letter, the Secretary Tungabhadra Board has requested for the following particulars:-

(a) The views of the Government of Mysore on the question of transferring the Hydro Electric Scheme on the Munirabad side of the Tungabhadra Project to the control of the Tungabhadra Board being considered at the ensuing Interstate Conference.

(b) Factual information available with this Government on the following three subjects being sent to the Tungabhadra Board for onward transmission to the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh as decided at the Interstate Conference of ~~the~~ Chief Engineers held recently (extract of the minute of the Conference of Chief Engineers placed below):-

1) Tungabhadra Left Bank Canal,
2) Hydro Electric Scheme on the left side of the Project.
3) Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme.

158) Submitted for orders.

18/9/57 Sec 18/9 ~~Dy Pwd~~ Sec Pwd 157) JK 18/9/57

160) We may furnish information to the Board. I feel however that item (1) & (2) in para 157 (b) are not matters for discussion in inter-state talks.

B. S. Srikantaiah 18-9-57
Dy Sec (PWD)

Sec (PWD)

Note.—Contn. Sheet

TB Board — Control over Munirabad Lift of TB Project —

36 File No. of 195 . RD 18 TBPS

Mysore Government Secretariat

Serial No.

(61) There are three points raised in the Board's letter. One relates to the supply of data and records pertaining to T.B. Canal on the Left Bank. In regard to this, we have already informed the Government of Andhra Pradesh that since no part of irrigation under the Project or under the Canal on the Left Bank will be in Andhra Pradesh territory, there is no question of having any discussion on this subject at the ensuing Inter-state Conference. For the same reason, it would be unnecessary to supply any data, figures or records to Andhra Pradesh Government.

(62) The second question raised is about the Tungabhadra Hydro Electric Scheme at Munirabad. The report of the Chief Engineer, Electricity, shows that there is a sort of commitment in the Project Report to supply up to 500 K.W. of power to Narayanapet in Mahboobnagar District of Andhra Pradesh from this Project. A reference to the connected Project papers obtained from the C.E.E's. Office shows that in the report relating to the first stage of the Project (which is what we sanctioned so far) no specific provisions has been made for supply of power to Narayanapet although there is provision for installing a Sub Station evidently for the purpose of supplying power to that place and other surrounding places. In the report relating to the second stage of the Project, there is specific mention of supply of power to the extent of 515 K.W. to two places of Mahboobnagar District (including Narayanapet). It is clear from this that the commitment, if any, with regard to

TB Board — Control over Nunivalsad Scale of the Project — 37

Mysore Government Secretariat

File No. DWD/18 T B/95 7.

[App. F. III 2

Serial No.

392/ Proc.

power supply to places in Andhra Pradesh arises only on the execution of the second stage of the Project, i.e., the installation of the third unit. This stage has not yet been sanctioned and any commitment of this State Government ~~under the S.R. Act~~ can only arise in respect of a sanctioned Project. If, however, the second stage was included in the Plan of the former Hyderabad State, we will have to see if the provisions of the appropriate Section of the S.R.Act, according to which Schemes and Projects in the Plan should be executed in accordance with the intentions with which those Schemes and Projects were prepared, will be attracted. I understand from the C.E.E's. Office that the scheme was included in the Hyderabad Plan and that it has recently been cleared technically by the Planning Commission. ~~So we~~ we will have to see if we stand committed to the supply of power to Andhra Pradesh in accordance with the Project Report relating to second stage by virtue of the fact that it has been included in the Second Five Year Plan.

163) The third point raised is about the Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme. I understand from the Chief Engineer, Major Irrigation (North) who is now in Bangalore that some papers have already been supplied to Andhra Pradesh Government. In this case the Government of Andhra Pradesh are entitled to have information as they have an interest — a major interest — in the scheme. The Chief Engineer, M.I.N. will have to be asked to supply whatever factual information is of interest to Andhra Pradesh Government.

D. K. SRINIVASACHAR
Secy. P. W. & Elec. Dpts.

164) 20/9/57

Note.—Contn. Sheet

T.B. Board — Control over Munirabad side of the T.B. Project.

6269/38 File No. of 195 . PWD 15 TBP 57

Mysore Government Secretariat

Serial No.

166) With reference to paras 161 and 163, draft letters to the Ch. Engr. M.S.(N) and Secy T.B. Board are submitted for consideration. After issue of the letters, the file will have to be referred to S.R.D. for their remarks regarding the formula referred to in para 162.

The drafts require the appt. of the Secy P.W.D as also the proposal to consult S.R.D.

21/9/57 21/9/57

167) For appl.

D/S (PW) 25/9/57

168) 25/9/57

169) For perusal before issue with reference to paras 161 to 163

26/9/57

170) Ref. para 169 above.

At the recent inter-State conference of Chief and Public Works Ministers of the Andhra Pradesh and Mysore States, it was agreed that information and records pertaining to the T.B. Project left Bank Canal, Tungabhadra Hydro Electric Project (Munirabad) and Upper Krishna and Bhima Projects be furnished by either State to the other and that discussions will take place between the C.Es (Civil and Hydro Electric) of the two States with a view to putting up proposals

Letter No. 4189-I/56-18 Dt. the 12th Oct. 57 from the Secy. to the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh, P.W.D.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT Date 5/9/57 PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC.

6269 & 6988 — 34 — Proc 18 TBP 57

T.B.Borad - Control over Munirabad Side of the T.B. Project .

as soon as possible for the consideration of the Two State Governments.

171) In pursuance of that resolution the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh have now requested to send all the files of common interest pertaining to the Tungabhadra Left side Canal (including Tungabhadra Hydro Electric Scheme) and Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme to enable them to take copies and to return the originals. On the letter of the Andhra Pradesh Government it has been ordered as follows:-

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
Date 21/6/57
PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTS.

"Copy to CE MI (N) for necessary action. It maybe advisable for the two C.Es to meet and exchange files at the spot so that where copies are required, the documents which are to be copied may be indicated and copying work may be reduced to the minimum . This aspect may be examined by the C.E. and a meeting suggested by him if he considers that course suitable. "

Intld. D.K.S. 16-10-57.
" B.S.S. 16-10-57
" K.B.M. 17-10-57.

172) With reference to the orders above draft of a letter to the Chief Engineer, Major Irrigation (North) is submitted for approval.

18/10/57 — 19/10/57

173) For appl.

174) 19.10.57

175)

176) 23/10/57

177)

23/10/57

178) Issued

Issued in No. Proc 18 TBP 57 dated 26.10.57

TB. Board — control over Munirabad Side of the TB. project.

40 6988 J 7649
NS

PWD 18 TBP 57

Memo No. 337-8 dated 5.11.57 from the CE HEP forwarding a copy of his letter addressed to the CEE Andhra about deputing one of his officers to come to Bangalore and go through the records and indicate those of which copies are required.

179) The letter of the CE HEP at page 80 c.f. is for perusal with reference to paras 171-172 overleaf. No action is necessary on it. The CE MIN who had also been addressed in the matter has not sent in a report of the action taken by him. He will therefore be reminded. Draft ~~Sulad~~ for appt.

13/11/57 13/11/57 180) Issue 14/11/57

181) NS 20/11/57

XM Letter No. 566 /P dated 5-12-1957 from the Chief Engineer, Major Irrigation (North).

182) Forwards a report.

Orders on the letter of the CE MI (N):-

"References"

Intld. DKS 5-12-57

Intld. BSB 6-12-1957
Intld. KBM 6-12-1957

TB Board -Control over Munirabad Side of the T.B.Project.

41

Mysore Government Secretariat | File No. 7644 / 847 / AD | of 195 | [App. F. III 2 | AD 18 TBP 57

Serial No.

183) With reference to the orders in the above para the connected file is put up for perusal. In this connection paras 170-175 of the notes and the letters at pages 80 and 82 -85 CF may kindly be seen. It will be seen therefrom that the CE, H.E.C.P. has written to the CEE, Andhra Pradesh for deputing one of his officers to come to Bangalore and go through the records and indicate those of which copies are required. The Chief Engineer, Major Irrigation (North) has reported that he sent some files relating to the Tungabhadra Project to the Chief Engineer, Irrigation, Andhra Pradesh for taking copies of the references required by him and return of the files. At the same time the CE, MI (N) requested the CE, Irrigation, Andhra Pradesh for sending files relating to the Upper Krishna Project. It is stated that neither the files relating to the Upper Krishna Project have been sent by Andhra Pradesh nor the files of the TBP sent to them have been returned. The Chief Engineer has ~~expressed a doubt whether~~ pointed out the lack of cooperation in this regard by the Chief Engineer, Andhra Pradesh.

184) In view of the reports sent by the two Chief Engineers (i.e., CE, MI(N) and CE, (HECP) the nature of reply to be sent to the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh with reference to their letter at page 78 is for orders, if necessary, in consultation with the General Administration Department (States Reorganisation).

11/12/57 12/12/57

185) A.P. Govt. will have to be addressed suitably at Govt. level in view of (A) in para 183 above. They have not transferred the available records of U.K. project in spite of several reminders by this Govt.

14/12/57

186) I think our C.M. has addressed the C.M. of Andhra Pradesh. Pls verify.

13/12/57

187) (A) - 43.

14/12/57

Note.—Contn. Sheet

8476/119 File No. of 195 . PWD 187 PS/57 Mysore Government Secretariat

Serial No.

188) Ref: paras 186-187 overleaf. A copy of the letter written by the C.M. Mysore to the C.M. Andhra Pradesh is placed below at page 86 c.f. for perusal. Now para 184 of the notes is for orders.

PWD 16/12/57 Sm 16/12/57

189) No reply need be sent to AP Govt. w.r.t. their letter at page 78 c.f. We may perhaps await further communication, if any, from them.

(Sd/ PWD)

190) BM 19/12/57 JL 17/12/57

191) JL 20/12/57

192) This may perhaps be submitted for perusal of the Secy PWD with reference to para 182 above.

PWD 20/12/57 Sm 21/12/57

193) For Perusal.

(Secy PWD) JL 21.12.57

194) [illegible] 23/12

195) BM 24/12/57

196) JL 24/12/57

Letter No. D.O.4189-I/58-21 dated 8.1.58 from the Minister for I & P Andhra Pradesh addressed to the Chief Minister of Mysore.

Re: Files relating to

SUS A. PWD 26/12/57

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT No. PWD 1246 23 DEC 1957 PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTT.

TBP – Control over Munirabad side of the project. 23

Mysore Government Secretariat

84765659 File No. of 195 [App. F. III 2

PWD 18 TBP 57

Serial No.

TBP and R.D.S being made available to Andhra pradesh.

197) The copy of letter from the Minister for Irrgn. and Power, Andhra Pradesh placed below at pages 87-88 CF and the orders of the Chief Minister and the Secy. PWD thereon are for perusal with reference to the notes in paras 170 to 192 above.

198) In the present letter from the Andhra Pradesh it is stated that "so far very few files on the Tungabhadra Project (Left Canal) have been made available to ~~him~~ them (C.E. Andra) and that the others are still awaited from the Chief Engineer, Mysore. He may kindly be instructed to supply the remaining files to our Chief Engineer. Similarly, the Secretariat files relating to Tungabhadra Left Canal including Tungabhadra Hydro Electric Scheme and Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme asked for in this Government's letter No.4189-I/56-18, dated 12-10-1957 may also be sent to us early so that copies might be taken and the originals returned".

199) In this connection reference is invited to the report of the C.E. MIN at page 82 wherein he has stated that whatever files were made available have not been returned by the Spl. C.E. Andhra Pradesh. As regards the Secretariat files relating to the Tungabhadra Project (Munirabad side) it has to be stated that the former Hyderabad Govt. itself sent copies to the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh in No. 833, GAD -89-SR/56 dated 5-7-56 from the Chief Secretary, General Admn. Dept. Hyderabad to the Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Andhra. As regards the Hydro Electric Scheme and the Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme, it is not known as to which are the files required by the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh. It is therefore for orders:-

(A)

1. Whether the Chief Engineer, Major Irrgn. (Nort

Note.—Contn. Sheet

TBP — Control over the Munirabad side of the project.

44

659/M9 File No. of 195 Prd. 18 TBP57

Mysore Government Secretariat

Serial No.

and the Chief Engineer, H.E.C.P. may be requested to make available the files with them to their counter-parts in Andhra Pradesh State and

2. Reference of the Andhra Pradesh Govt. be invited to the letter of the former Hyderabad Govt. in which copies of the Secretairat files relating to the Tungabhadra Project (Munirabad side) were sent to them.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
24 JAN 1958
PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTT.

23/1/58.

200) pl. put up the file containing the reference referred at (A) in para 199 ante

23.1.58

201) Ref: para 200. File No. Prd 2 TBP57 is looked and placed below. In it the letter of Ex. Hyd. Govt. referred to at "A" in para 199 is flagged "J".

24/1/58.

202) As regards para 3 of the letter of Sri J.V. Narasing Rao, Minister for Irrigation & Power, A.P. Govt., it is ascertained from the U/S. (G.I.S), that he is taking necessary action to put up the file to the Secy., after ascertaining the position in consultation with the CE's. Ref:- min. of the Secy. at page 87 cf.

24.1.58

203) dis 24/1/58 Dy Secy

P/S. (Irr) Secy pro

204) I want to draft a comprehensive reply. Minister's passing remarks of our A.P. Minister may also be dealt with for that purpose, as I mentioned to U/S G.I., & for

TBP — control over the Munirabad side of the project. (45

Mysore Government Secretariat | 659/MI File No. of 195 | [App. F. III-2 | Ord 18 TBP 57

Serial No.

MI.1513

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT NO. 762/G.S. 28 JAN 1958 PUBLIC WORKS, GENERAL IRRIGATION BRANCH

would like to have a long cases referred by us to A.P. Govt. which are pending with Shri Tirumani against us —

205) U/S (G.I.) will also see my note

24/1

24.1.58

U/S (G.I.S.)

Sett G XXX 16 25/1

A statement of cases relating to the S.I. section is placed below.

21.5.3.1) 25.1.58

Long cases not are pending

206) To the list prepared by G.I. the M.I. may add their cases if any. ~~[illegible]~~ and make a file back time schedule & may get ~~[illegible]~~ if any from the CE Cor

U/S M.I.) 28/1

Sept. M.I.S pl. get a list of cases pending with A.P. Govt. Refer Para 204

28/1/58

Statement relating to M.I. Section placed below. This may now be referred again to G.I.S with reference to para 206

30/1/58. 30/1. U/S (G.I.S)

30.1.58

Sett. G.I. mention to AP Cor can be known

Note.—Contn. Sheet

207) Included in the Sett. see

Control over the Munirabad side of the project.

689/119 File No. of 195 PWD 18 TPS 57 Mysore Government Secretariat

Serial No. 762/59

208) The case which was reported by the CE/S's Office are pending with the Andhra Pradesh Govt. is included in the statement which is now submitted with ref. para 206 for perusal & further orders.

31/1/58 Secy PWD

209) 1/2

210) I have separately proposed a draft reply to AP Minister's letter

31/1/58

211) 3/2

212) 3/2/58

213) In view of para 210 it is for orders whether these ~~files are~~ ~~need be kept~~ papers may be filed for the pres...

3/2/58

214) File

3/2/58

Letter No. 4189-I/56-24 dated 4.2.58 from the Secy. PWD. Andhra Pradesh

Reminds for sending the files relating to T.B. P&R.D.S.

215) The letter of A.P. Govt. at page 91 is for perusal with reference to paras 170 to 210 of notes above. The reply to be sent to

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT No. 1 FEB 1958 PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTT.

WD 01774—GPB—PZB—300,000—26-10-57

TBP — Control over the Munivabad Side of the project.

Mysore Government Secretariat

659 V 1264 File No. of 195 [App. F. III 2 PWD 18 TBP 57

Serial No.

Andhra Pradesh is for orders of the Secy. PWD.

14/2/58 15/2/58

216) pl. get a copy of the letter referred to at para 210 ante.

18/2/58

N 79-238
6 MAR 1958
PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPT.

217) Ref: para 216. A copy of the CM's letter referred to is placed below at pages 92-93 C.F for perusal and orders with reference to para 215.

28/2/58 28/2/58

218) We may just invite the reference to the reply on the subject sent by out CM to the Hon'ble I & P. (Andhra).

Sey PWD

219) dr

3/3/58

Ms (P.W.R) 4.3.58.

220) YS

6/3

221) dr

7/3

222) 7/3

223). Ref: paras 218 – 222. Draft Audit. for appl.

11/3/58 11/3/58

224) Issue as noticed

225) Issued 12.3.58

11/3

Note.—Contn. Sheet

TBP — Control over the Munirabad Side of the project.

48

File No. of 195 . Pwd 18 TBPS 2

Mysore Government Secretariat

Serial No.

Letter No. D.O. 4189-I/56-25 dated 7.3.58 from the Secretary to the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh P.W.D.

Requests for the Sectt. files relating to TBP and RDS.

226) The letter received from the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh and placed below at pages 97-98 C.F. is for perusal with reference to the notes in paras 204 to 210 above. In the circumstances now explained in their letter, the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh have requested this Govt. to send the Sectt. files relating to the following points.

(a) Secretarial files relating to the Tungabhadra Left Side Canal which deal with the scope of the Tungabhadra project as envisaged by the former Govt. of Hyderabad and how that Govt. proposed to utilise their share of the waters of the Tungabhadra reservoir.

(b) Sectt. files relating to the Rajolibanda project.

227) With reference to the above it is submitted that ~~it is~~

WD 01774—GPB—PZS—300,000—26-10-57

TBP — Control over Munirabad Side Side of the Project — [App. F. III 2

Mysore Government Secretariat

12640/2380 File No. of 195 . PWD 18 TBP 57

Serial No.

The numbers of the former Hyderabad Govt. files relating to the above subjects are not known. In the absence of that information there are no means of ~~[illegible]~~ knowing which are the files required by the Andhra Pradesh Govt. and whether the relevant files have come to the Mysore State at all. It is therefore for orders whether the CE N Dn may be requested to intimate (if he has the information) the numbers of the former Hyd. Govt. PW Sectt. files relating to the subjects referred to by the A.P. Govt. ~~[illegible]~~ to enable further action. This requires orders of the Secy. PWD.

Dnd Sms 3 24/3/58. 25/3/58

228) The reqd. info may not be available with the CE(MIN). However, we may forward a copy of the letter of A.P. Govt for his remarks, with a request to intimate do the former Hyd. Govt. PW Sectts file nos, if available

D/s plsd)

26/3/58

229) Ds 26/3

230) Ds 26/3

Note.—Contn. Sheet

TBP — Control over the Munirabad Side of the project —

2360/50 TBP File No. of 195 . Prd 18 TBP 57

Mysore Government Secretariat

Serial No.

231) Ref: paras 228-229 of notes — Draft submd. for approval

28/3/58 28/3/58 232) [illegible]

28/3

233) [illegible]

31.3.58

1) Letter No. 448/TS dated 14.4.58 from the CE MI(N).

2) Letter No. D.O.4189-I/56-2) dated 9.4.58 from the Secy. Prd. Andhra Pradesh.

234) The communications placed below at pages 101-103 are for perusal with reference to the notes in paras 226 to 232 above. The CE has stated that the Ex. Hyderabad Secretariat files referred to by the A.P. Govt. have not been received by him. The numbers of the files are not known and as such there are no means of verifying whether the relevant files have been received here at all. In the meanwhile the A.P. Govt. have been reminding for sending the files. In the above

TRP. — Control over the Musavalad Scale of the Project —

Mysore Government Secretariat 2360 / 3168 / 3168 / 3847 / 4199 of 195 . [App. F. III 2 PWD 18 TRP 57

Serial No.

Circumstances, the reply to be sent to the A.P. Govt. is for orders

3/5/58 MCL 3/5/58

235) CW / Pl. open / 5/5

236) With reference to para 235, this was submitted to Ch/S. PW. (NS) in person. As per instructions, a draft letter to the CE NS(N) is submitted for appl.

6/5/58 MCL 6/5/58

237) Issue & put up to DS for perusal. 7/5

238) Issued 9/5

239) The letter has issued. File resubmitted as per orders in para 237 above.

9/5/58 MCL 9/5/58

240) For perusal. D/S PWD 10/5

241) Secy. P.W.D. may also kindly see.

Secy PWD) 12/5

15/5/58

243) 16/5

Letter No.D.O.4189-I/56-28 dated 6-5-58 from the Secy. to Govt. of Andhra Pradesh, P.W.D.

Reminds for sending the files called for by the A.P. Government.

Orders on the letter:-

"XX"

(Id) D.S.R.
12.5.58

With reference to the orders above the connected papers which were before the Secretary, PWD have been taken back and put up with A.P. Govt. letter and a draft reply proposed to be sent to that Government.

Motor Contn. Shed

3/5/5/58 MCL 15/5/58 241) 244) Secy PWD) 16/5 16/5

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT N. 79-744 14 MAY 1958 PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTT.

TBP — Control over the Munirabad side of the project

52

4199/55 File No. 79 (12) of 195 PWD 18 TBP 57

Mysore Government Secretariat

Serial No.

Letter No. 4189 - I/56 - 29 dated 23.6.58 from the Secy. to the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh P.W.D.

Reminds for sending the Records.

245) The A.P. Govt. letter at page 111 C.F. is for perusal with reference to the notes in paras 234 to 244 above and page 107 C.F. The particulars called for from the CE have not yet come. If approved, he will be reminded. Draft also submitted for approval.

9/7/58

246) Issue

10/7

247) Issued

15/7

Letter No. W/738/3767/1/78 dated 26.7.58 from the Chief Engr. M.I.(N)

Forwards a list of former Hyderabad Secrt. files relating to TBP and R.B.S.

248) The list of files sent by the CE MI(N) at page 114 C.F. is for perusal with reference to the notes in paras 226 to 246 above. It is seen from the list

Sd/-

TBP — Control over Revenue ...

Mysore Government Secretariat 5594 / 6573 File No. of 195 : [App. F. III 2

Serial No.

that most of the files referred to therein pertain to contractors' claims etc and do not appear to relate to the subjects referred to in par 226. above.

2. In this connection a reference is invited to the letter at pages 115-116 CF written to the CE consequent on the discussions at the Inter-State Secretaries Conference on 4/5.8.58. In view of para 1(i) of that letter, it is for orders whether the note called for from the CE may be awaited or whether ~~the files~~ attempts may be made to verify whether the files referred to in the list at page 114 CF have been received here. 250) The report from CE may be awaited; but, in the meantime, (A) may be done;

(A)

14/8/58.

14/8/58

14/8

250) With reference to paras 250-251 it is submitted that the Sus clerk is verifying whether the files referred by the CE have been received here.

251) (A) With reference to the A.P. Govt. letter at pages 117 to 119 CF and the orders at para 252 it is submitted that the A.P. Govt, in the list enclosed to their letter have

Letter No. 4149 I/56-31 dated 26.8.58 from the Secy. Govt. Andhra Pradesh regarding transfer of P.D.S. files.

Orders on the letter:- "xxx Are the files here. Verify and put up" Sd/- DKS 1.9.

Note.—Contn. Sheet.

TBP — Control over the Munirabad Side of the Project

File No. 6573) 775 of 195 Dated 18-7-195 Mysore Government Secretariat

Serial No.

not indicate the file numbers but only the subject-wise classification of the files asked for by them. Therefore there are no means of verifying whether the files required by them are available here or not. Further from a perusal of the list it appears that the files pertaining to the subjects referred to therein may, if at all be available in the office of C.E. and the concerned Divisions.

254) In the above circumstances it is for orders whether a copy of the list sent by the A.P. Govt. may be sent to the C.E. for verifying and intimating whether the files on the subjects referred to therein are available. Draft also submitted for consideration.

6/9/58

255) For perusal before I — Ref. para 252 above

K.L. 11/9

256) Force sport up to the Secretary

11/9

257) K.L. 14/9

258) Issued

19/9

WD 01774—GPB—P2

-1B/P — Control over the Municipalised side of the Department 55

Serial No.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
MI 1756
20 SEP 1958
PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTT.

259) The letter has issued. File resulted as per orders in para 256.

19/9/58 Secy (PWD)

260) 20/9

261) 5/9

262) 24/9

263) 5/9

264) Ref: paras 253 – 263 above. CE will be reminded for the report called for. Draft submitted for appr.

27/9/58

265) Issue 27/9/58

266) Issued 30/9

Cont A:— 4/10/58

1) Letter No. 4189 I/56-32 dated 15.9.58 from the ~~Chief Engineer~~ Secy. P.W.D. Andhra Pradesh reminding for sending the files.

2) Letter No. 2327/TA1 dated 7.11.58 from the Chief Engineer M.I.(N) forwarding a report of the action taken to send the R.D.S files required by A.P. Govt.

267) The communications at pages 129-130 C.F. are for perusal. The C.E.'s report at page 130 C.F. has reference to the notes in paras 253 to 261 above and page 122 C.F.

Note.—Contn. Sheet

TBP — control over the Munirabad Side of the project

56

File No. 8892 / 8698 / 10450 of 195 PWD 18 TBPS 57 Mysore Se

Serial No.

~~In our letter at para 12 of the Ch. Engr. we replied to paras 268 & 269 of the Govt. letter & pointed out that the Govt. required to give the data at page 120 & 121 were available in his Hydrologic office.~~

~~He is now forwarding the copy of the paper & the report.~~

268) In view of the present report of the Ch. Engr, the A.P. Govt. will be given a reply to their letter at page 117 C.F. as per the draft placed below for appr.

Dr 17/11/58. Mel 18/11/58

269) (Secy P.W.) In personal before done. GP 19.11.58

270) do 25/11 GP 25/11

271) Issued 8/12

272) This may be referred to Sri Shenoi for urgently indicating the result of the verification as per orders at paras 249 – 257 above.

Dr 10/1/59

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (G.229) 20 NOV 1958 PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTT.

Serial No.

273) The files are traced from the lists available and are flagged. This may now be marked to the Assistant concerned for taking further action. Necessary action may also be taken in connection with the files not available in the present lists received in the Major Irrigation Section.

Mr. MSH

16/1/59 274) Reference paras 226 to 251 of the note above It will be seen from the letters of the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh at pages 78, 87, ~~and~~ 97, and 103 C.F. that the ~~fixxxxrequixxxxxxx~~ Govt. of Andhra Pradesh have been requesting this Govt. to send the former Hyd. Govt. Secretariat files dealing with the following matters:-

a) Secretariat files relating to the Tungabhadra Left side canal which deal with the scope of the Tungabhadra Project as envisaged by the former Govt. of Hyderabad and how that Govt. proposed to utilise their share of the waters of the Tungabhadra Reservoir.

b) Secretariat files relating to the Rajolibunda project.

275) In this connection the Chief Engineer, Major Irrigation (North), after a good deal of verification has sent a list of the numbers and the subjects of the former Hyderabad Govt. PWD Secretariat files relating to the two projects. That list is placed below at page 114 C.F. It will be seen from that list that all the items indicated therein, except item 10, have no bearing ~~in so far as the subject matter~~ on the kind of the files ~~as~~ required by the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh. Only item No.10 viz."Rajolibunda Anicut Schemes - estimated cost of the ~~pm~~ project - file No.IB/4/T/55 F" appears to be relevant. It is therefore for orders whether the file relating to item 10 of the list at page 114 may be sent to the Govt.

Note.—Contn. Sheet

TBP - Control over the Munirabad side of the Project.

58

File No. PWD 18 TBP 57 of 195.

Mysore Government, Secretariat

Serial No. 10450 / MI (PW)

of Andhra Pradesh for taking copies and return of the original, or whether ~~xxxxxxxxxxxx~~ such of the files indicated in the Chief Engineers list and received here have to be sent to the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh.

276) The marginal notes of the Secy, P.W. on M. 78 C.F. and letter of C.E.M.D.N. at M. 82 C.F. are for perusal. These files will be required for frequent reference. Instead of sending these files to the A.P. Govt, we may address them to depute one of their authorised representatives to go through the records and make a selection of the particular records required, copies of which could be got done and made available.

Dy. Secy) 25.1.59

277) Secy P.W.D.) 27.1.59

DEPUTY SECRETARY P.W. DEPTT. No MI 254 27 JAN GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

278) Pl draft letter according & put up

29.1.59

(Secy out of HD Dis)

29.1.59

279) Reference to paras 276 & 278. Draft put up for approval.

30/1/59 31/1/59

280) For perusal before issue.

Dy. Secy) 31.1.59

281) Secy P.W.D.)

282) put up 2/2/59

283) Issue 4.2.59

284) Issued

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT MI 296 3 FEB 1959

DEPUTY SECRETARY P.W. DEPTT. No 2 FEB

Sushi 10/2/59

TBP- control over the Munirabad side of the project

59

Mysore Government Secretariat | File No. of 195 | [App. F. III 2

PWD 18 TBP 57

	Serial No.	
p. 141 c/7		D.O. letter No. 21/P1, dated the 28th April 1959 from the Chief Engineer, Irrigation projects, Munirabad.
		285) "This ~~may be~~ was agreed to at the time of conference although it was not put on record it will of course have to be on a reciprocal basis"
		Sd/- D.K.S. 30/4/59
		Minr. P.W.D.)
		286) Sd/- H.M.C. 7/5/59
		xxx
		287) "Inform C.E. accordingly"
		Sd/- D.K.S.
p. 143(a) c/7		288) Draft & fair put up
		289) Issue Sd/- K.P. 15/5/59
		290) Issued. 15/5/59
p. 145 c/7		Letter No. A189. I/56-40, dated the 21st May 1959 from the Secy to Govt of Andhra pradesh, P.W.D Hyderabad
		xxx
		291) "Yes, in terms of order already passed in the relevant file
		Sd/- D.K.S 25/5/59
		292) please paras 285 to 291
p. 143(a) c/7		of approves. Copy of the letter issued to C.E. I.P., Munirabad, may be sent to Secy to Govt of Andhra pradesh

Note.—Contn. Sheet

60 TBP - Control over the Thunirabad side of the project

8941/59 File No. ~~PWD 18 TBP 57~~ of 195 PWD 18 TBP 57

Mysore Government Secretariat

Serial No.

for his information with reference to his present letter. Draft also submitted for consideration

27/5/59

27/5/59

Dy Secy

1/6/59

Despatched In No. P.W.D. 18 TBP 57

Dated 1/6/59

Letter No. 9237/18/TB1 dated 22.8.59 from the Chief Engineer I.P. Munirabad.

Requests that the A.P. Govt may be requested to forward certain jobs.

(1) Orders on the letter:-

"See next page. Yes. thro' Secy. PWD. AP"

(Sd) DKS 24/8

(2) A draft letter to the A.P. Govt is submitted, as per orders above, for approval.

1/9/59 1/9/59

297) For perusal before issue

Secretary PWD

298)

299)

300) Despatched In No. P.W.D. 18 TBP 57

Dated 8th Sept 59

Susth. 8/9/59

The lists will be sent in origin. to A.P. Govt for appr. Yes. Cir. be for

WD 04700—GPB—PZS—8,000—1958

DEPUTY SECRETARY P.W. DEPTT. No. 28 MAY 19 GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

TBP — Control over the Munirabad side of the project — 61

Mysore Government Secretariat 8941/ 9402/59 File No. of 195 [App. F. III 2 PWD 18 TBP 57.

Serial No.

Letter No. 4189 I/56-50 dated 31.8.59 from the Secy. to the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh PWD.

Intimates that the Staff of the A.P. Govt. will come to Bangalore for taking extracts from the Secrt. files relating to TBP & RDS and requesting that they may be given facilities.

301) The A.P. Govt. letter at page 168 C.F. is for perusal and orders whether any action on it is necessary or whether it may be filed.

12/9/59

302) We may also have to depute our Secretariat staff to Andhra Secretariat to obtain files required by us as already written to them vide. Pgs 165–166 CF. For orders.

14-9-59

Secy P.W.D.

303) Not req. no Engg. Engineering staff need to be deputed by Govt. IP req so long work also.

By wrong, have we got all or other files relating to canals to TBP on Munirabad side? If not, have we asked AP govt for them? What is the response?

Phone put up and

17/9

Note.—Contn. Sheet

SECRETARIAT MG 2271 15 SEP 1959 PUBLIC WORKS & ELECTRICITY

62

File No. P. [illegible] of 195

Mysore Government Secretariat

Serial No.

~~Resubmitted~~

Resubmitted with ref to the Secy's query on para 303 ante. The Govt of A.P. have been addressed for the files relating to sanctions to TBP on Hyderabad side vide pl. c.l. No PWD 187 BRS/57 dt 8-9-59 at P 165 c/f. No reply has been received from the Govt of A.P. so far.

28/9/59 28/9/59 29/9.

Secy PWD

30) Pl remind AP Govt

29/10

306 Put up with a reminder.

17/10 17/10 Issue. 19/10

Despatched In No. P.W.D. 15 TBP 57

Dated 28th October 59

Letter No [illegible] dt [illegible] x P 143 from the Secy to the V.C.A.

307) Submitted for perusal with ref to para 306 supra. The file relating to sanctions of the TBP on

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT 29 SEP 1959 PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTT. MD 2396 5/10

Serial No.

the Munirabad side by the former Hyderabad Govt. said to have been sent to this Govt has not been received in this Deptt. Perhaps the S.R.O which has received the files from Hyderabad, may be able to state the position.

308) The file relating to rectification of the planning commission award of 1951, being of common interest has been retained by the Govt of A.P. It is for consideration whether the Govt of A.P may be addressed to make available the file to us, so that relevant extracts can be taken, and the file returned to them.

24/11/59 23/11/59.

S.R.O)

For remarks in para 307 above

U-SP (W)

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
No. 10871
25 NOV 1959
STATE REORGANISATION DEPT.

R 95

9 Files sent by Andhra Pradesh Government have been received in the General Records and current files have been passed on to the concerned departments. General Records may be consulted whether the file referred to has been received from A.P. Government.

310 Regarding the file referred to in para 308 the A.P. Government has been copying out all post Police

Note.—Contn. Sheet

64 GAD 1818 (Adm-4) 59

File No. 18 TBP of 195

Mysore Government Secretariat

Serial No. 10871

Action Files and sending copies of the files to this State. P.W.D. may address the A.P. Government and enquire whether the file required has been duplicated.

If not, the original file may be obtained ~~for reference~~ and return.

26.XI.59.

(B.R.Deen Dayal)
Under Secy to Govt., GAD (Int)

Under Secy.
P.W.D.

SECRETARIAT 25 NOV 1959 PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. D. 2257

27/11 27/11

3(p) Pl. refer para 308 ante. They may be referred to GAD (Records) for remarks

30/11/59 30/11/59.

1/12/59

GAD Records

2/12/59

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT No. ... I-E&C GENERAL RECORDS.

3/12 All the boxes of records received from Integrated areas in General Records Section were distributed to the different branches of the Secti. to which they relate without opening them. The Branches of the Secti. opened the boxes and sent the closed files to General Records, retaining current files for necessary further action in the Section. With this information the file may be sent to P.W.D. (M.I.).

8.12.59

To the Supdt PWD (MI)

5/12/59

WD 04000—GPB—PZS—8,00,000—1958

Serial No.

3 Submitted with ref to paras 308 and 310 ante. This may be referred back to the GAD (Int) ~~with a request~~ for perusal of the note of the GAD (Records) at Para 311 ante. The Deptt (GAD Integrity) may also be requested to indicate whether they do not possess a list of files received from the Govt of A.P., if not to state how the receipt of the file by Govt can be verified.

12280 GAD DEPT.

3.14) GAD (Int) is not in possession of the list. A reference may be made to the Dept requesting them to furnish the date of reference through which the file was transferred.

19.12.59.

U/S P.W.D.

SA. (Int)

MYSORE GOVERNMENT M 02921 21 DEC 1959 PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTT.

3.15) Submitted with ref to paras 307-3 ante. The ~~Govt~~ Govt of AP may

Note.—Contn. Sheet

66

File No. of 195

Mysore Government Secretariat

Serial No.

perhaps be addressed as per the draft put up for appl.

O.S.D) 3(6) For perusal before sane

5/1/60 5/1

3(7) 6/1/60

For his perusal before sane

8/1.

say pwd)

3(8) 11/1/60

12/1/60

12/1/60

Issue

14/1

Despatched in No. P.W.D. 18 TBP.57

Dated 21/1/60

3(9) Draft and fair reminder is put up

20/5

Despatched in No. P.W.D. 18 TBP.57

Dated 25th May 60

320) A draft DO Reminder is put up for appl.

10/10/60 12/10/60

321 Issue

14/10

Despatched in No. P.W.D. 18 TBP.57

Dated 22nd Oct. 60

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT No. 161 7 JAN 1960 P.W.D. (BUILDING SECTION)

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT WORKS & ELEC. DEPTT.

Say A

23/1/60

Mysore Government Secretariat

PWD File No. 18 TBP of 1957. [App. F. III 2

Serial No.

7. P. 207 cf

Letter No 5992 I/60-1 dt 15-11-1960 from Secy to Govt of Andhra pradesh. P.W.D. Hyderabad.

322 Subd with draft for approval.

25/11

28/11/60

323) Issue

29/11

Despatched in No. P.W.D. 18 T.B.P.57.
Dated 9.12.60

Sub 17
24/1/61

324) Draft memorandum is put up for approval

324/3.

324) Issue

28/3

Despatched in No. P.W.D. 18 TBP57
Dated 28th March 61

325 Letter No 5992 I/60-2 dt 21/1/61 from the Andh. Secy to Govt of A.P. (W.D.)

326 Submitted with reg to paying 3½ crore. This may be referred to CAD (Cont.) for favour of remarks

For F/O remarks

15/5/61 GAD (Int)

15/5

15/5/61.

RGS.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
2359
17 MAY 1961
G. A. D. (INTEGRATION)

Note.—Contn. Sheet

Hyderabad Records — Tracing of

File No. (8TBS 5) of 195 .
GAD 17 GSR 61

Mysore Government Secretariat

Serial No.

326) The records received from Hyderabad are with the General Records. They may be asked if the same is with them

2359 9.6.61

19.5.61

B. R. DEENDAYAL,
Under Secy., G.A.D. (Int).

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
No. 612 GAD
20 MAY 1961
PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTT.

327) This may be returned to General Records with a request to verify

Central Records

20/5/61

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO. 857
23 MAY 1961
G.A.D. ADMINISTRATION-4

Sri BMR

22/5

328) Among the two files referred to by the AP Govt at Flag (A), file no. 9B/625/T/58 was (taken) sent to PWD (M9) branch on 28/10/59 for Diary no. 9402/M9/59 and has not yet been returned to Records. As regards file No. 9B/457/T/55, it has not been included in the list of files of Hyderabad Secretariat transferred to the General Records by the PW branch. With these remarks the file may be returned to PWD (M9) branch with all the enclosures.

25/5/61

25/5/61

B. N. NANJUNDAPPA,
Under Secy., G.A.D. (General).

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
No. MY 868
30 MAY 1961
PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTT.

Serial No.

~~Writs case~~

329 Submitted with ref to para 329 ante. Writs regard to file No. IB 1451 to 1458 relating to sanction of the revised estimate of Dam the Govt of A.P. have intimated that it was sent under Railway Receipt No 160 dt 7/12/56. along with other files. (7 cases).

330) In para 2 of their letter at Flag A, the Govt of A.P. have noted that the file relating to the authorisation of the Planning Commission's award of 1951, was duplicated and sent to this Govt prior to reorganisation of states. GAD (Integ.) may again kindly see for remarks

6/6/61. (331) For ?o remarks

6/6/61.

GAD (Integ^n)

7/6 ?/8 p.w.

332) GAD (Int) to have received the files. Clarification may be sought for from A/P first as to when they were sent. Meanwhile general records may be consulted.

6/6/61.

Dy Sec GAD (Int)

U/S J.W.J

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT No. 2719 8 JUN 1961 G. A. D. (INTEGRATION)

MYSORE GOVERNMENT No. 49944 1961

Note.—Contn. Sheet

70

File No. PWD 18 TBS of 196-

Mysore Government Secretariat

Serial No.

333) Submitted with ref to para 331 ante. The ~~GAD~~ General Records was already consulted and their remarks are at para 328 ante.

The Govt. of A.P. have intimated that they have sent the file under Railway Receipt No 160 dt 7/12/56. In view of this it is not clear on what line the Govt of A.P. may be addressed again. This may be referred back to GAD (integration) for elucidating the point. That Deptt may also offer remarks on para 330 ante.

334 for its remarks

24/6

Dy Secy

25/6

25/6

17/6/61

17/6/61 SAA (Integn)

335) A/P first may be addressed to intimate the number & date of communication forwarding the R/R & to whom it was addressed.

21/6

B. R. DEEN DAYAL,
Dy. Secy., GAD (Int.)

D/S D.W.D.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
No. 2906
20 JUN 1961
G. A. D. (INTEGRATION)

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
M.2998
21 JUN 1961
PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTT.

WD 07273—GPB—PZS—8.00.000—1900

Serial No.

336) Resubmitted with a draft for appr.

337) The draft is for appr. Para 2 of the draft letter is specifically for approval or other orders.

338) appd. Issue

27/6/61

Despatched to No. P.W.D. 18 TBPS 7

Dated 30th June 61

6/5/61

Letter No.46 (12)/60-DW.I dated 12-10-1961 from the UnderSecy. to the Govt. of India, Ministry of Irrigation and Power.

339 Submitted for perusal. The Government of India have called for the comments of this State, on the letter of the Govt. of Andhara Pradesh dated 19-5-1961. The letter of the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh relates to the question of placing the common works of T.B.P. on the Left side (including Hydro Electric Project) and the RDS under the control of the T.B. Board. The letter of the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh is dealt with parawise as under:-

340) Para 1 of the letter:

The Union Minister for Irrigation and Power and the Deputy Minister for Irrigation and

Serial No.

Power have also been addressed by this Government for indicating the place and date of the next Inter-State Conference.

341) Para 2 of the letter:- The Government of Andhra Pradesh have separately addressed this Government to ~~xxxxxxxx~~ hand over the Head works and first 27 miles of the RDS canal in exchange for some villages in the Andhra Pradesh. The proposal is separately under consideration of Government. The proposal now made by the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh to the Govt. of India is that the Head Works and Common works of the RDS be placed under the control of the T.B.Board.

342 Para 3. Further the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh have requested the Govt. of India to incorporate in the Presidential Order contemplated by the Government of India, the matter regarding placing the common works of T.B.P. Left Bank Canal (including the H.E.Scheme) and the Head Works and common portions of the RDS under the control of the T.B.Board for maintenance operations and control. In this connection the letter of the Government of India dated 17-6-1957 at p.56 C.F. and the notes recorded at paras 116 to 139 ante may please be perused. The Govt. of India have pointed out that according to section 108 (2) (b) of the S.R.Act, the concerned State should

Serial No.

attempt at arriving an agreement, and if no agreement is reached, ~~xxxxxxxxx~~ the question of issuing a direction would arise. With regard to the T.B. Left Bank Canal Project, the position has already been made clear to the Govt. of India, viz., that no part of the canal and no part of the ayacut under the canal on the northern side is in Andhra Pradesh, and therefore the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh cannot have any interest, and the question of discussing it in the Inter-State Conference or bringing it under the control of the Board does not arise vide Govt. letter dated 7-9-1957 at p. 66 CF. This position has not altered now. The Andhra Govt. have tried to claim benefits from the T.B. Left Bank Canal to areas in Gadwal and Alampur Taluks in Andhra Pradesh. The matter was discussed at the various Inter-State Conferences. The Govt. of Mysore have not accepted the contention of the Andhra Pradesh. Therefore we may reiterate that as the Andhra Govt. ~~cannot~~ have no claim ~~any~~ and/or interest in the L.B.Canal of the T.B.P., Mysore Govt. do not agree for placing this work under the control of the T.B. Board. As regards RDS, Govt. of Mysore agreed to discuss at the Inter-State Conference vide G.L.dated 7-9-1957 at p. 66 C.F. Accordingly the subject was discussed at the various Inter-State Conferences at the level of C.Es, Secys, Ministers, and C.Ms of the two States. In the Inter-State Conference of the C.Ms and Ministers in-charge of the two States held on 5th and 6th June 1959 a satisfactory arrangement for maintenance of the Head Works and common portions of RDS was reached (vide item 3 of the minutes). It was however

para 4

Note.—Contn. Sheet

stipulated that the position may be reviewed after one year. After the Inter-State Conference of Nov. 1959, no further conference has been held. It is therefore clear that the matter is still kept open for the two participating States to come to an arrangement. This matter could as well be pursued in the proposed Inter-State Conference to be held in the presence of the Union Minister for Irrigation and Power vide para 1 above. Therefore the request of the Andhra Govt. to place these works under the control of the Tungabhadra Board is too premature.

3 In the circumstances, it is for consideration whether the above comments may be communicated to the Government of India.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
No 7342
- 2 NOV 1961
P. W. D. (BUILDING SECTION)

31/10

31/10/61

for no remarks
to
"Y" Adj

O/A

(3.4.61)

the A.P. letter dated 12/10/61 to GOI, mentions a no/. of previous letters written by them to GOI & replies received from GOI thereto. Copies of all these should be obtained from GOI in the first instant, so that various contentions made in the AP letter could be viewed in their full perspective.

(3.4.5)

So far as Tungabhadra is concerned, the stand taken by respective Govts is already known to GOI vide the memoranda sent to GOI in May 61, copies placed below)

Serial No.

PWD 7342/B

As made clear in the Mysore's memorandum the sanctioned project on the L.B. side was never of interstate nature & question of application of section 108(2) of S.R. Act does not arise.

346) So far as Rajolibund Anicut is concerned, the common reach (first 27 miles) will have to remain with Mysore & Mysore must oppose any introduction of a Board.

D.S. (I)

K. G. MALLIKARJUNAIYA
Chief Engineer (Irrigation)
Land Mining Board

12/11/61

NDR 16/11

347) submitted with ref to paras 339 to 343 ante. The lines on which the C.A.C. may be revised may pl be indicated

24/11/61

The letter at f 223-225 C.E. and the notes in paras 339-346 above may please be seen. It is for orders whether as per para 344 above, the Govt of India may be addressed to send copies of all the relevant references first.

This requires orders of the Secy. PWD (E. 350) (A) in para 348 above may be done.

Secy PWD (E)

28/11/61

351) Secy (I&E)

24.11.61

20/11

15/11/61

DS (I)

M.J. 2031
PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTT

Note.—Contn. Sheet

176

File No. 18 TBPS7 of 195.

Mysore Government Secretariat

Serial No.

352) Resubmitted with a draft for appl

22/12/61

353) Issue

23/12/61

Despatched In No. P.W.D. 18 TBPS7
Dated 2nd Jan. 62

Letter No. 46(12)/60 - DW-I dt 20.1.62 from the U.S. to the C.S.I., Ministry of I.&S.

354) Submitted for general with ref to paras 344, 348 and 350 ante. The C.S.I. have forwarded copies of the relevant references called for in this Govt letter at P229 c/R, except the ref. at S.No.4 indicated therein. The C.S.I. have added that any ref. will be sent in due course.

For a gist of the case, typed notes at Paras 339 to 343 ante may kindly be seen.

It is for consideration

Mysore Government Secretariat

Serial No.

whether the copy of the reference promised by Govt, or the remarks to be sent to the G of I may be finalised.

This may be referred to the O.S.D. for remarks with reference to his note in para 344 above.

6/2/62

6/2/62

356) I believe we have since received the Ministry of Home Affairs letter also, referred to at page 231 c.f. Pl. put up that.

9.2.62

357) Resubmitted. Govt of I have since furnished a copy of the last reference from the Ministry of Home Affairs vide P 245 c/p. With this all the references called for in this Govt dt 24.1.62 (P 229 c/p) have been received. The reference may be found at c.f. 233, 235, 237-239, 241 & 245 c/p.

Pl. refer paras 353-356 supra

356 For fo. remarks

12/2/62 O.S.D.

12/2/62

Note.—Contn. Sheet

74 File No. 18 TBP of 1957. PWD 964/1962

Mysore Government Secretariat

Serial No.

359) A draft reply to G.O. is placed at P. 145 to 149 C.F.

15/2/62

S. G. BALEKUNDRY,
Officer on Special Duty,
Public Works Department.

Advisor

360) MSI

M. S. THIRUMALE IYENGAR,
Adviser to Government, P.W.D.

Secy.

D.S. (I) 361) Draft letter for perusal before issue.

26/2

P. J. FERNANDES,
Secy., P.W. & Elecy.

Chief Secy

MPWE

362) 27/2

R. J. REBELLO,
Chief Secretary.

363) 27/2

E. T. VEERANNA GOWDE,
Minister for P. W. & Elecy.

CM.

364) 5/3

Secy (PWD)

365) D.S. (I) may please issue the letter as approved.

12/3/62

S. G. BALEKUNDRY,
Officer on Special Duty,
Public Works Department.

D.S. (I.)

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT No. 964 13 FEB 1962 P. W. D. (BUILDING SECTION)

OFFICE OF THE ADVISER & ADDITIONAL SECRETARY TO GOVT. P. W. D. No..... 17 FEB 1962

OFFICE OF THE ADVISER & ADDITIONAL SECRETARY TO GOVT. P. W. D. No..... 17 FEB 1962 17/2/62

OFFICE OF THE ADVISER & ADDITIONAL SECRETARY TO GOVT. P. W. D. No. 28 Adv. 21 FEB 1962

SECRETARIAT No. B.S. 410 21 1962 PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTT.

C.S. 1560 27/2

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR PUBLIC WORKS & AUTHORITY No. 3742 28 FEB 1962

6291/62

MYSORE 1962 PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTT.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT No..... 9 MAR 1962 P. W. D. (BUILDING SECTION)

WD 04700—GPB—PZS—8,00,000—1958

Serial No.

365) Issue

14.3.62

Despatch in [illegible] 18 TBP 57

Dated [illegible]

19/3/62

366) A draft reminder is put up for appl

25/5/63

25/5/63 367) May I know the object of reminding G.O.?

28/5

Draft A para 2 C3 page 337.

[illegible] 3/6

The work pertaining to this 33 MTP 62 on 28/1/62 is received back on 20/12/62

368) Resubmitted. The letter dt 30/6/61 addressed to the Govt of A.P. as p. 221 C/R may pl. be seen. As no reply has been received from that Govt, it is proposed to remind them.

29/5/63

369 For panel before issue

29/5/63

D.S.(S) 31.5.1

Issue 31/5

Sept. 31/5

Sent 31/5

Sent 31/5

Sent

80

File No. of 196 .

Mysore Government Secretariat

Serial No.

Despatched In No. P.W.D. 18 T Bp 57

Dated 4/6/63

See A
Pl. [illegible]
4/7/63

Copy of
~~Letter~~ No W/1304/1731/TA, dt 22/6/63
from the CE P.

x P 254 Ch

370) Submitted for perusal.

Re A' of the CE's letter,
the Cost of AP may be
sanctioned as per the draft
put up - Sh and

P 255 Ch

3/7/63

371) For perusal before the

JS

D-S/9)

372) [illegible]
8.7.63
M. A. SUBHAN KHAN,
Jt. Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

JOINT SECRETARY TO GOVT.
No 1873
- 8 JUL 1963

373) [illegible]
9/7

Sub A [illegible]
11/7/63

Despatched In No. P.W.D. 18 T Bp 57

Dated 11/7/63

WD-010154—GPB—PZS—8,00,000—1-10-61

81

[App. F. III 2

sore Government Secretariat

File No. 18 T.D.S. of 1963

Serial No.

— P253 dt

374) Resubmitted with a draft reminder for approval.

20/8/63

375) Issue

24/8

Dispatched in No. P.W.D. 18 T.D.S. 57

Dated 29/8/63

Sub. reminder 29/9/63

6/9/63

— P253 dt

Letter No 5379-I-2/63-1 dt 3/10/63 from the A.Secy to Govt AP, Engg, Hyderabad

376) Submitted with draft reply for approval.

KP 260 dt

10/10/63

377) Issue

19/11/63

2.12.63

PTO

Mtn. Sheet

File No. 18 T B P 57 of 196.

Mysore Government Secretariat

Serial No.

L. No. 5369. II/63-2, dt 24-12-63 from the Addl. Secy. to Gt. of A.P., Hyderabad. + P. 261/LF

378) For perusal. A draft letter is put up for affd, forwarding the copy copies of original references. 378 r P. 262/LF

10/1/64

10/1/64

379. Issue

14.1.64

Issued 14/1/64.

380) Resubmitted. A draft reminder to the Govt of A.P. 3 pwrs for appl. r P 265 ch

15/6/64

381) Issue 16/6/64

Dated 20/6/64

382) Resubmitted with a draft reminder for appl.

29/11/65

(383) Issued

30/1/65

Dated 5-2-65

WD-010154—GPB—PZS—8,00,000—1-10-61

Mysore Government Secretariat

File No. 18772 of 1965

[App. F. III 2

Serial No.

Continued

(385) Reply still awaited. A draft reminder is put up for approval
18/1/66.

18/1/66

(386) 19/1/66

(387) Issued
Dated 25/1/66

(388) Reply still awaited. A draft reminder is put up for approval.
17/3/67.

17/3/67

~~Issued~~
~~17/3/67~~

389) 17/3

Issued
Dated 27/3/67

390) A draft reminder at page 271 C.F. is put for approval.
27/10/67.

27/X/67

(392) Issued
Dated 6/11/67

(391)
31/XI

Note.—Contn. Sheet

84 Tungabhadra Project - Munirabad side — Exchange of records —

File No. PWD 18 T.B.P 57 of 196 .

Mysore Government Secretariat

Serial No.

Letter No. 311-31-67-1 dt 20-9-67 from the Govt. of A.P. Hyderabad.

(393) The letter of Govt. of AP may be perused as page 272 CF in which they are asking copies of original letters of their Govt. & this Govt. for sending replies.

Kind reference is invited to AP Govt. letter dt 24-12-1963 (page 262 CF) in which similar request was made. Copies of reference was then sent as per this Govt. dt 17-1-64 (page 264 CF). If approved, the AP. Govt. will be informed accordingly.

14/11/67

14/11/67

(396) 14/XI

M. G. S.

Subject: Tungabhadra Project — Munirabad side — Exchange of Records

File No. Proj 18 TBP 57

(395) Ref. paras 393 to 396 ante a draft letter is put up.

21/11/67

21/11/67

(396) Issue & put up

22/11

(397) 23.11.67

(398) Letter issued & file resubmitted w.r to para 396 above.

23/11/67

23/11/67

399) Pl. see

So)

28/11

400) Ref. para 399 above. In this file we have been pursuing the question of getting from A.P. Govt. certain fits relating to T.B.P Left Bank. In this connection the correspondence at pages 199, 217, 221 and 256 ante may be seen. We have been pursuing the matter for over seven to eight years and there appears to

WD 01050—GPB—8,00,000 13-12-65

be no hope of getting the files. ~~[illegible]~~ Hence, this file will be closed as a B.Record, if appd.

28/12/67

(401) In the Inter-State Conference held between the Secretaries of the Govts. of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore in October 1957, it was agreed that the records pertaining to the Tungabhadra Project Left Bank Canal and Upper Krishna and Bhima Projects should be exchanged between the Chief - Engineers of Mysore and Andhra Pradesh. Accordingly, the Chief Engineer, Irrigation (North), Munirabad, sent some files connected with the Tungabhadra Project to the Chief - Engineer, Andhra Pradesh, but it was reported that no files regarding the Upper-Krishna Scheme were sent to Mysore in written. Kindly see Pages 82-85/c.f. Subsequently, some more files relating to the Tungabhadra Project Left Bank Canal and Rajolibunda were sent to the Andhra Pradesh. Kindly see at Page 135/c.f. Subsequently in the Inter-State Conference, held in February 1959, it was agreed that the Andhra Pradesh Govt. may depute certain Staff to Munirabad for examining the files available in the Chief Engineer's Office at Munirabad and that on a reciprocal arrangement the staff from Mysore would also go to Hyderabad and examine. Records available there. Kindly see at Pages 141 to 143.

M. G. S. | Subject: | File No. 87

WD 01050—GPB—8,00,000 13-12-65

Certain staff from the Andhra Pradesh appears to have come to Munirabad and examined the various files available there and took copies of the same. It is also seen that these Staff of the Andhra Pradesh Govt. also visited the Secretariat and obtained extracts from the relevant Secretariat files also. Please see at Page 168/c.f. Similarly, the staff from the Office of the Chief Engineer, Munirabad, also was deputed to Hyderabad and had obtained certain copies of the relevant files. It was also reported by the Chief Engineer that a number of files are not available in the office of CE Andhra Pradesh and therefore that the Andhra Pradesh Govt. may be addressed to make available those files. The Govt. of Andhra Pradesh was accordingly addressed to make available those files to the Chief Engr. of Mysore for examination and it was also requested that certain files relating to Hyderabad Govt. Secretariat may also be made available to the Govt. of Mysore. Kindly see Pages 151to 165/c.f. After this the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh have intimated that the Secretariat files of Hyderabad Govt. were already transferred to Mysore and they had intimated number and dates of such a despatch. Please see at Page 195/c.f. In response we have asked Govt. of Andhra Pradesh to intimate certain further particulars regarding the despatch of the Secretariat files to Govt. There has been no reply in this regards. Please see at Page 221/c.f. There has been no reply from the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh even with regards to the files of the Chief Engineer of Andhra Pradesh which had to be made available to the Chief Engineer of Mysore for examination. There has been correspondence between the Govt. of Mysore and Andhra Pradesh. and the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh have been asking every time for copies of our letters. Even though nearly six to seven years have lapsed there has been absolutely no positive response from the

p.t.o.

Govt. of Andhra Pradesh in this matter. It ~~is~~ therefore appears that there ~~appears to be~~ no hope of receiving any files in this regards from Andhra Pradesh Govt. It is therefore for consideration whether we should keep this issue current by reminding to the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh again in the matter.

M. G. S.

Subject: JBP Control over the Hemirabad Side of the project.

File No. PWD 1/8 JBP 87 (89)

WD 01050—GPB—8,00,000 13-12-65

Letter No 311-I1/67-2 dated 16.3-68 from the Secy Andhra Pradesh Hyderabad.

409) With reference to para 405 above, the reply received from the Andhra Pradesh is placed at pages 279-280 ~~ef~~ for perusal. The reply received from the Andhra Pradesh does not serve any purpose. ~~He has only again requested to contact CE Hemirabad~~.

Submitted for orders ~~to~~

28/3/68

410) Let us again ask for a specific reply to para one of our letter dated 30-6-61. We may enclose a copy of the same.

28/3/68

28/3

411) Reference Para 410 above. A draft at Pages 281-282 ~~ef~~ is for after pl.

8/4/68

412) Issued

ISSUED

Dated 17/4/68

8/4/68

10/4

Subject: 90 TBP Control over the Munirabad side of the project. File No. PWD 18 TBP 57 M. G. S.

Reference to Paras 411 & 412 ante; draft Reminder (413) to PWD

7/11/69

7/11

ISSUED
Dated 14/11/69

Letter No 4357-N2/68-1 dt. 25/1/69 from the G.O. Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad. P-285/C.F.

The letter received from the G.O.A.P is for Perusal. The G.O.A.P requests for a copy of their letter No 5992-I/60-2 P.W. dt. 21/4/61. A draft letter to the Govt to G.O.A.P is put up for appl. at P-286/C.F.

6/2. The file will be stitched after issue of the letter

6/2

ISSUED
Dated 11/2/69

This may be closed as a Recorded 'B' if approved.

28/10/69

28/10/69

REGISTERED No. D. 221.

The Gazette of India

सत्यमेव जयते

EXTRAORDINARY

PART I—Section 1

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 121] NEW DELHI, TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 29, 1953

MINISTRY OF IRRIGATION AND POWER

New Delhi, the 29th September 1953

NOTIFICATION

No. DWII-22(129).—In pursuance of sub-section (4) of section 66 of the Andhra State Act, 1953 (30 of 1953), the President hereby gives the following directions in regard to the Tungabhadra Project, namely:—

1. There shall be established with effect from the 1st day of October, 1953, a Board by the name of the Tungabhadra Board which shall consist of a Chairman to be appointed by the Central Government and of the following persons as members:—

(1) Shri L. Venkatakrishna Ayyar, Chief Engineer, Irrigation, Andhra.

(2) Shri K. S. Gangadhara, Chief Engineer, Mysore.

(3) Shri P. Pappiah, Chief Engineer, Irrigation, Projects, Hyderabad.

(4) Shri B. R. Somayajulu, Chief Engineer, Electricity, Andhra.

(5) Shri G. Chandy, Chief Electrical Engineer, Mysore.

(6) Shri Abdul Khader, Chief Electrical Engineer, Hyderabad.

2. (1) There shall be a Vice-Chairman of the Board and every Member shall act as the Vice-Chairman for a period of three months from the date of his assumption of office by rotation in the order in which their names have been placed in paragraph 1.

(2) The Chairman, whenever present, shall preside over the meetings of the Board and, in his absence, the Vice-Chairman shall preside over such meetings and perform such other functions as may be delegated to him by the Board.

3. (1) The Board shall have a whole-time Secretary who shall be appointed by the Central Government.

(2) All orders and decisions of the Board shall be authenticated by the signature of the Secretary of the Board.

4. (1) All matters in which there is any difference of opinion among the Members shall be referred to the Chairman whose decision thereon shall be final:

Provided that if there is any difference of opinion regarding any question of policy or the rights of the States concerned, the matter shall be referred by the Chairman to the Central Government and the decision of the Central Government, in such a case, shall be final.

Explanation.—If any Member expresses any doubt as to whether a question is a question of policy or whether the rights of the States concerned are involved, the matter shall be referred by the Chairman to the Central Government.

(2) Subject to the provisions of sub-paragraph (1) of this paragraph, the Board may make rules for the conduct of its business.

5. (1) The Board shall take charge of, and deal with, all matters relating to works on or connected with the Tungabhadra Project which are common to both

the States of Andhra and Mysore, but nothing in this sub-paragraph shall be deemed to authorise the Board to deal with any matter in respect of works which relate to only one of the States or in which only one State is interested.

(2) In particular, and without prejudice to the generality of the foregoing powers, the functions of the Board shall include—

(a) the completion of the construction of the sanctioned Tungabhadra Project and its operation and maintenance during the period of such construction;

(b) the regulation of supplies of water and power in accordance with such rules as may be made in this behalf by the Board;

(c) the maintenance of the dam and reservoir of the Project;

(d) the maintenance of the main canal and of other works relating to both the States of Andhra and Mysore;

(e) the granting of leases of fisheries in the reservoir and in the main canal;

(f) the proper utilisation of land acquired for the purposes of the Project;

(g) any other function incidental to, or connected with, the functions specified in clauses (a) to (f).

6. (1) The Governments of Andhra and Mysore shall provide at all times the necessary funds for the construction and maintenance of the Tungabhadra Project:

Provided that the liability for the expenditure on the Tungabhadra Project shall be apportioned between the States of Andhra and Mysore in such proportion as may be agreed upon between the two State Governments, and, in the absence of any such agreement, in such proportion as may be fixed in this behalf by the Central Government.

(2) The Governments of Andhra and Mysore shall continue to give the same facilities to the Audit Officer of the Project and other officers engaged in connection with the Project for the payment of moneys into and withdrawal of money from the treasuries and sub-treasuries located in their respective territories as were enjoyed by such officers immediately before the 1st day of October, 1953.

7. The Board shall, in relation to the technical sanction, administrative approval and other sanctions required for the construction and maintenance of the Tungabhadra Project, have all the powers which the Chief Engineers of Madras have, in relation to any project in the State of Madras, under the Public Works Department Code and the Electricity Department Code:

Provided that the powers exercisable under either of the said codes by the Government of Madras shall be exercised by the Central Government.

8. (1) All contracts to be made in connection with the Tungabhadra Project after the 1st day of October, 1953, shall be expressed to be made jointly by and in the name of the State Governments of Andhra and Mysore and all such contracts shall be executed on behalf of the two Governments by the Secretary of the Board or such other officer as may be authorised by the Board in this behalf.

(2) Neither the Secretary nor any officer authorised by the Board making or executing any such contract shall be personally liable in respect thereof.

9. (1) The staff, which immediately before the 1st day of October, 1953, was working on the Tungabhadra Project, shall, as far as possible, continue to work on the Project after that day but the Governments of Andhra and Mysore may, if in their opinion it is necessary so to do, replace the existing staff by other persons in such manner and in such proportion as may be agreed upon between the two Governments, and, in the absence of such agreement, as may be determined in this behalf by the Central Government.

(2) The staff employed on the Project shall, as from the 1st day of October, 1953, be considered to be under the administrative control of the Board.

10. The Governments of Andhra and Mysore may depute such persons as they may designate either generally or specially to inspect the works on or connected with the Tungabhadra Project which are common to both the States of Andhra and Mysore.

A. R. KHANNA, Dy. Secy.

PRINTED IN INDIA BY THE MANAGER, GOVT. OF INDIA PRESS, NEW DELHI AND PUBLISHED BY THE MANAGER OF PUBLICATIONS, DELHI, 1953

REGISTERED No. D. 221.

The Gazette of India

EXTRAORDINARY

PART I—Section 1

PUBLISHED BY AUTHORITY

No. 36] NEW DELHI, MONDAY, MARCH 14, 1955

MINISTRY OF IRRIGATION AND POWER

NOTIFICATION

New Delhi, the 10th March 1955

No. DW.VI-4(9).—In pursuance of sub-section (4) of section 66 of the Andhra State Act, 1953 (30 of 1953), and in supersession of the Notification of the Government of India in the Ministry of Irrigation and Power, dated the 29th September, 1953, the President hereby gives the following directions in regard to the Tungabhadra Project, namely:—

1. There shall be established with effect from the 15th March 1955, a Board by the name of the Tungabhadra Board consisting of—

Chairman

(1) Shri B. K. Gokhale, I.C.S., (Retd.)

Members

(2) Shri L. Venkatakrishana Ayyar, Special Chief Engineer, Andhra.

(3) Shri D. K. Srinivasachar, Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Public Works and Electricity Department.

(4) Shri D. V. Rao, Chief Engineer, Public Works Department, Irrigation Projects, Hyderabad.

(5) Shri S. Ratnam, Joint Secretary, Government of India in the Ministry of Finance or any other officer of that Ministry authorised by that Ministry in this behalf to attend any meeting of the Board in his absence.

2. The Chairman, if present, shall preside over a meeting of the Board but if the Chairman is absent from any meeting of the Board, the Members shall choose one of their number to preside.

3. (i) All matters relating to the Project works of common interest to the States of Andhra and Mysore, brought before any meeting of the Board shall be decided by a majority of the Members of the Board present and voting at the meeting before which such matters are brought and the decision of the Board shall be final:

Provided that where with reference to any matter brought before the Board, the Chairman is satisfied that there is a difference of opinion among the Members on any question of policy or the rights of the States concerned involved in the consideration of such matter, the Chairman shall refer the matter to the Central Government whose decision thereon shall be final.

Explanations.—I. If any Member raises at any meeting of the Board any point as to whether a question is a question of policy or whether any rights of the States concerned are involved in the consideration of a matter before the Board, a decision on the points so raised shall be given by the Chairman.

II. Where any Member dissents from any decision so given by the Chairman, it shall be lawful for the State Government. whose representative that Member is, to represent to the Government of India through the Chairman the matter on which a decision has been given by the Chairman and where this is so done, the Chairman shall refer the matter to the Central Government whose decision thereon shall be final.

(ii) Subject to the provisions of sub-paragraph (i), the Board may make rules for the conduct of its own business.

(iii) No act or proceedings of the Board shall be invalid merely on the ground of the existence of any vacancy in, or the absence of any member of, the Board.

4. (i) The Board shall take charge of, and deal with, all matters relating to works on, or connected with, the Tungabhadra Project which are common to both the States of Andhra and Mysore, but nothing in this sub-paragraph shall be deemed to authorise the Board to deal with any matter in respect of works which relate to only one of the States or in which only one State is interested.

(ii) In particular, and without prejudice to the generality of the foregoing powers, the functions of the Board shall include—

(a) the completion of the construction of the sanctioned Tungabhadra Project;

(b) the regulation of supplies of water and power in accordance with such rules as may be made in this behalf by the Board;

(c) the maintenance of the main canal and of other works common to both the States of Andhra and Mysore;

(d) maintenance of the dam and reservoir of the Project;

(e) the granting of leases of fisheries in the reservoir and in the main canal;

(f) the proper utilisation of land acquired for the purposes of the Project; and

(g) any other function incidental to, or connected with, the functions specified in clauses (a) to (f).

5. (i) For the efficient performance of its functions, the Board may appoint a whole-time Secretary and such other officers and servants as it considers necessary.

(ii) During any absence on leave of the Secretary, the Board shall appoint a person to act as Secretary and every person so appointed shall exercise the powers conferred and perform the duties imposed on the Secretary by or under this notification.

(iii) All orders and decisions of the Board shall be authenticated by the signature of the Secretary of the Board.

6. (i) The Governments of Andhra and Mysore shall provide at all times the necessary funds for the construction and maintenance of the Tungabhadra Project:

Provided that the liability for the expenditure on the Tungabhadra Project shall be apportioned between the States of Andhra and Mysore in such proportion as may be agreed upon between the two State Governments, and in the absence of any such agreement, in such proportion as may be fixed in this behalf by the Central Government.

(ii) The Governments of Andhra and Mysore shall continue to give the same facilities to the Audit Officer of the Project and other officers engaged in connection with the Project for the payment of moneys into, and withdrawal of moneys from, the treasuries and sub-treasuries located in their respective territories as were enjoyed by such officers immediately before the commencement of the notification.

7. The Board, shall, in relation to the technical sanction, administrative approval, and other sanctions required for the construction and maintenance of the Tungabhadra Project, and in relation to any other administrative matters concerning the Project, exercise the powers of a State Government under the various Codes, Manuals, Rules, and Regulations, specified in the Schedule annexed hereto, as in force in the State of Madras immediately before the 1st day of October, 1953, and any executive instructions, orders, and directions from time to time issued or made thereunder:

Provided that, in relation to administrative matters concerning the Government servants of the State of Mysore employed by the Board in connection with the Project, the corresponding Codes, Manuals, Rules and Regulations, as in force in the Mysore State immediately before the said date, shall apply.

8. All contracts to be made in connection with Tungabhadra Project shall be expressed to be made jointly by, and in the names of, the Governments of Andhra and Mysore and all such contracts shall be executed on behalf of the said Governments by the Secretary of the Board or such other officer as may be authorised by the Board in this behalf, but neither the Secretary nor the authorised officer shall be personally liable in respect of anything under such contracts.

9. (i) The staff which immediately before the commencement of this notification was engaged in the construction and maintenance of the Tungabhadra Project shall, after such commencement, continue to be so employed by the Board in connection with the said Project but the Governments of Andhra and Mysore may, if they so think fit, replace any members of the existing staff by other persons in such manner and in such proportion as may be agreed upon between the said State Governments and in the absence of any such agreement as may be determined in this behalf by the Board:

Provided that all correspondence between the State Governments with respect to such agreements shall be carried on through the Chairman.

(ii) The staff for the time being employed in connection with the Project shall be deemed to be employed under the administrative control of the Board.

10. Plant, machinery, equipment and stores purchased for and in connection with the Tungabhadra Project shall be under the control of the Board and shall be used on the entire Project under the directions of the Board.

11. The Governments of Andhra and Mysore may depute such persons as they may nominate or designate either generally or specially to inspect the works on or connected with the Tungabhadra Project which are common to both the States of Andhra and Mysore.

SCHEDULE

(See paragraph 7)

(1) Madras Public Works Account Code with Appendices.
(2) Madras Public Works Department Code.
(3) Madras Detailed Standard Specifications.
(4) Madras Account Code.
(5) Madras Electricity Manual.
(6) Madras Financial Code.
(7) Madras Treasury Code.
(8) Madras Budget Manual.
(9) Fundamental Rules and Subsidiary Rules of the Madras Government.
(10) Madras Manual of Special Pay and Allowances.
(11) Madras Pension Code.
(12) General Provident Fund (Madras) Rules.
(13) Contributory Provident Fund (Madras) Rules.
(14) Madras Contributory Provident Fund—Pension Insurance Rules, 1950.
(15) Madras Security Rules, 1937.
(16) Madras Services Manual.
(17) Madras Commercial Taxes Manual, Vols. I to III.
(18) Madras Port Manual.
(19) Madras Stationery Manual.

S. VENKATARAMAN, Dy. Secy.

PRINTED IN INDIA BY THE MANAGER, GOVT. OF INDIA PRESS, NEW DELHI
AND PUBLISHED BY THE MANAGER OF PUBLICATIONS, DELHI, 1955

COPY

(TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE GAZETTE OF INDIA PART I SECTION I)

RESOLUTION

New Delhi the December, 1955.

81(1)/55-DW.VI. It has been agreed between the Governments of Andhra and Mysore on the one hand and the Government of Hyderabad on the other, that the Tungabhadra Board established by the directions of the President under sub-section (4) of section 66 of the Andhra State Act, 1953, (30 of 1953) and now reconstituted under the notification of the Government of India, Ministry of Irrigation and Power No.DW.VI-4(9) dated the 10th March, 1955, shall have overall control in respect of all matters relating to works on or connected with the Tungabhadra Project which are common to the States of Andhra, Hyderabad and Mysore, including the regulation of supplies of stored waters from the reservoir.

Provided that at any time if one of the participating States is not in a position to utilise its share of water and if it is to be diverted for cultivation of areas in other States, then the previous concurrence of that Government will have to be obtained, who will have the right to claim water cess for the use of water from its own share for areas in other States.

2. (i) It has been further agreed that all matters relating to the Project works of common interest to the three States of Andhra, Mysore and Hyderabad brought before any meeting of the Board, shall be decided by a majority of the Members present and voting at the meeting before which such matters are brought and the decision of the Board shall be final.

Provided that where with reference to any matter brought before the Board, the Chairman is satisfied that there is a difference of opinion among the Members on any question of policy or the rights of the States concerned involved in the consideration of such matter, the Chairman shall refer

p.t.o.

the matter to the Central Government whose decision there shall be final.

Explanations- I. If any Member raises at any meeting of the Board any point as to whether a question of policy or whether any rights of the States concerned are involved in the consideration of a matter before the Board, a decision on the points so raised, shall be given by the Chairman.

II. Where any Member dissents from any decision so given by the Chairman it shall be lawful for the State Govt. whose representative that Member is, to represent to the Government of India through the Chairman and when this is done the Chairman shall refer the matter to the Central Government whose decision thereon shall be final.

(ii) Subject to the provisions of sub-paragraph (i), the Board may make rules for the conduct of its own business.

(iii) No act or proceedings of the Board shall be invalid merely on the grounds of the existence of any vacancy in, or the absence of any member of, the Board,

3. The Government of Hyderabad shall continue to construct, operate and maintain the project, in so far as it falls within the State of Hyderabad, subject to the over-all Control of the Board relating to common works referred to above. Nothing in this resolution shall be deemed to be an arrangement entered into by the States of Andhra and Mysore under sub-section (i) of Section 66 of the Andhra State Act, 1953.

ORDER Ordered that the resolution be communicated to the State Governments of Andhra, Hyderabad and Mysore.

Ordered also that the resolution be published in the Gazette of India and that the State Governments concerned be requested to publish it in the State Gazettes for general information.

Secretary to the Govt. of India.

Manager,
Government of India press,
New Delhi.

Copy to Governments of Andhra/Mysore/Hyderabad and Tungabhadra Board.

Under Secretary to the Govt. of India.

4

B.I. Cable INDIAN POSTS AND TELEGRAPHS DEPARTMENT No. 383

"सत्यमेवजयते"

Recd. at 2.30 H 25 M
From
By

Sent at H M
To
By

Postage Stamp.
20.4.56

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
Date
PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTS.

140

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
No. 29/Gol.
21 APR 1956
PUBLIC WORKS BRANCH

NEW DELHI 20 STE 32 ELECSEC BANGALORE =

34(5)/56- DW- VI REFERENCE OUR LETTER

34(5)/56- DWVI OF FEBRUARY TWENTIETH (.)

TAKING OVER OF COMMON WORKS ON HYDERABAD SIDE BY

TUNGABHADRA BOARD (.) GRATEFUL IMMDTE REPLY =

IRRIGPOWER =

The Nuri Press Ltd., Madras-13. P T.G./ AC/69/Dt. 22-6-55-30,000 Bks.

Jt interim telegram:-

"Your telegram of 20th April about taking over common works of Tungabhadra Project ~~by Board~~ on Hyderabad side by Tungabhadra Board. Stop. Kindly intimate the matter is being pursued in view of proposed reorganisation of States which ~~will~~ is expected to bring Hyderabad side of project in new Mysore State."

or 4/5

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF IRRIGATION AND POWER
****

152

MYSORE GOVT. SECRETARIAT
No. 31/A
23 APR 1956
PUBLIC WORKS BRANCH

NEW DELHI, THE 20TH APRIL, 1956.

STATE TELEGRAM

EXPRESS TO GOVERNMENT
SECRETARIAT
Date
PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC DEPTS.

ELECSEC
BANGALORE

34(5)/56-DW-VI REFERENCE OUR LETTER 34(5)/56-DW-VI FEBRUARY TWENTIETH (.) TAKING OVER OF COMMON WORKS ON HYDERABAD SIDE BY TUNGABHADRA BOARD (.) GRATEFUL IMMEDIATE REPLY

IRRIGPOWER

Not to be telegraphed:-

for Under Secretary to the Govt. of India.

Copy forwarded by post in confirmation to the Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Public Works Department, Bangalore.

(S.Narayanaswamy)
Under Secretary to the Government of India.

* Matta *
20/4

DRAFT TELEGRAM

437 Gov J 29 Gov J 31 Gov.
PW(A) 7

(EXPRESS TELEGRAM)

IRRIGPOWER

NEW DELHI

/

YOUR TELEGRAM OF 20TH APRIL ABOUT TAKING OVER COMMON WORKS OF TUNGABHADRA PROJECT ON HYDERBAD SIDE BY TUNGABHADRA BOARD (STOP) KINDLY INTIMATE IF MATTER IS BEING PURSUED IN VIEW OF PROPOSED REORGANISATION OF STATES WHICH IS EXPECTED TO BRING HYDERABAD SIDE OF THE PROJECT INTO NEW MYSORE STATE

PUBLIC WORKS SECRETARY

(Not to be telegraphed)

From

Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department, Bangalor

PW.A.12/509 Dt 24-4-1956

Copy of the above is forwarded, in confirmation, to the Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Irrigation and Power, New Delhi.

Secretary to Govt., P.W.D.

21/4/56

(EXPRESS TELEGRAM)

IRRIGPOWER

NEW DELHI

YOUR TELEGRAM OF 20TH APRIL ABOUT TAKING OVER COMMON WORKS OF TUNGABHADRA PROJECT ON HYDERABAD SIDE BY TUNGABHADRA BOARD (STOP) KINDLY INTIMATE IF MATTER IS BEING PURSUED IN VIEW OF PROPOSED REORGANISATION OF STATES WHICH IS EXPECTED TO BRING HYDERABAD SIDE OF THE PROJECT INTO NEW MYSORE STATE

PUBLIC WORKS SECRETARY

(Not to be telegraphed)

From

For Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department, Bangalore.

P.W.A. 12/9.09 dt 24-4-1956

Copy of the above is forwarded, in confirmation, to the Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Irrigation and Power, New Delhi.

For Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

TSRN.

9

B. Cable INDIAN POSTS AND TELEGRAPHS DEPARTMENT No. 14

"सत्यमेव जयते"

MYSORE GOVT. SECRETARIAT No. 461/A - 3 MAY 1956 PUBLIC WORKS BRANCH

Rcvd. at _____ H _____ M | Sent at _____ H _____ M

From _____ | To _____

By _____ | By _____

Office Stamp. 3 5 56

X XC NEW DELHI 2 STE 50 PUBLIC WORKS SECRETARY BANGALORE

34(5)/56-DWVI (.) REUTEL OR APRIL TWENTYFOURTH (.) TAKING OVER OF COMMON WORKS ON HYDERABAD SIDE BY TUNGABHADRA BOARD (.) NOTIFICATION NECESSARY TO ENSURE UNIFIED CONTROL OVER REGULATION OF SUPPLIES (.) GRATEFUL FURNISH CONCURRENCE OR COMMENTS IF ANY IMMDTLY - IRRIGPOWER

Nuri Press Ltd., Madras-13. P. T. G./AC/ 69Dt. 22-6-55 30,000 Bks.

MYSORE GOVT. SECRETARIAT
No. 51:C.01
A
PUBLIC WORKS

259

10

STATE TELEGRAM EXPRESS

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
Date
PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTS.

PUBLIC WORKS SECRETARY

BANGALORE (MYSORE)

34(5)/56-DWVI(.) REUTEL OF APRIL TWENTYFOURTH (.) TAKING OVER OF COMMON WORKS ON HYDERABAD SIDE BY TUNGABHADRA BOARD (.) NOTIFICATION NECESSARY TO ENSURE UNIFIED CONTROL OVER REGULATION OF SUPPLIES (.) GRATEFUL FURNISH CONCURRENCE OR COMMENTS IF ANY IMMEDIATELY

IRRIGPOWER

N.T.B.T.

(S.Narayanaswamy)
Under Secretary to the Govt. of India.
Ministry of Irrigation & Power,
New Delhi.

2.5.56

A copy is forwarded by post in confirmation to the Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Public Works Department, Bangalore.

(S.Narayanaswamy)

M. G. S. App. F. G. 3]

MYSORE GOVERNMENT

SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form*).

46 S 51 GoI

DW(A)

11

Tungabhadra Board—

Hyderabad Side — control over

— Resolution — Issue of.

To

The Under Secy. to GoI.

Ministry of Irrign & Power

New Delhi

Sir,

Ref: (1) your letter No.

34(5)/56 — DW VI

dated 20.2.56

(2) your telegram No.

34(5)/56 - DW VI

dated 2.5.56.

With reference to the communications cited I am directed to state that the Mysore Govt. feel it ~~is~~ would not be right for the ~~existing~~ Govt. of Mysore or for the Govt. of Hyderabad to take a decision on this important subject and to commit the future ~~Govt.~~ states of Mysore & Andhra Telangana, ~~to such~~

YSR 19/5

W1 0258—GPB—PZS-1,20,000—1-7-55

12

The states that are coming with a decision. I am therefore to request that the status quo may be continued ~~pending the~~ ~~[illegible]~~ ~~side~~ ~~[illegible]~~

Yours faithfully

19/5

Secy. to Govt.

15/5/56.

existence after reorganisation will have to consider the matter and reach a decision.

390. 13

MYSORE GOVT. SECRETARIAT
No. 75/Gov
23 MAY 1956
PUBLIC WORKS BRANCH

NO.34(5)/56-DW.VI
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF IRRIGATION & POWER
.....

From

Shri S. Narayanaswamy,
Under Secretary to the Government of India.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Public Works Department,
Bangalore.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
Date
PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC DEPTS.

New Delhi, the 21 May, 1956.

Subject:- Tungabhadra Board - Hyderabad side - Control over - Resolution - Issue of

---

Sir,

I am directed to refer to the correspondence resting with this Ministry's telegram No.34(5)/56-DW.VI, dated the 2nd May, 1956, on the subject mentioned above and to request that the comments, if any, on the draft Resolution sent with this Ministry's letter No.34(5)/56-DW.VI, dated the 20th February 1956 may please be communicated at an early date.

Yours faithfully,

(S. Narayanaswamy)
Under Secretary to the Government of India.

"D"/19.5.56.

P.W.A 48/Gen 2-56-~~28~~ 42 14

G.O. 1 4

5-6-56.

To

The Under Secretary to the Government
of India,
Ministry of Irrigation and Power,
New Delhi.

Subject: Tungabhadra Board - Hyderabad side Control over - Resolution - Issue of -

Reference: (1) Your letter No.34(5)/56-DWVI dated 20-2-1956.
(2) Your telegram No.34(5)/56 DW.VI dated 2-5-56.

Sir,

With reference to the communications cited I am directed to state that this Government feel it would not be right for the Government of the existing State of Mysore or for the Government of Hyderabad to take a decision on this important subject ~~on this important subject~~ and to commit the future States of Mysore and Andhra-Telangana ~~to that decision~~. The States that are coming into existence after reorganisation will have to consider the matter and reach a decision. I am therefore to reques that the status quo may be continued.

Yours faithfully,

31/5

Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

19/5/56

TSRN.

15

No.34(5)/56-DW-VI
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF IRRIGATION & POWER
****

From

Shri S.Narayanaswamy,
Under Secretary to the Govt.of India.

To

The Secretary to the Govt.of Mysore,
Public Works Department,
Bangalore.

New Delhi the 30th June,1956.

Sub:- Tungabhadra Board - Hyderabad side - Control over - Resolution - issue of.

Sir,

I am directed to refer to the correspondence resting with your letter No.P.W.A.48/Irn 2-56-42 dated the 5th June 1956, on the subject cited above and to state that the draft notification proposed to be issued by the Government of India in this regard is in pursuance of the earlier notification No.DW-II-22(129) dated the 6th November, 1953, published by the Government of Mysore in the Mysore Gazette of the 10th December, 1953. In the draft notification now under consideration the regulation of supply of stored water from the Reservoir has also been added. The Government of India are of the view that control over the regulation of water supplies from the reservoir by the Board is a step in the right direction and is in the interests of all the participating State Governments including Mysore.

Flag A.. in the file placed below.

The Andhra Government to whom the draft resolution was sent for comments had suggested some amendments to the same vide their letter No.949-1/56-2, dated the 19th March, 1956(copy enclosed). The Government of India are inclined to accept the amendments suggested by the Government of Andhra. The revised draft resolution incorporating the suggestions made by the Government of Andhra is enclosed. It is requested that the Government of Mysore may kindly reconsider the

the matter and convey the agreement of that Government to the issue of the draft resolution as revised as early as possible.

Yours faithfully,

(S.Narayanaswamy)
Under Secretary to the Govt.of India.

Copy of letter No. 949-I/56-2, dated the 19th March, 1956, from Public Works ~~Dpmx~~ and Transport Department, Kurnool, to the Ministry of Irrigation and Power, Government of India, New Delhi.

****

Subject:- TUNGABHADRA BOARD-HYDERABAD SIDE-CONTROL OVER -RESOLUTION -ISSUE OF.

Ref: Your letter No. 34(5)/56-DW.VI, dated the 20th February, 56.

I am directed to state that the Government of ANDHRA accept the draft resolution of the Government of India for bringing within the purview of the reconstituted Tungabhadra Board the common works on the Hyderabad side of the Tungabhadra Board Project, subject to the following comments.

Paragraph (1) of the Resolution:

This Government suggest that the following sentence may be added at the end of paragraph one of the resolution with a view to define more clearly certain functions of the Control Board.

" The Tungabhadra Board shall also have unitary control over the items of common interest e.g. maintenance, operation and control of the dam and reservoir and operation of sluice and spillway gates".

Proviso under paragraph (1) of the Resolution:

It is understood that when the draft resolution was discussed by the Tungabhadra Board, the insertion of the above proviso was objected to as it would lead to disputes and that the Hyderabad Government agreed to the deletion of the proviso. This Government would therefore, request that the proviso may be deleted.

Paragraph (3) of the Resolution:

As it has already been suggested that the Tungabhadra Board shall have unitary control over items of common interest such as maintenance, operation, and control of the dam, the reservoir, the sluices and the spillway gates, this Government suggest that paragraph three of the draft resolution may be recast as follows:-

" The Government of Hyderabad shall continue to constrot and complete the common items of works relating to the project, in so far as it falls within the State of Hyderabad, subject to over all control of the Board. The Board shall have unitary control over the operation and maintenance of the common works referred to above; provided that such operation and maintenance shall be subject to such rules of regulation as may be agreed to by the three States or by the Board with the concurrence of the three States or in the absence of any agreement, in such manner as may be directed by the President".

****

SAMPLE

TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE GAZETTE OF INDIA PART I SECTION I

RESOLUTION.

New Delhi the December, 1956

81(1)/55-DW.VI. It has been agreed between the Govern nt of Andhra and Mysore on the one hand and the Government of Hyderabad on the other, that the Tungabhadra Board established by the directions of the President under sub-section (4) of section 66 of the Andhra State Act, 1953, (30 of 1953) and now reconstituted under the notification of the Government of India, Ministry of Irrigation and Power No.DW.VI-4(9) dated the 10th rch, 1955, shall have overall control in respect of all matters relating to works on or connected with t Tungabhadra Project which are common to the States of Andhra, Hyderabad and Mysore, including the regulation of supplies of stored waters from the reservoir.

The Tungabhadra Board shall also have unitary control over the items of common interest e.g. maintenance, operation and control of the dam and reservoir and operation of sluice and spillway gates.

2. (i) It has been further agreed that all matters relating to the Project works of common interest to the three States of Andhra, Mysore and Hyderabad brought before any meeting of the Board, shall be decided by a majority of the Members present and voting at the meeting before which such matters are brought and the decision of the Board shall be final.

Provided that where with reference to any matter brought before the Board, the Chairman is satisfied that there is a difference of opinion among the Members on any question of policy or the rights of the States concerned involved in the consideration of such matter, the Chairman shall refer the matter to the Central Government whose decision thereon shall be final.

Explanations:- I. If any Member raises at any meeting of the Board any point as to whether a question of policy or whether any rights of the States concerned are involved in the consideration of a matter before the Board, a decision on the points so raised, shall be given by the Chairman.

II. Where any Member dissents from any decision so given by the Chairman it shall be lawful for the State Govt. whose representative that Member is, to represent to the Government of India through the Chairman and when this is done the Chairman shall refer the matter to the Central Government whose decision thereon shall be final.

(ii) Subject to the provisions of sub-paragraph (i), the Board may make rules for the conduct of its own business.

(iii) No act or proceedings of the Board shall be invalid merely on the grounds of the existence of any vacancy in, or the absence of any member of, the Board.

3. The Government of Hyderabad shall continue to construct and complete the common items of works relating to the project, in so far as it falls within the State of Hyderabad, subject to over all control of the Board. The Board shall have unitary control over the operation and maintenance of the common works referred to above; provided that such operation and maintenance shall be subject to such rules of regulation as may be agreed to by the three States or by the Board with the concurrence of the three States or in the absence of any agreement, in such manner as may be directed by the President.

ORDER: Ordered that the resolution be communicated to the State Govts. of Andhra, Hyderabad and Mysore.

Ordered also that the resolution be published in the

Gazette of India and that the State Governments concerned be requested to publish it in the State Gazette for general information.

Secretary to the Govt.ofIndia.

Manager,
Government of India Press,
New Delhi.

Copy to Governments of Andhra/Mysore/Hyderabad and Tungabhadra Board.

Under Secretary to the Govt. of India.

P.W.A 73 / G.O.I. Irr
Dated 21-7-56

(11)
17/7/56

To

The Under Secretary to the Govt. of India,
Ministry of Irrigation & Power,
New Delhi

Sir,

Tungabhadra Board - Hyderabad Side- Control over - Resolution - Issue of.

I am directed to refer to your letter No.34(5)/56-DW-VI of 30th June 1956 on the above subject. It is not clear from the letter if the amendments proposed by the Government of Andhra have been referred to the Government of Hyderabad and agreed to by them.

With regard to the first amendment suggested by the Government of Andhra, the distinction sought to be made between 'overall control' in sub-para(i) of paragraph(1) of the Resolution and the 'unitary control' referred to in sub-para(ii) of the same paragraph is not quite clear. The overall control referred to is in respect of matters relating to works connected with the Tungabhadra Project which are common to the States of Andhra, Hyderabad and Mysore. The unitary control proposed by the Government of Andhra is in respect of the maintenance, operation and control of the dam and reservoir, which would perhaps come normally under the description of common works. The need for amplifying the provision in sub-para(i) by adding sub-para (ii) in the manner proposed by Andhra may kindly be indicated.

On hearing from you again, the matter will be placed before Government and their decision communicated.

Yours faithfully,

Secretary to Government, P.W.D.

No. 34(5)/56-DW.VI
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF IRRIGATION AND POWER
****

From

Shri S.Narayanaswamy,
Under Secretary to the Govt.of India.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Public Works Department,
BANGALORE.

New Delhi, the 9th August, 1956.

Subject:- Tungabhadra Board - Hyderabad side - Control over Resolution - Issue of .

Sir,

I am directed to refer to your letter No.R.W.A.73/G.O.I/Irn 2-56-62, dated the 21st July 1956,on the subject mentioned above and to say that the amendments proposed by the Government of Andhra have been referred to the Government of Hyderabad but their acceptance of the same is still awaited.

2. As regards,the first amendement suggested by the Government of Andhra, I am to state that the words " overall control", occurring in sub para 1 of paragraph (1) of draft Resolution refer to the general supervisory control by the Tungabhadra Board over the common works of the Tungabhadra Project e.g. constructions works, whereas sub-para 2 of the same paragraph refers to the exclusive control by the Board over maintenance, operation and control of the dam and reservoir and operation of sluice and spillway gates. The word 'unitary ' in sub para 2 may however, be read as ' unified '.

It will be appreciated if the concurrence of the Mysore Government to the issue of the draft Resolution is conveyed at a very early date.

Yours faithfully,

(S.Narayanaswamy)
Under Secretary to the Government of India.

174 GOI
PW(A)

Appendix F. G.—1]

SUBJECT: Tungabhadra Board – Hyderabad Side – Control over – Resolution – issue of

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Mysore Government Secretariat.

( )

the 195 .

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

List of enclosures .....

Copied by.....

amined by .....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. P.W.A. 107/GOI
28-8-56

To

The Under Secy. to the Govt. of India
Ministry of Irrign & Power,
New Delhi

Sir,

Ref: your letter No. 34(5)/56-DW VI dated 9.8.56

With reference to the communication cited, I am directed to ~~acquaint~~ request you kindly to intimate whether the views of the Govt. of Hyderabad have since been received a if so ~~to State~~ what they

Yours faithfully,

Asst Secy

24

NO.34(5)/56-DW.VI.
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF IRRIGATION & POWER
.....

From

Shri S. Narayanaswamy,
Under Secretary to the Government of India.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Public Works Department,
Bangalore.

New Delhi, the 6th September, 1956.

Subject:- Tungabhadra Board - Hyderabad side - Control over.

Sir,

With reference to your letter No.PWA.107/GOI, dated the 28th August, 1956 I am directed to enclose a copy of letter No.865/K/109/IB/287/55, dated the 8th August, 1956 from the Government of Hyderabad.

Yours faithfully,

(S. Narayanaswamy)
Under Secretary to the Government of India.

"D"/6.9.56.

Copy of letter No.865/K/109/IB/287/55, dated the 8th August, 1956 from the Government of Hyderabad to the Under Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Irrigation & Power New Delhi.

-.-

Subject:- Tungabhadra Board - Hyderabad + Side - Control over - Resolution - Issue of.

Ref: - Your letter No.34(5)/56-DW.VI. dated 28-6-1956

I am directed to state that the Government of Hyderabad consider it essential to retain the proviso to para 1 of the draft notification forwarded with the Ministry of Irrigation and Power's letter No.81(1)/56-DW.VI, dated 4th January, 1956 Viz:-

> "Provided that at any time if one of the participating states is not in a position to utilise its share of water and if it is to be diverted for cultivation of areas in other States, then the previous concurrence of that Government will have to be obtained, who will have the right to claim water cess for the use of water from its own share for areas in other States".

The retention of this proviso will in their opinion safeguard the right of the concerned State to claim reasonable charges for the water utilised by the other State.

The Chief Engineer Tungabhadra Project of this Government who is a member of the Tungabhadra Board, has informed that to the best of his recollection the question of deletion of this proviso had neither come up for discussion before the Board and nor had he agreed to its deletion on behalf of the Government of Hyderabad.

I am also to correct the impression that after the implementation of the State Reorganisation Scheme a major part of the area commanded by the Tungabhadra Project in Hyderabad State would fall in the proposed Andhra Pradesh, but that on the other hand the commanded area in question will actually go to the proposed State of New Mysore. Therefore, subject to the retention of the above proviso, the Government of Hyderabad agree to the revised draft resolution received with the Ministry of Irrigation and Power's letter under reference.

****

26

2274

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
***

LETTER No.4189-I/56-7

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO. 1557
30 JAN
PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
Date 30/1/57
PUBLIC WORKS & ARTS DEPT.

From:
Sri Akbar Nawaz jung,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

To
The Secretary to the Government ofMysore,
Public Works Department,
BANGALORE:

Dated,Hyderabad, the 21st January 1957.

Sir,

Sub: Tungabhadra Project - Left side canal common works relating to the Tungabhadra Left side canal - Transfer of control to Tungabhadra Board - consequent on the Reorganisation of States.

Ref: Letter No.4189-I/56-6 dated 21-1-1957 addressed to the Government of India.

--

I am directed to enclose herewith a copy of this Government's letter addressed to the Government of India cited for information.

Yours faithfully,

(J.VENKATARAMANA NAIDU)
for Deputy Secretary to Government.

27.1.57.

MSR.21.1.

D.O.No.PWMI. 1185, encl 1 4-2-57

Dear Sir,

Sub: Tungabhadra Project - Left side Canal - common works relating to the T.B.Left side Canal - Transfer of control to the Tungabhadra Board - consequent on the Re-organisation of States.

I am desired to forward herewit a copy of letter No.4189-I/56-6 dated 21-1-57 ~~from~~ of the Secy. to Govt. of Andhra Pradesh, Public Works Dept. addressed to the Secy. to the Govt. o India, Ministry of ~~Power~~ Irrigation & Power, regarding the above subject and to request you kindly to furni a self contained report on the mat referred to therein, within a week ~~at the latest~~ positively

This is to be treated as extremely urgent.

With regd,

Yours sincerely,

4/2/1957

To

Sri T.S.Murthy, B.Sc.,B.E.,
C.E. M.I. (N) Munirabad, Raichur D

Back to CW. immediately after issue

4/2/57 4/2/57

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

K.B. Murarappa, B.E.,
Asstt.Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

Mysore Government Secretariat,

Bangalore, dated, 8th February 1957.

DO No.PWI/M9/1507.

Dear Sir,

Sub:- Tungabhadra Project - Left side Canal - Common works relating to the T.B. Left side Canal- Transfer of control to the Tungabhadra Board consequent on the Re-organisation of States.

Ref:- This office D.O. No. PWMI 1185 dated 4-2-1957

I am desired to invite reference to the communicatio cited and to request that the self-contained report therei called for may kindly be furnished immediately.

With regards,

Yours sincerely,

8/2/1957

To

Sri.T.S. Moorthy, B.Sc., B.E.,
Chief Engineer, Major Irrigation (North),
Munirabad, RAICHUR DISTRICT.

8/2/57 8/2/57

Back to CE Soon after issue

KB Murarappa, BE,
AS, to Govt. PWD.

DO No. PWD 18 TBP 57

Mysore Govt. Secretariat,
Bangalore, Dt 16 Feb. 1957.

Dear Sir,

Sub:- Tungabhadra Project - Left side Canal- Common works relating to the T.B. Left side Canal - Transfer of control to Tungabhadra Board consequent on the Re-organisation of States.

Ref: 1) This Office DO No.PWMI 1185 Dt. 4-2-57.

2) This Office DO No.PWMI 1907 dated 8-2-1957.

I am desired to invite reference to the communications cited and to request that the self-contained report therein called for may kindly be furnished immediately.

Yours sincerely,

16.2.57

To

Shri. T.S. Moorthy, B.Sc., B.E.,
Chief Engineer, M.I. (North),
Munirabad, Raichur Dist.

14/2/57 . 14/2/57

Back to CW
Soon after issue

K.B. Murarappa, B.E.,
Asstt. Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

Mysore Government Secretariat,

Bangalore, dated, 16th February 1957.

DO No. PWD 18 TBP 57

Dear Sir,

Sub:- Tungabhadra Project- Left side Canal- Common works relating to the Tungabhadra Left side Canal- Transfer of control to Tungabhadra Board consequent on the Re-organisation of States.

Ref: 1) This Office D.O. No.PWMI 1185 dated 4-2-1957.

2) This Office DO No.PWMI 1507 dated 8-2-1957.

I am desired to invite reference to the communications cited and to request that the self-contained report therein called for may kindly be furnished immediately.

Yours sincerely,

16.2.1957

To

Shri T.S. Moorthy, B.Sc., B.E.,
Chief Engineer, Major Irrigation (North),
Munirabad, Raichur District.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

Teleg "PROJECTS"

OFFICE OF THE
CHIEF ENGINEER, P.W.D.,
MAJOR IRRIGATION(NORTH),
M U N I R A B A D.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
MIJ No.
FEB 1957

945/ T.S.

Dated: 13-2-1957.

2455.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO. 354
19 FEB 1957
PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH

To,

The Secretary to Govt.,
Public Works & Electrical
B A N G A L O R E.

Sub: Tungabhadra Project - Left Side Canal Common-Works relating to the T.B. Left Side Canal Transfer of control to the Tungabhadra Board consequent on the Re-organisation of States.

****

Ref: Your letter No:PW MI 1293 dated 8-2-1957.

.....

Sir,

A copy of letter No: 1185 dated 4-2-1957, referred to in your letter above cited may please be sent to furnish the reply expeditiously.

Krishnaswamy
13/2/57

For Chief Engineer,PWD.,
Major Irrigation(North)
M U N I R A B A D.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

No. PWD.18TBPS57 — Mysore Government Secretariat,

Dated, Bangalore 20 Feb 1957

FROM

The *Secretary to the Government of Mysore,*
Public Works Department, BANGALORE.

To

The Chief Engineer,
Major Irrigation (North)
Munirabad, Raichur District.

Sir,

Sub: Tungabhadra Project - Left side canal - common works relating to the Tungabhadra Left side canal - Transfer of control to Tungabhadra Board - consequent on the Reorganisation of State.

Ref: Your letter No.945/TS dated 13-2-57.

With reference to the communication cited, I am directed to forward herewith a copy of this office letter No.D.O.PWMI.1185 dated 4th Feb. 1957 along with copies of its enclosures as desired. The self-contained report already called for may kindly be sent immediately.

Yours faithfully,

(K.B.Murarappa)
Asst.Secretary to Government
Public Works Department.

20/2/57

K.B.MURARAPPA, B.E.,
Asst.Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

Mysore Government Secretariat,
Vidhanasoudha,
Bangalore, dated 28th Feb.1957.
1st/3

No.D.O. PWD 18 TBP 57

Dear Sir,

Sub: Tungabhadra Project - Left side Canal - Common works relating to the Tungabhadra Left side Canal - Transfer of control to Tungabhadra Board consequent on the Re-Organisation of States.

Ref: This Office Nos.
1. D.O.No.PWMI 1185 dated 4-2-1957
2. D.O.No.PWMI 1507 dated 8-2-1957
3. D.O.No.PWD 18 TBP 57 dated 16-2-57.
4. No.PWD 18 TBP 57 dated 20-2-1957.

I am desired to invite reference to the communications cited and to request that the self-contained report called for in reference (1) above may kindly be furnished immediately.

Yours sincerely,

To

Sri T.S.Murthy, B.Sc.,B.E.,
Chief Engineer, Major Irrigation (N),
Munirabad, Raichur District.

Govt. of Mysore.

Office of the Chief Engineer, PWD,
Major Irrigation (North),
Munirabad, S.Rly.
Teleg "PROJECTS".

No. 232/3/T.S. Dated 26-2-1957.

To

The Secretary to Govt.
Public Works & Electrical,
Bangalore.

Subject: Left Side Canal - Common Works relating to Tungabhadra Left Side Canal - Transfer of control of Tungabhadra Board consequent on the re-organisation of States.

Reference: Your DO lr.No: PWD 18 TBP-57 Dated 16.2.1957.

.....

se herein a report in this regard for your disposal.

Particulars have been given therein to show that the Tungabhadra Left Side Canal, which serves irrigation in the Mysore State only, cannot be kept under the Tungabhadra Board.

(T.S.Moorthi) 26.2.57
Chief Engineer, PWD,
Major Irrign. North.

.....

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO. 702
5 - MAR 1957
PUBLIC WORKS & MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH

Encl: Three.

According to 1944 Agreement between Madras and former Hyderabad State, the Tungabhadra Reservoir was to be constructed to F.R.L. 1628.00 with a storage of 113 T.M.Cft. The sharing of the water was to be on a 50 : 50 basis (65 T.M.Cft on either side). Under this scheme the L.B.or former Hyderabad Canal was designed for an initial discharge of 3100 Cusecs to Irrigate 4,50,000 acres, as recommended by a Sub-Committee consisting of Nawab Ali Nawaz Jung, Dr.Sawhney, Sri.Zahir Ahmed and Dr.Krishna Soil Chemist, appointed in 1945.

Later Government sanction to fix the F.R.L.of Tungal Project Reservoir at R.L.1633. was communicated by the Secretary with his letter No.113/304/7/58F/2429 dated.1-5-54. Due to this raising of the F.R.L. by 5 ft.the extra water impounded is 133-113 or 20 T.M.Cft. With this extra utilisation of water, extra area, therefore,could be brought under Irrigatio

The Cropping scheme was revised to 5,80,000 Acres. Thi revised cropping scheme was approved by the State Development Committee and confirmed by the Hyderabad State Council of Ministers on 14th March 1955.

The Secretary was informed (vide Chief Engineer's lett No.12501/TS dated.31-12-1954) (Copy enclosed vide statement that the scheme includes the full length of the Main Canal fo 127 miles and 14 miles of the South Gadwal branch making a to of 141 miles. It was also stated that the area proposed to b irrigated under the revised cropping scheme is commanded by t above Canal and the 14 miles long branch. It is noticed that in accordance with the Re-Organisation of States, the area - commanded by this length of the Canal lies fully in the prese Mysore State.

Contd:-P.2.

In the meanwhile there have been repeated petition from Representatives of Alampur regarding alternations in th designs of Canal and proposals for extending Irrigation to Gadwal and Alampur areas.

This question was discussed by the Chief Engineer, with the Senior Member Board of Revenue and it was agreed (vide Secretary, Board of Revenue's letter No.U.O.No.122/DT /54/556 dated.2-7-55, copy enclosed vide statement 'B') that there should be no change in the cropping scheme approved by Government, but whatever water be available beyond Mile 141 will be taken down for Irrigation.

Government of India, Planning Commission's Report of the Technical Committees of July 1952 for the optimum utilisation of Krishna and Godavari waters envisages the ultimate utilisation of 100 T.M.Cft at the Tungabhadra Dam by the former Hyderabad State i.e. 65 T.M.Cft. as per initial Agreement plus 35 T.M.Cft for future extensions under the Canal as per letter No.2257. G...D.dt. 25-7-53. This include evaporation losses.

As per the Water requirements for the approved croppi scheme (Copy of Demand Table enclosed vide Statement 'C') it seen that the share of 100 T.M.Cft is utilised completely - within the present Mysore State; while preparing the Demand Tables originally duties of 180 and 210 were taken for Khari and Rabi Crops. These figures are on the high side. Experiments at Sirigunpa show that the duties are more or less on an average 135 for both Khariff and Rabi. In the Report on Tungabhadra Project prepared by Sri.M.S.Thirumalai Iyengar, and published in 1942 the particulars of this are given. This report is taken as the basis and therefore the duties call for modification accordingly.

Contd:-P.3.

For further corroboration it may be mentioned herein that for the Ghataprabha scheme the duty assumed is 115 for both the crops. The Soil conditions and Irrigation in Raichur District are more or less identical with those in Belgaum and Bijapur Districts and therefore the same Duty may have to be accepted. However based on Siriguppa experiments the former figures of 135 is taken. During Khariff, due to rains more moisture is available: which factor is less during Rabi. A duty of 120 therefore is taken for this. (It will be more correct to take the Duty figures of Bombay Area in view of the extensive cultivation of Irrigated dry crops in that Area).

Preparing the Demand Table on these lines would result in the utilisation of the ultimate share of water upto Mile 127 only. Demand beyond Mile 127 cannot be assured and the question of giving water to tailreaches would arise only in extremely good years.

It is therefore not at all a feasible proposition to give water to Andhra Pradesh area under the circumstances and also for the following reasons:-

North and South Gadwal branches are linked up with the Upper Krishna Scheme. The scheme envisages the dropping of left Bank Canal from Upper Krishna into the Tungabhadra Project Canal Mile 103 for supplying water to North and South Gadwal area. Besides the Upper Krishna scheme was proposed when the former Bombay State had not yet formulated proposals of Koyna Ghataprabha, and Malaprabha Projects (latter two now falling in Mysore State). Now that all these schemes are finalised and included in the IInd Five Year Plan, and all of them are on the major tributaries of the Krishna, regular inflow into the Reservoir will be considerably reduced.

Contd:-P.4.

Unless a Reservoir of a very high capacity is - Constructed the former proposals are not feasible in the case of Upper Krishna. Another point for serious consideration is the submergence of Sangameshwar Temple, a Lingayat Pilgrimage Centre and the feeling of the people have to be respected. A high capacity Reservoir will also affect the Railway Bridge above the site near Chimalgi.

Under these circumstances, therefore, the Left Bank Canal from Upper Krishna cannot be linked up with the left Bank Canal of Tungabhadra for further Irrigation of the tail reaches as originally contemplated. For these same reasons the Irrigable area under the proposed Upper Krishna also gets diminished.

However with regard to Irrigation in Gadwal and Alampur Taluqs, the Rajolibonda scheme does provide for Irrigation to the extent of nearly a Lakh acres.

The question of the Tungabhadra Project Board - controlling works comes in only when portions are of common interest between the two States and since the area of - Irrigation under the left Bank Canal is fully in the Mysore State, the proposal of keeping it under the Tungabhadra Project Board does not arise.

With regard to the Hydro-Electric scheme, reference may be made to letter No.51692-3 dt.12th November 1956 of the Chief Engineer, Electricity.

As for the Rajolibunda Scheme, it has been agreed by the former Hyderabad and Andhra States, that the Andhra Chief Engineer should prepare and forward an estimate for

Contd:-P.5.

the construction of Flood Bank on the former Andhra territory, to prevent submergence of lands therein. The Chief Engineer, Andhra Pradesh had been reminded in this regard and so far he has not sent the estimate. Further work is therefore held up on Construction of Anicut.

Out of a total Irrigation of 1.15 Lakhs acres, the area proposed to be Irrigated in the Mysore State is in the initial stage of the Canal, in the Taluqs of Manvi and Raichur and to the extent of 7400 acres. The limit of the Canal in the Mysore State is at Mile 27.

The necessity of keeping this scheme under the Tungabhadra Project Board is premature.

*****

JLR/-

CHIEF ENGINEER, P.W.D.,
Major Irrigation (North)
Munirabad.
26/2/57

Copy of letter No.12501/TS/IP dated.31-12-1954 from the Chief Engineer, P.W.D., P.W.D., Irrigation Projects, Hyderabad-Dn to the Secretary to Govt. P.W.D. Hyderabad-Dn.

.....

Sub:- Fixation of Intensity of Irrigation under T.B.P.

...

Ref:- Your office letter No.IB/54/TBP/54/7683 dt.27-12-54.

Sir,

I have to point out that the sanctioned scheme of the Tungabhadra Project, not only includes the full length of the Main Canal for 127 miles, but also 14 miles of the South Gadwal branch, referred to by you making a total of 141 miles. The whole area proposed to be irrigated, under the Revised cropping scheme is commanded by the above Canals and the Branch Canals.

Sd/-
Chief Engineer, P.W.D.,
T.P.

JLR/- /True Copy/

(Statement 'B')

43

OFFICE OF THE EX-OFFICIO SECRETARY,
Development Projects, Board of Revenue
Hyderabad-Dn.

Sub:-Tungabhadra and Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme.
Alternations in designing etc, Proposals by Sri.
Ram Krishna Sharma of Alampur.

...

Ref:- P.W.D's U.O.Note No.IB/131/Mis/54/8324 dt.24-2-55 and
subsequent reminder No.IB/131/Misc/54/98 dt.6-4-55.

...

The Issue in question raised by Sri.Ramkrishna Sharma of Alampur has been discussed by the Chief Engineer,Irrigation Projects with the senior Member, Board of Revenue and in the discussion it was agreed that there should be no change in the cropping scheme approved by the Government., but whatever water be available beyond Mile 141 will be taken down for Irrigation. This will however, require fresh estimate beyond the above mileage and fresh sanction of Government. A copy of the Chief Engineer's letter is herewith enclosed for information.

Sd/- K.G.Desikan,
Officer on Special Duty,
Board of Revenue.

Sri.B.R.Deen Dayal
Asstt.Secy:P.W.D.
Irrigation Branch.
Hyderabad-Dn.

U.O.No.122/DT/54/556 Dated.2-7-1955.

- -

Copy of letter No.2272 dt.31-5-55 from the Chief Engineer, P.W.D.,Irrigation Projects Hyderabad-Dn to the Director,Community Projects, Civil Service House Hyderabad-Dn.

...

Sub:- Tungabhadra Rnd Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme.
Alternations in design etc. Proposed by Sri.
Ramakrishna Sharma of Alampur.

...

Ref:- Your D.O.No.122/DT/53 dated.2-5-55.

.....

While returning herewith your office file No.122/DT/53, I have to inform you that the file was personally handed over to Sri.Desikan, Officer on Special Duty, after discussi n with Sri. Zahir Ahmed, Senior Member , Board of Revenue, Hyderabad. In the discussion with Shri.Zahir Ahmed, it was agreed that there be no change in the cropping scheme, approved by the Government, but whatever water be available beyond Mile 141 will be taken down for further irrigation. This will, however, require fresh estimate, beyond the above mileage, and the fresh sanction of the Govt.

Sd/-For Chief Engineer,P.D.,
Irrigation Projects.

JLR/- /Copy of True Copy/

Copy of letter No.ZR/5W/T.R/5W/7613 dt.27-12-54 from Sri. Tej Lal, I.A.S., Secretary to Govt. P. W.Department to the Chief Engineer, C.D., Irrigation Projects, Punjab, Chandigarh.

....

Sub:- Fixation of Intensity of Irrigation under C.B.P.

Ref:- D.R's office letter No.11146/Dg/C dat d.4-12-54.

In view of this office letter No.IC/3044/W/5-W/2420 dt. 1-5-54 through which sanction of Government was communicated to fix the P.R.I. of T.R.Project Reservoir at R.L. 1633 and to acquire lands upto R.L. 1635.00, he is requested kindly to furnish his proposals as explained in para 10 of the Note referred to above for extension of the South Ravwal Canal to a length of 14 miles, if any.

Sd/- Asst.Secretary,
P.W.D. (IB)

/True copy/

Copy of letter from the Secretary, Board of Revenue Hyderabad-Dn. to the Collector, Raichur.

....

Sub:-Revised Cropping scheme for the Tungabhadra Project.

...

The State Development Committee at its 21st Meeting held on 7-3-1955 has resolved as follows:-

" The following proposals of the Development Commissiomer pertaining to cropping scheme in the Tungabhadra Project which were referred to Dr. Sawhney for his opinion, were generally approved by the State Development Committee

1.	Abi.	50,000	Acres.
2.	Cane.	15,000	"
3.	Khariff,	2,00,000	"
4.	Rabi Cotton.	75,000	"
5.	Garden.	30,000	"
6.	Rabi and Jawar etc.	2,00,000	"
7.	Tabi.	10,000	
	Total:-	5,80,000	Acres.

The Council of Ministers in their 52nd Meeting held on 14-3-55 have confirmed the above decision of the State Development Committee.

Necessary action may kindly be taken in the matter.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/-Officer on Special Duty.

NO.265/191/TBP/52 Dated.2-6-1955.

Copies forwarded for information and necessary action to:-

1. The Addl.Collector, T.B.Project Munirabad.
2. The Settlement Commissioner, Hyderabad-Dn.
3. The Special Revenue Officer, Localisation Camp:Odderhatti.
4. The Chief Engineer, PWD., Irrigation Projects Hyd:Dn.

Sd/-Officer on Special duty.

JNR/- /True Copy/

DEMAND TABLE FOR LEFT BANK CANAL - T.

Period.	No. of days.	Rbi 50,000 acres Duty	Qty. in Mcft.	Sugarcane 15,000 acres Duty	Qty. in Mcft.	Garden 30,000 acres. Duty.	Qty. in Mcft.	Kharif Duty
	(30)	G.M.	968					
16th:May to 15th:June	31	40	3348	50	804	100	804	135
16th: June to 15th:July	30	50	2592	50	778	"	778	"
16th:July to 15th:Aug.	31	50	2678	"	804	"	804	"
16th:Aug. to 15th:Sept.	31	60	2232	"	804	"	804	"
16th:Sep. to 15th:Oct.	30	60	2160	"	778	"	778	"
16th:Oct. to 15th:Nov.	31	70	1913	"	804	"	804	"
16th:Nov. to 15th:Dec.	30	-	-	"	778	"	778	-
16th:Dec. to 15th:Jan.	31	-	-	"	804	"	804	-
16th:Jan to 15th:Feb.	31	-	-	"	804	"	804	-
16th:Feb. to 15th:March.	28	-	-	"	726	"	726	-
16th:March to 15th:Apr.	31	-	-	"	804	"	804	-
16th:April to 15th:May	30	-	-		778	"	778	-
	(15)	(G.M)	484	"				
			16,375		9,466		9,466	1

Evaporation losses in R

add losses

M.A.G.
26/2/5

Statement "C"

200000 es. ty. in Mcft.	Duty.	Rabi cotton 75,000 acres. Qty. in Mcft.	Duty.	Rabi Jawar 200000 acres Qty. in Mcft.	Duty.	Tabi 10,000 Acrs. Qty. in Mdft.	Total Mcft.	Losses gain Mcfts.	Total Mcft.	Disch in duse
968	180	-	120	-	40	-	9892	1218	11110	4160
840	-	-	-	-	-	-	7988	984	8972	3460
968	-	-	-	-	-	-	8254	1016	9270	3470
968	-	-	-	-	-	-	7808	960	8768	3280
	-	1080	-	-	-	-	4796	589	5385	2065
	-	1116	-	4464	-	-	9101	1120	10221	3820
	-	1080	-	4320	-	-	6956	855	7811	3330
	-	1116	-	4414	-	70	7858	967	8825	3300
	-	1116	-	4464	-	70	7858	967	8825	3300
	-	-	-	-	-	05	2057	253	2310	954
	-	-	-	-	-	70	2278	280	2558	956
	-	-	-	-	-	48	2688	2332	3020	1165
744		5,508		17,712		3,263	77,534	9,541	87,075	

servoir as per Sri M.S.T.Iyengar's report 15,310

during power generation(approximately) 3,665

Grand total:- 106,000 or 106 T.Mcft

CHIEF ENGINEER,P.W.D.,
MAJOR IRRIGATION(NORTH)
MUNIRABAD RAICHUR DISTRICT.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
No. 962
18 MAR 1957
PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH

4)

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER,
MAJOR IRRIGATION WORKS NORTH.
CAMP MUNIRABAD. RAICHUR DISTR

2720

NO. 1946/TS

Dated. 14-3-57

To

The Secretary to Government
Public works Department,
Bangalore.

Sub:- Tungabhadra project left side canal common works relating to the Tungabhadra left side canal -Transfer of control to Tungabhadra Board consequent upon the reorganisation of states.

Ref:- Your D.O.No. PWD.18 TBP.57 dated 1-3-57.

With reference to your above cited letter I have to inform you that the report has already been sent to you vide this office letter No. 1232 dt. 26-2-57.

14/3/57

For Chief Engineer, PWD,
Major Irrigation North.
Munirabad.

YGR. 14/3.

K.B.Murarappa, B.E.,
Asst. Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

Mysore Government Secretariat,
Vidhanasoudha,
Bangalore, dated 16th April 57.

D.O.No.PWD 18 TBP 57

Dear Sir,

Sub: Tungabhadra Project - Left side Canal - common works relating to the Tungabhadra Left side Canal - Transfer of control to the Tungabhadra Board - consequent on the Re-organisation of States.

- - - - -

I am desired to forward herewith a copy of letter No.4189-I/56-6 dated 21-1-57 of the Secretary to Government of Andhra Pradesh, Public Works Department addressed to the Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Irrigation & Power, regarding the above subject and to request you kindly to furnish a self contained report with particular reference to the proposal of the Andhra Pradesh Government regarding the transfer of the Hydro - Electric Scheme on the left side of the Tungabhadra Project to the control of the Tungabhadra Board.

This may kindly be treated as extremely urgent and the report furnished within a week at the latest.

Yours sincerely,

Issued

To

Sri J.L.D'sa, B.Sc.(Hons) B.E., A.M.I.E.E.,
Chief Engineer for Electricity, Mysore,
B a n g a l o r e.

Appendix F. G.—1]

49

SUBJECT: TBP - Left side canal - common works relating to the Tungabhadra Left side Canal - Transfer of Control to the Tungabhadra Board - Consequent on the Re-organisation of States.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Mysore Government Secretariat.

( )

the *195* .

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

To

Shri. J. L. D'Sa,

B.Sc. (Hons) B.E., A.M.I.E.E.,

Chief Engineer for Electricity

Mysore, Bangalore

List of enclosures .....

Copied by.....

2/5/57

Examined by .....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

D.O. No. PWD 18 TBP 57

~~To~~

Dear Sir,

I am desired to invite your attention to this office D.O. No. PWD 18 TBP 57 dt. 16.4.57 on the above subject and to request you kindly to expedite your reply there to immediately, without any further delay as the matter is extremely urgent.

Yours sincerely

KR

Yours

for Secy

2/5/57

50

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

TELE { GRAM: "PROJECTS"
PHONE:

Office of the Chief Engineer, P.W.D.
Major Irrigation (NORTH)
MUNIRABAD (S. Rly.)

No. 2714/1/Ts

Dated 9-5-1957

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO. 3304
15 MAY 1957
PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH

To;

Dated 14-5-57

The Consulting Engineer to Government,
Andhra Pradesh,
Hyderabad-Dn.

SUBJECT:— Irrigation - Tungabhadra Project Left side Canal - Areas served in Telengana.

Reference:— Your letter No. 3707/56 H-21 dated 3.5.1957.

I have to inform you that an area of 5.8 lakh acres is proposed to be cultivated under the left bank canal. The entire area lies in the present Raichur District, and no area in the present Telengana gets water for irrigation.

The file can not be given without the permission of the Government.

for Chief Engineer, P.W.D.,
Major Irrigation (North)
Munirabad.

Copy with a copy of the Consulting Engineer's letter cited above forwarded to the Secretary, P.W.D., Bangalore for information.

Va/9.5.'57.

ANDHRA PRADESH
GOVERNMENT OF HYDERABAD

No. 3707/56 H-21 Dated 3rd May,1957.

From:

Sri. L. Venkatakrishna Ayyar,I.S.E.,
Consulting Engineer to Government,
Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad Dn.

To

The Chief Engineer,
Major Irrigation Projects, North,
Munirabad, Via HOSPET.

Subject: Irrigation-Tungabhadra Project Left side Canal - Area served in Telengana.

Sir,

I shall be obliged if you will kindly furnish me with details of ayacut in Telengana area contemplated by the former Hyderabad State Government under the Tungabhadra Project Left Side Canal Scheme. It is learnt that the file No. 948/C.E. C/TBP of the Office of the Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects of the former Hyderabad Government contains correspondence in that connection and that this file is now in your Office. If there is no objection, I would like to see this file and any other file containing details of ayacut contemplated under Left side canal in Telengana. I also request that a small note on the scope of the Tungabhadra Project Left Side Canal Scheme as sanctioned by former Hyderabad Government and the present stage of execution may be kindly sent to me as early as possible.

Sd/-

For CONSULTING ENGINEER TO GOVERNMENT.

Copy to Superintending Engineer, Telengana Irrigation Projects Circle.

" True Copy "

Va/9.5.'57.

NO. 3286
15 MAY 1957
PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH
406

To

The Chief Engineer, Major Irrigation (N),
Munirabad, Raichur Dist.

No PWD 18 TBP 57
dt 31.5.57

Sir,

Sub: Irrigation - Tungabhadra Project Left side Canal - Areas served in Telengana.

Ref: Your letter No.2714/1/TS dt. 9-5-57 addressed to the Consulting Engineer, Govt. of Andhra Pradesh and copied to this office.

With reference to the communication cited, I am directed to state that no material information likely to become the subject matter of controversy, should be given directly to any officer of another State. In case a request is made by an officer of another State to you to give such information, you may kindly request that officer to approach the Govt. of Mysore for the information. I am to add that the case under reference is one in which a reply should not have been sent by you direct.

Yours faithfuly,

28/5/57

for Secretary to ~~Govt.~~
P.W.D.

28/5/57

Issued
31.5.57

53

Telephone No. 2110.
Telegraphic Address: "GOMED"

No. 10374
Enclo. Note.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
No. MIL 19
7 MAY 1957
PUBLIC WORKS BRANCH

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE
ELECTRICAL DEPARTMENT

Office of the Chief Electrical Engineer,
Post Bag No. 15 Electricit

Bangalore-1 6th May 1957.
(MYSORE, S. INDIA)

The Assistant Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
Bangalore.

336

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO. 3140
8 MAY 1957
PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH

Sir,

Sub:- T.B.P. - Left side canal - common works relating to the Tungabhadra Left side canal - Transfer of Control to the Tungabahdra Board - consequent on the Re-orgainsation of States.

--

With reference to your letter No.PWD.18 TBP.57 dated 2nd May 1957, I write to enclose herewith a short note on the Tungabhadra Project Left Bank Station, as desired.

Yours faithfully,

Chief Engineer, Electricity.

Tungabhadra Project Left Bank Station -
Electrical Works.
-oOo-

The power generated on the left bank of Tungabhadra dam is to be used almost entirely in the area that now forms the present Mysore State. A small amount of power of about 500 kilowatts only is to be made available at the border to one of the places now situated in Andhra. The project report bears this completely and nowhere in the report is there even a suggestion that Andhra has any right to participate in the scheme. The mere mention that power supply would be available to Narayanapet in a Journal does not constitute any right for Andhra Government to have any claim on this sbheme. Therefore, except for the fact that Mysore has to make available at Narayanapet a power to the extent of 500 kolowatts, no further claim for the utilization of the power can be entertained. If such an attitude is taken, it may be stated that even now Mysore is giving power supply to Andhra at Hindupur and on the same ground a claim may be made by Andhra to have a share even in the running of the existing Hydro-Electric Schemes in Mysore.

In this connection it may be stated that we have already completed the design work of the station and placed orders for the machinery and are proceeding with the construction of the power station. Placing it under a board also involves unnecessary extra cost and does not give flexibility and proper economic co-ordination with our existing power system. It may also be mentioned that we are pressing for abolishing of the board itself and that the whole of the system now under the board should be handed over to

Mysore.....

Continued 2.

Mysore, for which sufficient justifiable reasons have been already given in our previous letters. Under the circumstances, it would be undesirable to add a further responsibility to the board. Such a procedure is not necessary either in the interest of economy or the quick execution of the project, in view of the territorial jurisdiction.

--oOo--

Ramba Silvat
~~(J.L. D'sa)~~ 6.5.15
Chief Engineer, Electricity.
(on tour)

No.34/4/57-DW.VI.
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF IRRIGATION AND POWER.
.....

From

Shri R.R. Rao,
Under Secretary to the Government of India.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Andhra Pradesh,
Public Works Department,
Hyderabad.

New Delhi, the 17th June, 1957

Subject:- Tungabhadra Project - Control over common works on the left side.

Sir,

With reference to the Correspondence resting with your letter No.4189-I/56-10 dated the 14th March, 1957, I am directed to state that Section 108(2)(b) of the States Reorganisation Act contemplates that neither the scope of a project in which two or more successor States are concerned after the 1st November, 1956 nor the provisions relating to its administration, maintenance or operation or to the distribution of benefits to be derived from it will be varied, except by agreement between the successor States or, if no agreement is reached, except in such a manner as the Central Government may by order direct. As both the left side of the Tungabhadra Project (including the Hydro-electric Scheme) and the Rajolibanda scheme fall under the purview of the said Section, it is necessary that the Governments of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore should, in the first instance, attempt to arrive at an agreement relating to these schemes. The question of the Central Government issuing a direction will arise only if no such agreement is reached.

2. I am accordingly to suggest that the Andhra Pradesh Government may take up the matter with the Government of Mysore immediately with a view to

arriving at an agreement. Any delay in the matter is likely to affect the work on the scheme. I am to express the hope that the agreement will be finalised by the 1st November, 1957, and this Ministry informed.

3. A copy of this letter is being forwarded to Government of Mysore also.

Secy

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT NO. 2195 20 JUN 1957 PUBLIC WORKS BRANCH

Yours faithfully,

(R.R. Rao)
Under Secretary to the Government of India.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT NO. 4212 25 JUN 1957 PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH

Copy with a copy of letter under reply forwarded to

1. The Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Public Works Department, Bangalore.
2. Central Water and Power Commission with reference to their D.O.No.TE/1(18)/ADR(11)/56 dated the 17th May, 1957.
3. DY.VI Section.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT Date 24/6 PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC DEPTS

(R.R. Rao)
Under Secretary to the Government of India

R.N.SINGH.13/3/1957.

Copy of letter No.4189-I/56-10 dated the 14th March, 1957 from the Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad to the Ministry of Irrigation and Power.

-ooOoo-

SUBJECT: Tungabhadra Project - Left Side Canal - common works relating to the Tungabhadra Left Side canal - Transfer of control of Tungabhadra consequent on the Reorganisation of States.

Ref: Sri Mohan's letter No.39(25)/56-DW.VI dated 2-3-1957.

.....

I am directed to enclose herewith a copy of this Government's letter No.4189-I/56-6 dated 21-1-1957 as desired and to request that an early reply in the matter may be sent.

****

Copy of the letter No.4189-I/56-6 dated the 21st January, 1957, from Government of Andhra Pradesh, to the Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Irrigation and Power, New Delhi.

.-.-.-.-.-.

Subject:-Tungabhadra Project - Left Side Canal Common works relating to the Tungabhadra left side canal - Transfer of Control to Tungabhadra Board consequent on the Reorganisation of States.

.....

I am directed to state that the Tungabhadra Project as designed by the former Hyderabad Government was intended to irrigate lands in both Karnataka and Telangana areas. As a result of the reorganisation of States the Karnataka territory is transferred to Mysore State and Telangana area has been merged in Andhra Pradesh. These areas are to be irrigated by a main canal, by two canals known as Gadval North and South Canals taking off from the main canal and another canal further down called the Alampur Branch Canal. The main canal runs to a length of 127 miles till the off-take point of the Gadval North and South Canals and irrigates area in Karnataka now included in Mysore. The first twelve miles of the Gadval North canal and the first fourteen miles of Gadval South canal also lie in the Karnataka area now included in Mysore State. Below these points they lie in Telangana area which is now included in Andhra Pradesh. The waters available beyond these points were intended for irrigation of Telengana area which is merged in Andhra Pradesh. The total area intended to be brought under irrigation in Telangana portion i.e. in Andhra Pradesh is about 70,000 acres.

2. The Hydro Electric Scheme on the left side of the Tungabhadra Project was intended to supply power to Raichur, Gulberga and Mahaboobnagar Districts according to an article published in the June 1956 issue of "Baghirathi Power Supplement". Similarly the Rajolibanda Diversion (Irrigation) scheme is intended to serve both Karnataka and Telangana regions and a large part of the benefit of the Rajolibanda diversion scheme is to go to Telangana, now included in Andhra Pradesh.

Contd.....2.

3. The States Reorganisation Act lays down that Irrig~~and~~ and Power Projects either completed already or under execution should serve the areas for the benefit of which they were originally conceived irrespective of the territorial changes brought about by States Reorganisation. I am therefore directed to request you to move the Government of India to exercise the power vested in them under Section 108 of the States Reorganisation Act, 1956, and place the works of common concern to Mysore and Andhra Pradesh on the left side of the Tungabhadra Dam including the Hydro Electric Scheme and the Rajolibanda Project under the control of the Tungabhadra Board so that benefits originally contemplated may not be lost by unilaterial action on the part of either State. It will be appreciated that what is suggested here is similar to the action taken in regard to the canal etc., on the right side of the Tungabhadra Dam in October, 1953 when, as a result of the creation of a separate Andhra State, the area to be benefitted by the Tungabhadra Irrigation and Power Project was brought under two different States instead of being under a signal State.

4. The details of the works to be placed under the Control of the Board may be decided by the Government of India after consultation with the Government of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore and the Tungabhadra Control Board.

5. An early reply is requested.

.....

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO. MIL
16 JUL 1957
PUBLIC WORKS BRANCH

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
सत्यमेव जयते

MYSORE GOVERNMENT
NO. 4810
PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
Date 16/7/57
PUBLIC WORKS & ELE

PRADESH
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.
Letter No.4189-I/56-13

From: Sri V.K.Rao,I.C.S.,
Secretary to Government.

To

The Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Public Works Department,
BANGALORE

Dated,Hyderabad, the 11th July 1957.

Sir,

Sub: Tungabhadra Project - control over common Works on the left side.

Ref: This Government Letter No.4189-I/56-7 dated 21-1-1957.
From the Government of India, Ministry of Irrigation and Power Letter No.34/4/57 DW.VI dated 17-6-1957.

I am directed to refer to the letter of the Government of India,Ministry of Irrigation and Power second cited (copy sent to Mysore Government also) and to state that the Government of Andhra Pradesh propose to discuss the above subject at the Inter-State conference to be held at the Tungabhadra Dam during this month. I am therefore to request that this item may be included in the Agenda for the conference.

Yours faithfully,

(J.VENKATRAMANA NAIDU)
for Secretary to Government.

Put up after return of papers from Minister

MSR.11.7.

11/7/57

1/15

17/7/57

Rd 18 Sep 57

62

1315

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO. 5776
29
PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
सत्यमेव जयते

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Letter No.4189-I/56-16

From: Sri Akbar Nawaz Jung,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

Date 29/8/57
PUBLIC WORKS

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Public Works Department,
BANGALORE.

Dated, Hyderabad (DN), the 24th August 1957.

Sec

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO. MU
27 AUG 1957
PUBLIC WORKS BRANCH

Sir,

Sub: Tungabhadra Project - Common works relating to Tungabhadra Project and Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme - Transfer of control to Tungabhadra Board.

Ref: This Government Letter No.4189-I/56-13 dated 11--7--1957.

I am directed to state that Section 108 of the States Reorganisation Act 1956 calls for action on the part of the Governments of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore with regard to the existing arrangements in respect of projects which are of common concern to both the States.

2. The Tungabhadra Project as designed by the Government of Hyderabad was intended to irrigate land in the Karnataka and Telengana areas. With the re--organisation of States, Karnataka is merged in Mysore and Telengana in Andhra Pradesh. Irrigation is to be provided for these areas by a main canal, by two canals known as Gadwal North and South Canals taking off from the main canal and another canal further down called the Alampur branch canal. The left side canal runs to a length of 127 miles till the off-take point of the Gadwal north and south canals and irrigates area in Karnataka now included in the Mysore State. The first 12 miles of the Gadwal north canal and the first 14 miles of the Gadwal South canal also lie in Karnataka area now included in Mysore. Below these points they lie in Telengana area which is now included in Andhra Pradesh. The waters available beyond these points were intended for irrigation of Telengana areas in the present state of Andhra Pradesh. The total area intended to be brought under irrigation in the Telengana portion i.e., in Andhra

p.t.o.

63

Pradesh is between 60 to 70,000 acres.

3. The Hydro Electric Scheme on the left side of the Tungabhadra Project was also intended to supply power to Raichur, Gulberga and Mahboobnagar Districts according to an article published in the June 1956 issue of Baghirathi Power Supplement. Eventual supply of Tungabhadra Hydro Electric Power (Hyderabad side) to Hyderabad city was also envisaged. Similarly the Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme is intended to serve the Karnataka and Telengana areas which are now included in Mysore and Andhra Pradesh respectively. A very large part of the benefit of the Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme is to be to Telengana i.e., Andhra Pradesh.

4. The States Reorganisation Act lays down that the irrigation and power projects either completed already or under execution should serve the areas for thebenefit of which they were originally conceived irrespective of the territorial changes brought about by Act. The Government of Andhra Pradesh therefore propose that the works of common concern to Mysore and Andhra Pradesh on the left side of the Tungabhadra Dam including Hydro Electric Scheme and the Rajolibanda Project should be placed under the control of the Tungabhadra Board so that benefits originally contemplated may be assured to the concerned areas of both States.

5. I am to add that prior to Reorganisation of States the Government of India had under consideration the question of bringing within the purview of the Tungabhadra Board the common works on the Hyderabad side of the Tungabhadra Project. The former Hyderabad Government had also accepted the proposal but it could not be given effect to due to Reorganisation of States with effect from 1--11--1956.

6. I am therefore to request that the approval of the Government of Mysore to the proposal stated in para 4 above may kindly be obtained and communicated early so that the Government of India may be moved in the matter.

Yours faithfully,

(J. VENKATRAMANA NAIDU)
for Deputy Secretary to Government.

MSR.24.8.

24/8/57

48105 5776
MJ

File 18 TBP 57

64

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Andhra Prades
Public Works Department,
Hyderabad, (Deccan).

Sir,

Sub: TBP - common works relating to the Tungabhadra Project and Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme - Transfer of control to the Tungabhadra Board.

Ref: 1) Your letter No.4189-I/56-13 dated 11-7-1957.

2) Your letter No.4189-I/56-16 dated 24-8-57.

= = = =

I am directed to state that ~~the~~ proposals made in your communications cited have been examined in detail by this Govt. The Govt. of Andhra Pradesh ~~can~~ have no interest in the Tungabhadra Project on the ~~northern side as~~ no part of the Canal and no part of the ayacut under ~~that canal~~ will be in Andhra Pradesh territory. ~~Even the Govt. of~~ Andhra Pradesh have admitted as much, as seen from the noting on a file of the former Hyderabad Govt. transferred to this State ~~recently~~. ~~As~~ The Government of Andhra Pradesh cannot be deemed to be interested in that portion of the project, the question of either discussing it at an Inter-State conference or bringing it under control of the Board or ~~by~~ any other agency does not arise.

~~Further~~, I am to state that the proposed Inter-state conference ~~of for~~ settling outstanding questions pertaining to the T.B.Broject on the southern side, in pursuance of the provisions of section 66 of the Andhra State Act. That Conference is

Sus C
10/9/57

65

not intended to deal with matters which might arise as a result of State Re-organisation. However, without prejudice to that position, this Govt. has no objection to the Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme being discussed at the ensuring Inter-state Conference ~~in as much as it is an inter-state scheme~~ and ~~scheme and only Andhra Pradesh and Mysore are interested~~, and also ~~because the last~~ date for reaching an agreement on such matters ~~is 31st October 1957~~.

Yours faithfully,

Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No. PWD 18 TBP 57

Mysore Government Secretariat,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,

Dated, Bangalore, 7 Sep *195* .

FROM

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Public Works Department, Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh,
Public Works Department,
HYDERABAD (DECCAN).

Sir,

Sub:- Tungabhadra Project - common works relating to the Tungabhadra Project and Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme - Transfer of control to the Tungabhadra Board.

Ref:- 1) Your letter No. 4189-1/56-13 dated 11-7-1957

2) Your letter No. 4189 -1/56-16 dated 24-8-1957.

I am directed to state that no part of the Canal and no part of the ayacut under the Canal on the northern side will be in Andhra Pradesh territory. The Government of Andhra Pradesh cannot therefore, be deemed to be interested in that portion of the project and the question of either discussing it at an Inter-State conference or bringing it under the control of the Board or any other agency does not arise.

I am, further to state that the proposed Inter-State Conference is, it is believed, for settling outstanding questions pertaining to the Tungabhadra Project on the southern side, in pursuance of the provisions of Section 66 of the Andhra State Act However, without prejudice to that position, this Government has no objection to the Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme being discussed at the ensuing Inter-State Conference.

Yours faithfully,

(K.B. Murarappa),
Under Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

NP
7/9/57

48105 5776
79

PWD 18 TBP 57
67

To

The Secretary, Tungabhadra Board,
Tungabhadra Dam P.O.,
Via Hospet, Bellary Dist.

Sir,

Sub: Tungabhadra Project - Outstanding issues - Inter State Conference.

Ref: Your letter No.D.O.612/57/A dated 6-7-57.

=====

With reference to the communication cited, I am directed to forward herewith a copy of this Govt's letter No.PWD 18 TBP 57 dated 1957 addressed to the Secretary to the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh, Public Works Dept. and to state that for the reasons mentioned therein, the subject relating to the Tungabhadra Project on the northern side being placed under the control of the Tungabhadra Board need not be included in the agenda of the Inter-state Conference.

Yours faithfully,

Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

30/8/57

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No. PWD 18 TBP 57

Mysore Government Secretariat,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,

Dated, Bangalore, 7 Sep 1957

FROM

The *Secretary to the Government of Mysore,*
PublicWorks Department, Bangalore.

To

The Secretary,
Tungabhadra Board,
Tungabhadra Dam P.O.,
Via Hospet, Bellary District.

Sir,

Sub:- Tungabhadra Project - Outstanding issues - Inter State Conference.

Ref:- Your letter No. D.O. 612/57/A dated 6-7-1957.

With reference to the communication cited , I am directed to forward herewith a copy of this Government's letter No.PWD 18 TBP 57 dated 7th September 1957 addressed to the Secretary to the Government of Andhra Pradesh, Public Works Department and to state that for the reasons mentioned therein, the subject relating to the Tungabhadra Project on the northern side being placed under the Control of the Tungabhadra Board ~~need~~

P.T.O.

need not be included in the agenda of the Inter-State Conference.

Yours faithfully,

(K.B. Murarappa),
Under Secretary to Government,
PublicWorks Department.

Desk

70

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO. 16 SEP 1957
PUBLIC WORKS BRANCH

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO. 6269
18 SEP 1957
PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH

TUNGABHADRA DAM
Hospet, BELLARY DISTRICT,
MYSORE STATE

13-9-1957

Letter No.612/57/A.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
Date 16/9/57
PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC.

From

Shri A.R. Venkataraman, I.S.E.,
Secretary, Tungabhadra Board.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore,
Public Works & Electricity Department,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

Sub:- Tungabhadra Project - Outstanding issues - Inter State Conference - Regarding.

Ref:- Your letter No.P.W.D. 18.TBP 57 dated 7-9-1957.

--:*:--

I am directed to state that it is noted from your letter No.P.W.D. 18. T.B.P.57 dated 7-9-1957 addressed to the Secretary to the Government of Andhra Pradesh that the Government of Mysore feel that matters relating to the northern side of the Tungabhadra Project (Irrigation side) cannot be considered at the proposed Inter State Conference and that the question of placing those works under the control of the Board does not arise but that they have no objection to the Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme being considered at that Conference.

2) As you no doubt recollect I had referred in my letter No.612/57/A dated 6-7-1957 among other things to the question of transfering the Hydro Electric Scheme on the left side of the Tungabhadra Project to the control of the Board being considered at the ensuing Inter State Conference. It is seen from your reply given to the Government of Andhra Pradesh, that this point has not been dealt with. I therefore request you kindly to communicate the views of the Government of Mysore on this point at an early date.

3) I am enclosing herein, in this connection, a copy of

P.T.O.

71

letter No.4189-1/56-17 dated 29-8-1957 which I have received from the Government of Andhra Pradesh on this subject. I request you kindly to furnish if there be no objection, factual information available with you on the following three subjects for my reference as also for onward transmission to the Government of Andhra Pradesh .

1) Tungabhadra Left Bank Canal.
2) Hydro Electric Scheme on the left side of the Project.
3) Rajolibanda Diversion Sche

Yours faithfully,

13/9/57

for SECRETARY,
Tungabhadra Board.

LIR.12/9

Copy of the letter No.4189-I/56-17 dated 29th August, 1957 from the Assistant Secretary, to the Government of Andhra Pradesh, Public Works Department. to the Secretary, Tungabhadra Board.

- -

Sir,

Sub:- Tungabhadra Project - Control over common works on the left side.

--:*:--

I am directed to invite attention to item XVII of the minutes of the Chief Engineer's Conference held at Tungabhadra Dam on 26th and 27th o July 1957 and request you to obtain from Mysore Government full details etc., on the Tungabhadra Left Bank Canal, Hydro Electric Scheme on the left side of the Tungabhadra Project and Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme and furnish the same to this Government early.

Yours faithfully,

Sd. B. Devadatham,
Assistant Secretary to Government.

LIR.13/9

Copy of the letter No.4189-I/56-17 dated 29th August, 1957 from the Assistant Secretary, to the Government of Andhra Pradesh, Public Works Department, to the Secretary, Tungabhadra Board.

- -

Sir,

Sub:- Tungabhadra Project - Control over common works on the left side.

--:*:--

I am directed to invite attention to item XVII of the minutes of the Chief Engineer's Conference held at Tungabhadra Dam on 26th and 27th of July 1957 and request you to obtain from Mysore Government full details etc., on the Tungabhadra Left Bank Canal, Hydro Electric Scheme on the left side of the Tungabhadra Project and Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme and furnish the same to this Government early.

Yours faithfully,

Sd. B. Devadatham,
Assistant Secretary to Government

LIR.13/9

XVII.

Transfer of control to the Tungabhadra Board of the common works under.

i) Tungabhadra left bank canal.

ii) Hydro Electric Scheme on the left side of the Tungabhadra Project.

iii) Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme.

- - - -

The Chief Engineer, Major Irrigation, Mysore said that it is for the respective State Governments to take a decision.

The Member for Andhra requested the Board to obtain and make available the full details on the Tungabhadra left Bank Canal, Hydro Electric Scheme on the left side of the Tungabhadra project and Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme including localisation and block plans of the entire commanded area, Project reports at various stages and a copy of the sanctioned estimates and relevant orders given by the former Hyderabad Government from time to time.

(Sd.) D. VISWANATHAM,
Member representing Special
Chief Engineer, Andhra.

(Sd.) T.S. MOORTHI,
Chief Engineer, Major Irrigation, (North), Mysore.

(Sd.) A.R. VENKATARAMAN,
Chief Engineer, Irrign. Branch,
& Secretary, Tungabhadra Board.

----- -----

To

The Secy.Tungabhadra Board,
Tungabhadra Dam Post Office,
Via Hospet, Bellary District.

Sir,

Sub:- T.B.P. -Common works relating to the Tungabhadra Project and Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme - Transfer of control to the Tungabhadra Board.

Ref:- Your letter No. 612/57/A dated 13-9-1957.

With reference to the communication cited I am directed to state that ~~as already informed~~ intimated to the Government of Andhra Pradesh, ~~that~~ ~~since~~ no part of irrigation under the project or ~~under~~ the Canal on the Left Bank of the Tungabhadra River will be in Andhra Pradesh territory. Hence there is no question of having any discussion regarding this at the ensuing inter-State conference. ~~For the same reason, it is unnecessary to supply any~~ data, figures or records ~~to the Andhra Pradesh Government.~~ as Tungabhadra Left Bank Canal does not arise.

As regards the Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme it is understood that some papers have already been made available to the Andhra Pradesh Govt. by the Chief Engineer, Major Irrigation (North) of this State. ~~(In this case the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh are entitled to have information) As they~~ have an interest - a major interest - in this ~~scheme,~~ ~~the Chief Engineer, Major Irrigation (north) of this State~~ he has ~~therefore~~ been requested to supply whatever ~~factual~~ information is ~~of interest to the Government of Andhra Pradesh~~ required.

2 copies

for clearance checks indicated, or further if necessary

Govt. of A.P.

P.T.O.

75

As regards the Tungabhadra Project Hydro Electric Scheme on the Left Bank, a further communication will be sent to ~~him~~ you ~~as~~ as soon as possible.

Yours faithfully,

Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

21/9/57

21/9/57

Pwd 18 TBP 57

76

To

The Chief Engineer,
Major Irrigation (North),
Manirabad, Raichur District.

Sir,

Sub:- T.B.P. -Common works relating to the Tungabhadra Project and Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme - Transfer of control to the Tungabhadra Borad.

Ref:- 1) This office letter No. PWD 18 TBP 57 dated 7-9-1957 addressed to the Secretary to the Government of Andhra Pradesh, Public Works Department.

2) This office letter No. PWD 18TBP 57 dated 7-9-1957 addressed to the Secy. Tungabhadra Board.

3) Letter No. 612/57/A dated 13-9-1957 from the Secretary, Tungabhadra Board.

I am directed to forwarded herewith copies of the letters cited above and to state that we have already informed the Government of Andhra Pradesh that as no part of irrigation under the Project are the Canal on the Left Bank of the Tungabhadra River will be in Andhra Pradesh territory , there is no question of having any discussion at the ensuing Inter-State Conference. For the same reason it would be unnecessary to supply any data , figures or records to the Andhra Pradesh Government.

As regards the Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme some papers already seem to have been supplied by you to the Andhra Pradesh Government. In that case the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh can only information as they have an interest - a major interest-in the scheme . I am therefore to request you kindly to arrange to supply factual information of interest ,

77

to the Andhra Pradesh Government, regarding this Scheme.

Yours faithfully.

Secretary to Govt. PWD.

24/9/57

21/9/57

Pwd 18 TBP57

78

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO. 7146
16 OCT 1957
PUBLIC WORKS BRANCH

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
सत्यमेव जयते

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Letter No.4189-I/56-18

From: Sri Akbawar Nawaz Jung,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
Date 16/10/57
PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTT.

1766

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO. 6988
17 OCT 1957
PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH

The Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Public Works Department,
BANGALORE.

Dated, Hyderabad (DN), the 12th October 1957.

Sir,

Sub: TUNGABHADRA Project - Left side portion and Rajolibanda Diversion scheme - Files relating to the - Return of - Requested.

I am to invite attention to the proceedings of the Inter-State conference held at the Tungabhadra dam on 5th and 6th October 1957 and request you to kindly send all the files of common interest pertaining to the Tungabhadra Left side canal (including Tungabhadra Hydro Electric Scheme) and Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme so that copies may be taken and the originals returned.

Yours faithfully,

(J.VENKATRAMANA NAIDU)
for Deputy Secretary to Government.

MSR.12.10.

16/10/57

PWD 18 TBP 57

79

No PWD 18 TBP 57
Dt - 26.10.57.

To

1) The Chief Engineer,
Major Irrigation (North)
Munirabad, Raichur Dist.

2) The Chief Engineer for Electricity Projects B'lore.

Sir,

Sub:- Tungabhadra Project - Left side portion and Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme - Files relating to the - return of -

Ref:- {Correspondence ending with your letter No. 1946/TS dated 14-3-57.}

6 CE HS(N) only.

---

6 CE HS(N) only.

[With reference to the communication cited] I am directed to forward herewith a copy of letter No. 4189-I /56-18 dated the 12th October 1957 ~~from~~ received from the Secy. to the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh, PWD regarding the above subject for necessary action. In this Connection I am to ~~add~~ that it may be advisable for the two Chief Engineers to meet and exchange files at the spot, so that where copies are required, the documents which are to be copied may be indicated and the copying work may be reduced to the minimum. This aspect may ~~kindly~~ be examined by you and a meeting may be suggested if ~~this~~ you considered that ~~that~~ course is suitable.

A report of the action taken on this reference may kindly be furnished very early.

Yours faithfully,

Secretary to Government, PWD.

Issued
AP
26.10.57

19/10/57 18/10/57

Telegrams : "GOMED"

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

Telephone No. { Office: 5457 / Residence: 5641

SHRI H. V. NARAYANA RAO, B.Sc. (Mys.), B.Sc. (Lond.), M.I.E.,
Chief Engineer.

OFFICE OF THE
CHIEF ENGINEER, HYDRO-ELECTRIC
CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS,
POST BAG No. 15,
(S. India)

80.

Bnzd 18 TBP 57

1993

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO. 7644
8 NOV 195
PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH

D.O. No. 337-8

Bangalore-1, 5th November 1957.

Dear Shri Somayajulu,

Sub: Tungabhadra Project - Left side porti
and Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme - F
relating to the - return of -

I write to invite your kind reference to letter No. nil dated 12th October 1957 from the Deputy Secretary to Government, P.W.D., Hyderabad, to the Secretary to Government of Mysore, Bangalore, and wish to state that in accordance with the proceedings of the Inter-State Conference held at the Tungabhadra Dam on 5th and 6th October 1957, I would suggest your coming over here or deputing one of your authorised representatives to go through the records available in this office on the above subject and intimate the particular records for which copies are required. Such copies will be made here immediately and handed over or sent by post whichever is preferable to you.

If you will kindly let me know a week in advance as to when this has to be done, I shall arrange to keep all the files available with us ready.

With kind regards,

Yours sincerely,

Sd/-

Bhri B.R. Somayajulu, M.I.E.E., M.I., (Mech.) E.,
M.I.E., (Ind.),
Chief Engineer for Electricity,
Andhra Pradesh,
HYDERABAD (Deccan).

COPY of the above is forwarded to Shri D.K. Srinivasachar, I.A.S., Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Bangalore, for kind information.

H. Narayana Rao
CHIEF ENGINEER, H.E.C.P.
5/11

6988 J 7646 PWD 18 TBP

MJ

Appendix F. G.—1]

8

SUBJECT TBP — Left Side portion and Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme — Files relating to the — Return of

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Mysore Government Secretariat.

( )

the 195

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

List of enclosures .....

Copied by.....

Examined by .....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. PWD 18 TBP 5 J 20/11/5

To

The Chief Engr. M.I (N)

Munirabad.

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to this office letter No. PWD 18 TBP dated 26.10.55 on the above subject and to request you kindly to expedite your report of the action taken in the matter.

Yours faithfully

11.5

for Secy to Govt PWD

13/11/55

Sms 11/13 55

WD 03736-GPB—P.Z.S.— 50,000—1-3-56

PWD 18 TBP 57

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

TELE { GRAM: "PROJECTS"
PHONE:

Office of the Chief Engineer P.W.D.
Major Irrigation (NORTH)
MUNIRABAD (S. Rly.)

No. 566/P

Date 29th Novr. 1957.

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works & Electrical,
Bangalore.

SUBJECT: Tungabhadra Project - Left Side portion and Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme - Files relating to the - Return of.

Reference:— Your letter No. PWD 18 TBP 57 Dated 26th November, 1957.

.....

I do not agree with the suggestion contained in the letter of the Deputy Secretary to Government, Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad vide dated 12th October, 1957.

With this letter No. 444/P Dated 16th Octr.57, files of Tungabhadra Project were sent over to Sri L.Venkatakrishna Iyer, Special Chief Engineer, Andhra Pradesh, and who was requested to send per bearer the drawings of Upper Krishna Scheme. Inspite of repeated reminders and express letters, he has so far neither returned the files nor has he sent the drawings pertaining to Upper Krishna. In fact some of the files of Tungabhadra Project which were sent over to him are urgently needed for the disposal of certain cases.

With the exhibition of this attitude, I doubt if, even though, the files are exchanged on the spot they would be returned immediately after. Even though

PTO

the files are taken on the plea that they will be examined for noting down such of the papers copies of which will be required for reference, the files are likely to be with the Special Chief Engineer, Andhra Pradesh for some time, and there is no saying as to when the "quick return" of those files, would be arranged.

I enclose herein a statement correspondence that I entered into with the Special Chief Engineer, Andhra Pradesh, Hyd'bad, so far and the efforts taken by me to secure the files, and the lack of response from the Special Chief Engineer, Andhra Pradesh. I regret to tell you also that this lack of co-operation on the part of the Special Chief Engineer, Andhra Pradesh has been the cause in not accepting the suggestion in your letter above referred to.

Encl
as stt.

(T.S.Moorthi)
Chief Engineer, PWD,
Major Irrign. North.

DRAWINGS AND PAPERS OF UPPER KRISHNA SCHEME
STILL WITH THE ANDHRA PRADESH.

.....

Quite a number of plans, estimates, reports etc. pertaining to the Upper Krishna Scheme have not yet been transferred over by the Andhra Pradesh Government. About 37 bundles containing 347 sheets, are still reported to be available with the Public Works Department, Andhra Pradesh.

In asmuch as the Upper Krishna scheme is located in the present Mysore State and also the benefits being in the present Mysore State, the following attemps endeavouring to obtain the required papers, were made.

1. An Assistant Engineer was sent over with D.O. lr.no. 323/P dated 5-7-57 to Hyderabad.

   The Chief Engineer in reply to this D.O. letter intimated that the papers pertaining to the Upper Krishna and Bhima Schemes were not available in his office and that the Superintending Engineer had been asked to make a search in his office.

2. Once again with this office D.O. letter No. 371/P dated 22-8-1957, the Chief Engineer was intimated that 37 bundles consisting of 347 sheets were available with the Superintending Engineer and the Chief Engineer was requested to arrange handing over of these papers to Shri G.J.Kulkarni, Supervisor, who was sent over to obtain them.

   The Supervisor returned empty handed. A telegram was despatched on 30-9-57 and D.O. lr.No. 423/P dated 30-9-57 was sent over in confirmation. A request was made herein to send over the drawings through Shri L.Narsing Lal, Stenographer. It was also indicated therein that after perusal, the files would be returned.

   The Stenographer also returned empty handed. The matter was reported to the Secretary, P.W.D. with this office letter No. 395/P dated 5th Septr: 57. The Secretary, P.W.D. with his letter No. PWD 10 UKP 57 dated 30-9-57 addressed a letter to the Secretary, P.W.D. Andhra Pradesh. The drawings, etc. in spite of this letter were not sent over by the Andhra Pradesh Government.

3. An Inter-State Ministers Conference was convened at the the Dam-site during the first week of October, 57. It was decided therein by the Chief Ministers of the two States that requisite papers should be sent over by the Chief Engineers of two States for perusal.

   Sri L.Venkatakrishna Iyer, Special Chief Engineer, Andhra Pradesh, was contacted immediately after and he was requested to send over the papers, pertaining to the Upper Krishna scheme. He gave me to understand that he wanted to examine the files pertaining to Tungabhadra Project and they should be sent over. With this office D.O. letter No. 444/P dated 16-10-57 I had sent over the files pertaining to Tungabhadra Project and requested Shri L.Vankatakrishna Iyer, Special Chief Engineer, to send over the papers of Upper Krishna and other schemes, through Sri G.Rama Rao, Supervisor.

PTO

85

This Supervisor also came badk without the drawings etc , of the Upper Krishna and other schemes.

Once again a telegram was sent over to Shri L.Venkatakrishna Iyer, on the 7th inst. This was followed up by a confirmatory letter No. 6560/TS dt. 7-11-57. Even this had no response.

This was followed up by an express D.O. letter No. 499/P dated 9-11-57, to Shri L.Venkatakrishna Iyer. So far no reply has been received.

(sd)
(T.S.Moorthi)
Chief Engineer, PWD.

CH 4002

S.Nijalingappa, B.A.,LL.B.,
Chief Minister, MYSORE.

Bangalore,
12th December 1957.

My Dear Shri.

You may kindly recall that at the Inter-State Conference held at Tungabhadra Dam in October, we agreed that records pertaining to Tungabhadra, Upper Krishna, Rajolibanda and other projects should be exchanged between the two States in order that further action may be taken by either State in regard to the execution of those projects. In accordance with this understanding, records have, I am told, been sent by our Chief Engineer to the Special Chief Engineer for Irrigation, Andhra Pradesh in respect of Tungabhadra Project. I am also informed that so far, no records pertaining to Upper Krishna Project have been received by our Chief Engineer. I may also recall that both of us were emphatic at the time that the officers concerned should act up to the spirit of our understanding. I have issued instructions accordingly at my end. I shall be grateful if you could have similar instructions issued and cause the records pertaining to Uppker Krishna and Bhima Projects to be sent by your Chief Engineer to the Chief Engineer, Major Irrigation (North), Munirabad very early.

With best regards.

S.Nijalingappa.

Shri N.Sanjeeva Reddy,
Chief Minister, Andhra,
Hyderabad.

" Copy "

Sms 14/12/57

(2644)

659
23.1.58

PWD. 18 TBP 57

J.V.Narsing Rao.
Minister for Irrigation & Power.

HYDERABAD,
Dated 8th Jan. 1958.

D.O.No.4189-I/56-21.

Dear Shri Nijalingappa Garu,

Sub: Irrigation - Records pertaining to Upper Krishna and Bhima Projects - supply to Mysore Government.

Ref: Your D.O.4002, dated 12-12-1957 addressed to Chief Minister of Andhra Pradesh.

2. D.O.dated 14-12-1957 of Chief Minister of Andhra Pradesh.

....

In continuation of the D.O.second cited, I write to say that instructions are being issued to the Special Chief Engineer(Irrigation) of this State to make copies of the records relating to the Upper Krishna and Bhima Projects and send them to the Chief Engineer, Major Irrigation(North), Munirabad as early as possible.

2. It is understood from our Chief Engineer that so far very few files on the Tungabhadra Project(Left canal) have been made available to him and that the others are still awaited from the Chief Engineer, Mysore. He may kindly be instructed to supply the remaining files to our Chief Engineer.

Similarly, the Secretariat files relating to Tungabhadra Left Canal including Tungabhadra Hydro Electric Scheme and Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme asked for in this Government's letter No.4189-I/56-18, dated 12-10-1957 may also be sent to us early so that copies might be taken and the originals returned.

3. May I also take this opportunity to remind you that the Government of Andhra had requested the Government of

P.T.O.

CCM.2948

Secy.,P.W.D.

Please go through the request and the lists enclosed and put up a draft for reply.

d/-S.N.
19-1-58.

x x x

"The stage at which each of the subjects in the lists is,may be clearly noted and the papers put up by 24th.

d/-D.K.S.
22-1-58

Government of Mysore a long time ago for information regarding certain projects and works undertaken by the Government of Mysore but the information has not yet been supplied to us? I enclose a copy of the list of pending cases in which we had asked for information and are still waiting for it. It will be seen that in some cases we had asked for the information several years back.

4. I request that instructions may be issued to supply the information and records asked for by us without delay.

Yours sincerely,

Sd/-
(J.V.NARASING RAO)

Shri.S.Nijalingappa, B.A., L.L.B.,
Chief Minister of Mysore,
Carlton House,
Bangalore.

"Copy"
23/1/58

Hns

LIST OF CASES REFERRED BY THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE TO THE GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH.

Sl. No.	Subject	Last reference to which a reply is due.	REMARKS
1	2	3	4
1.	Construction of an anicut across Chitravati River and opening a feeder channel near Thirumani, Bagepalli Taluk.	No.PWD 71 BTB 57 dt.5-12-1957. Last reminder dated 18-1-1958.	Awaiting concurrence from Government of Andhra Pradesh to the execution of work, pending the question of dependable supply is determined by gaugings, the mode of which to be decided by the C Es.of Both the Govts.
2.	Irrigation -Anantapura District -Bhairavanitippa Project - Particulars of cost of Anti-malarial operations, rehabilitation and cost of construction of bridges etc. in Mysore State.	NoPWD 34 GTS 57 dt. 29-10-57 and 5-12--1957. Last reminder dated 22-1-58.	Awaiting concurrence of Andhra Pradesh to the following:- 1) The construction of a bridge and the cause-way. 2) The special staff for acquisition and rehabilitation work which is since sanctioned in anticipation of concurrence. 3) Placing at the disposal of this Govt.a sum of Rs.2 lakhs towards expenditure during the course of 1957-58. 4) Providing a vehicle with a driver and contingent charges for a period of one year.
3.	Thammadahalli Tank Andhra State.	Sectt.File is not ~~available~~ readily traceable.	It is ascertained from the C.E.'s office that the particulars called for from the Govt.of Andhra Pradesh are yet awaited, vide E.E. Tungabhadra letter No 661-50/16-6-55.

Sl. No.	Subject.	Important references made to Andhra Pradesh.	Remarks.
1.	Records relating to the Upper Krishna Project.	PWD 15 UKP 57 dated ~~10-1-58~~ 7.11.57. Last Reminder 10.1.58.	
2.	Improvements to Kurnool-Cuddapah Canal.	PW 7088-89, dated 11-11-53 and PWA 9909 dated 8-2-56. Last reminder. PWD 51 TBP 58 dated 30-1-58.	Awaiting particulars of the scheme for improvements to the Canal, the area commanded by it and the area expected to be irrigated, with a view to examine whether it involves any commitment regarding the utilisation of T.B.Waters or of the storage of the T.B.Reservoir and its canals.

3/1/58

सत्यमेव जयते

PRADESH
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

Letter No.4189-I/56-24.

From: Sri J.Venkatramana Naidu, B.A.,
Assistant Secretary to Government.

To
The Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Public Works Department,
Bangalore.

Dated, Hyderabad (DN), the 4th Feb., 1958.

Sir,

Sub: IRRIGATION - Tungabhadra Project - Left side canal and Rajolibunda Diversion scheme - files - return of.

Ref: This Government Letter No.4189-I/56.18 dated 12-10-1957.
D.O.Letter No.4180-I/56-21 dated 8-1-1958 addressed to Chief Minister, Mysore.

I am directed to invite attention to the references cited and to request that the records asked for therein may kindly be sent early.

Yours faithfully,

(J.VENKATRAMANA NAIDU)
ASSISTANT SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

MSR.4.2.

No.112/58
Camp: Gulberga.

Dear Shri Narasing Rao Garu,

Sub: Irrigation - Records pertaining to Upper Krishna and Bhima Projects - Supply to Mysore Government

===

Kindly refer to your D.O.letter No.4189-I/56-21 of 8th January, which was received by me on the 19th.

2. I am thankful to you for having issued instructions to the Special Chief Engineer of your State to make copies of the records relating to Upper Krishna and Bhima Projects and send them to our Chief Engineer.

3. So far as files on our side are concerned, I am told that a good many files have been sent by the Chief Engineer, Munirabad and that none of them has so far been returned after being copied. I am however issuing instructions that the rest of the files, if any, may be sent to your Special Chief Engineer early.

4. It has been brought to my notice that the Secretariat files relating to T.B.Project were duplicated and that one set of copies was sent to the Government of Andhra even prior to State Re-organisation, with letter No.533-GAD-CS/PE/56 dated 5th July, 1956 of the Government of former Hyderabad State. I am sure these copies would be available for reference by your Officers. With regard to the files relating to Tungabhadra Hydro-Electric Project (Left Bank), I am told that the Chief Engineer, Hydro-Electric Construction Projects, Mysore, has invited the Chief Engineer, Electricity, Andhra Pradesh to visit Bangalore and have discussions on the subject. I also understand that these discussions are yet to take place.

5. I have gone through the list of other references which has been sent along with your letter. Most of these are being examined by our technical Officers. We have similarly a number of questions which we have taken up with you. Some of them are, for example; (i) the construction of an anicut at Thirumane in Kolar District, (ii) the provision of necessary facilities like a bridge, road etc. and rehabilitation measures in connection with the construction of one of your projects, viz., Bhairavaniti and (iii) improvements to the Kurnool- Cuddapah Canal executed by your Government. I think that in order to have

these matters settled speedily, it would be desirable to arrange for a meeting of Officers of both the Governments at the technical level or at the Secretariat level if necessary, and thereafter any outstanding points could be discussed between us at ministerial level just as we did with reference to the outstanding points relating to T.B. Project. That may be the only way to resolve some of the long-standing subjects.

Yours sincerely,

Sd/- Nijalingappa
(Chief Minister)

"Copy"

for Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

1264/H.9 PWD 18 TBPS 57

Appendix F. G.—1]

SUBJECT: Tungabhadra Project Left Side Canal and Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme — files — regarding

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Mysore Government Secretariat.

( )

the 195

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

List of enclosures .....

Copied by.....

Examined by .....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. PWD (67 BPS) 8 14/3/5

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Andhra Prade
Public works Dep
Hyderabad (A

Sir,

Ref: your letter No. 4189-9/56-24 dated 4.2.58.

With reference to the communication cited, I am directed to ~~state that a reply on the~~ invite your reference to the ~~subject has already been sent in~~ D.O. letter No.

112/58 dated 14.2.58 ~~from~~ of the Chief Minister of Mysore, addressed to the Minister for Irrigation and Power, Andhra Pradesh, where a reply has already been furnished.

Yours faithfully

11.3.58

Secy to Govt

Sung 5 11/58

11/8/58

14.3.58

96

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

PWD No. [redacted],

Mysore Government Secretariat,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,

Dated, Bangalore,.....March.195 8.

FROM

The *Secretary to the Government of Mysore,*
Public Works Department, Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh,
Public Works Department, Hyderabad (Dn).

Sir,

Sub: Tungabhadra Project Left side Canal an Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme - files - regarding.

Ref: Your letter No.4189-I/56-24 dated 4-2-58.

===

With reference to the communication cited, regarding the above subject I am directed to invite your reference to the D.O.letter No.112/58 dated 14-2-58 of the Chief Minister of Mysore, addressed to the Minister for Irrigation and Power, Andhra Pradesh, wherein a reply has already been furnished.

Yours faithfully,

(K.B.Murarappa)
Under Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

18/3

Pwd 18 TBPS 7

3120

97

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
MADRAS GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO. 2360
18 MAR 1958
WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH

SHRI V.K.RAO,I.C.S.
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

Hyderabad (DN),
D.O.No.4189-I/56-25 dated the 7th March 1958.

Dear Sri Srinivasachar,

SUB:IRRIGATION - Tungabhadra Project - Left Side canal and Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme Files - supply to Andhra Pradesh Government.

Ref:From the Chief Minister,Mysore D.O.No. 112/58 dated 14-2-1958.

--

In his D.O. cited the Chief Minister,Mysore has mentioned that the Secretariat files relating to Tungabhadra Project were duplicated and that one set of copies was sent to the Government of Andhra with letter No.833 GAD.89/SR/56 dated 5-7-1956 of the former Hyderabad State. But on verification I find that duplicated copies of the following two files only were sent to Andhra by the former Hyderabad Government.

1. File No.TB 41/T/51 - Sharing of the cost of Tungabhadra Dam.
2. File No.PW(35)/51- Tungabhadra Project - copy of agreement.

These files deal only with the question of the sharing of the waters of the reservoir and of the Tungabhadra river between old Madras and old Hyderabad and with the question of sharing of the cost of the dam. But they do not throw any light on the scope of the Tungabhadra Project as envisaged by the former Government of Hyderabad and how that Government proposed to utilise their share of the waters of the Tungabhadra reservoir. The scope of the Tungabhadra left canal and the areas proposed to be irrigated under the canal were not discussed in these files at all.

I am therefore to point out that the files already supplied to us have no bearing on the point at issue. I am to request that the Secretariat files relating to the Tungabhadra Left Side Canal which deal with the problems referred to above may kindly be supplied to us urgently.

I am also to request that all the Secretariat

p.t.o.

98

files relating to Rajolibunda Project may be sent to us without delay so that copies may be taken and the originals returned.

Yours sincerely,

SD.V.K.RAO
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

To Sri D.K.Srinivasachar,I.A.S.,
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Public Works and Electricity Department
BANGALORE.

/true copy/

Akbar Nawaz Jung 10/7/58

(AKBAR NAWAZ JUNG)
DEPUTY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

M. G. S. App. F. G. 3]

MYSORE GOVERNMENT

SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form*).

2360/MJ

PWD 18 TBPS 57

31-3-58

Tungabhadra project - Left-side canal and Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme - plea - supply to Andhra Pradesh

To

The Chief Engineer M.I.(N)

Munirabad.

Sir,

Ref: your letter No. 566/P dated 5.12.57.

With reference to the communication cited, I am directed to forward herewith a copy of letter No. D.O. 4189-I/57-25 dated 7.3.58 received from the Secy. to the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh regarding the above subject, and to request you kindly to furnish your comments thereon. I am also to request that

WD-05448-GPB—PZS—30,000-27-9-56.

if the information is available with you, the numbers of the former Hyderabad Public works Secretariat files relating to the matters referred to by ~~the~~ in the present letter of the Govt. of Andhra pradesh may kindly be furnished, to enable verification whether those files have been received here at all.

An early reply is requested.

Yours faithfully

28/3

for Secy to Govt.

Issued 31-3-58

Sung 28/3/58

28-3-58

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

TELE { GRAM: "PROJECTS"
PHONE:

Office of the Chief Engineer P.W.D.
Major Irrigation (NORTH)
MUNIRABAD (S Rly.)

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO. M 1
16 APR 1958
PUBLIC WORKS BRANCH

No. 448/TS
58

Date 14-4-1958.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
No. 3168
16 APR 1958
PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH

To,

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
Bangalore.

SUBJECT:— TBP.-Left side canal & RDS. [illegible]
Andhra Pradesh.

Reference:— Your letter No. PWD 13 TBP 57 dt. 31-3-58.

Sir,

With reference to the letter cited above I have to inform you that no Secretariat files are received in this office and as regards the details of the Left Bank Canal, all the concerned files were sent to Chief Engineer Andhra Pradesh with this office No. 444/P dt. 16-10-57 and the files were received back from Chief Engineer, Andhra Pradesh with his letter No. 3511 H-7 dt. 31-12-57 i.e. after 2½ months

In this connection please refer this office letter No. 343/1/TS dt. 11-4-58 in reply to your D.O. letter No. PWMI 3494-95 dt. 2-4-58.

Yours faithfully,

14/4/58

for Chief Engineer, PWD,
Major Irrigation North,
Munirabad.

YGR. 14/4.

14/4

272

IMMEDIATE

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO. 3847.
30 APR 1958
PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

Hyderabad (DN),
D.O.NO.4189-I/56-27 dated the 9th April 1958.

Dear Sri Srinivasachar,

Sub: Irrigation - Tungabhadra Project - Left side canal and Rajolibunda Diversion scheme files supply to Andhra Pradesh Government.
Ref: My D.O. No.4189-I/56-25 dated 7-3-58.

I am to invite reference to the D.O. cited and to state that the Secretariat files relating to the Tungabhadra Project Left Side Canal and the Rajolibunda Project requested therein have not been sent so far. As the above subject will come up for discussion at the Inter-State conference proposed to be held in May 1958, I am to request that the matter may kindly be treated as urgent and the files sent early.

Yours sincerely,

(V.K.RAO)

To
Sri D.K.Srinivasachar, I.A.S.,
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Public Works and Electricity Department,
Bangalore.

M. G. S. App. F. G. 3]

3847/MS

MYSORE GOVERNMENT

SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form).

WD 18 TBP 57

Tungabhadra Project — Left-Side Canal and Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme — files — Supply to Andhra Pradesh.

To

The Chief Engineer M.I.D (N)

Munirabad.

Sir,

Ref: your letter No. 448/TS dated 14.4.58

With reference to the communication cited, I am directed to ~~request~~ ~~your goodness~~ state that ~~from~~ the files ~~[illegible]~~ ~~[illegible]~~ of your office relating to the Tungabhadra Left-Side canal which deal with the scope of the Tungabhadra project as envisaged by the Govt. of Hyderabad and here that

WD-05448-GPB—PZS—90,000-27-9-56.

Govt. proposed to utilise its share of the Waters of the Reservoir, must necessarily contain letters received by the Ch. Engr. from the former Hyderabad Govt. I am therefore to request that the number or numbers of the former Hyderabad Govt. P.W. Sectt. files relating to the subject may kindly be obtained from the correspondence available in your files and intimated to this office to verify whether the connected ~~Secy~~ Hyderabad Sectt. files have been received here. ~~Similarly~~ Similarly, the number of the Hyd. Sectt. files relating to the R.D. Scheme may also be obtained from the files of your office and intimated urgently.

Yours faithfully

Issued

Under Secy to Govt.

P.W.D.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No. PWD 18 TBP 57

Mysore Government Secretariat,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,

Dated, Bangalore, 9th May 1958

FROM

The *Secretary to the Government of Mysore,*

Public Works Department, Bangalore.

To

The Chief Engineer,
Major Irrigation (North) MUNIRABAD.

Sir,

Sub: Tungabhadra Project - Left Side Canal and Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme - files - supply to Andhra Pradesh.

Ref: Your letter No.448/TS dated 14-4-58.

With reference to the communication cited, I am directed to state that the files of your office relating to the Tungabhadra Left side Canal which deal with the scope of the Tungabhadra Project as envisaged by the Government of Hyderabad and how that Government proposed to utilise its share of the waters of the reservoir, must necessarily contain letters received by the Chief Engineer, from the former Hyderabad Government. I am therefore to request that the number or numbers of the former Hyderabad Government P.W.Secretariat files relating to the subject may kindly be obtained from the correspondence available in your files and intimated to this office to verify whether the connected Hyderabad Secretariat files have been received here. The number of the Hyderabad Secretariat files relating to the ~~Revenue Department~~ Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme, may also be obtained from the files of your office and intimated urgently.

Yours faithfully,

(K.B.Murarappa)
Under Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

Sml.8.

Issued
9/5

SHRI V.K.RAO, I.C.S.
SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

Hyderabad (DN),
D.O.No.4189-I/56-28 dated the 6th May 1958.

Dear Sri Srinivasachar,

Sub: Irrigation - Tungabhadra Project - Left side canal and Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme files - supply to Andhra Pradesh Government.

Ref: My D.O.No.4189-I/56-27 dated 9-4-1958.

I am to invite reference to the D.O. cited and request that the files relating to Tungabhadra Left Side Canal and Rajolibunda Project asked for may kindly be sent early.

Yours sincerely,

(V.K.RAO)

To

Sri D.K.Srinivasachar, I.A.S.,
Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Public Works & Electricity Department,
BANGALORE.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO. 4199
13
PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH

3847 J 4199
MI.

Irr D 18 TBP 57

110.

TO

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF
ANDHRA PRADESH, P.W.D.,
HYDERABAD (DECCAN).

Sir,

Sub: Irrigation - Tungabhadra Project Left side canal and Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme files - supply to Andhra Pradesh Government.
- J -
Ref: Your D.O. letter No.4189-I/56-28 dated 6th May, 1958.
-o-o-o-

With reference to the communication cited, I am directed to state that certain particulars regarding the above subject have been called for from the Chief Engineer, Major Irrigation (North) of this State. On their receipt, a further communication will be sent to you.

Yours faithfully,

Under Secretary, P. W.D.

Issued
16/5

18/5/58.

15/5/58

Sus A.
21/5/58

111

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO.
27 JUN 1958
PUBLIC WORKS BRANCH

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
PUBLIC WORKS DEPT.HYDERABAD.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO. 5594
WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH

Letter No.4189-I/56-29 Dated 23-6-1958.

727

From

Sri J.Venkatramana Naidu,B.A.,
Asstt.Secretary to Government.

To

The Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Public Works and Electricity Department,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

Sub:Irrigation-Tungabhadra Project-Left Side Canal.

Ref: This Govt.D.O.No.4187/I/56-28 dt. 6-5-1958.
Your letter No.PWD 18 TBP.57 dt. 16-5-58.

I am directed to invite attention to the reference first cited and to request that the records asked for therein may kindly be sent early.

Yours faithfully,

(J.Venkatramana Naidu),
Asstt.Secretary to Government.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
No
27 JUN 1958
PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTT.

S/-

M. G. S. App. F. G. 3] 4199 5594 PD

MYSORE GOVERNMENT

SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form*).

To

The Chief Engineer M.I.(N)

Munirabad.

Sir,

Subj: TBP - Left Side Canal and Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme — Supply to Andhra Pradesh.

Ref: This office letter No. PWD 18 TBP 57 dated 9.5.58.

I am directed to invite reference to the communication cited and to request that the particulars called for therein may kindly be furnished urgently as the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh are reminding frequently.

Yours faithfully,

Issued 15/7

9/7/58 Under Secy to Gov.

WU 0258- GPB - PZS-1,20,000—1-7-55.

Government of Mysore.

No. W/738/3767/ D/- 26-7-58
TS

From

The Chief Engineer, P.W.D.,
Major Irrigation (North)
Munirabad Raichur District.

To

The Secretary P.W.D.,
Bangalore.

Subject:- T.B.P. Left side canal & R.D.S. - files - supply to Andhra Pradesh.

Ref: Your letter No.PWD 18TBP 57 D/- 15.7.58.

Sir,

The available information regarding the Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme and T.B.P.Left side Canal is herein enclosed.

Encl:1 list.

1030

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO. 65-73
29 JUL 1958
PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION DIVISION

for Chief Engineer, P.W.D.,
Major Irrigation (North)
Munirabad Raichur Dist.

25/7/58

M.A.G.
25/7/58

Secretariat files Nos pertaining to R.D.S.Canal & Anicut works.

File No.	Subject:-
1). 220/M/55	Canal excavation below spring level in R.D.S.
A — 2). IB/270/T/56.	Finalisation of canal excavation work done by Laxminarayana contractor ch.1500 to 1560 of R.D.S.
3). IB/T/270/Misc/55	Canal excavation below spring level(Inspection Report of R.D.S. in 6/52).
4). IB/68/Misc/55	Production of documents in the court of law.
B — 5). IB/1/T/55.	Compensation for lands acquired for R.D.S. Canal in Pocherla & Tangal villages Alampur Taluq Raichur Dist.
6). IB/537/T/54.	Damages caused to R.D.S.Canal due to incessant rains from 25/29th:July 54.
7). Tel.W- IB.	Entrusting the work of flood works on the Andhra side of R.D.S. to Govt. of Andhra.
C — 8). IB/1018/T/54.	-do- -do- -do- -do-
D — 9). IB/558/T/55	Interpretation of the item "Excavation of found in rock.
E — 10).IB/4/T/55F.	Rajolibunda Anicut Scheme-Estimated cost of Project.
F — 11). IB/673/T/54.	Representation of Mr.P.G.Parekh contr.regarding Anicut at Rajolibunda.

Secretariat File Nos pertaining to T.B.P.Canal

G — 1). K/67/IB/160/Mis/55.	Acceptance of tenders other than the lowest in the case of certain works of canal construction Dn.No.1 Munirabad.
H — 2). K/186/IB/TBP/56.	Navigation in T.B.P.Project upto miles 100 along T.B.P.Canal.
I — 3). IB/371/T/52.	Complaint of Ryots of Laxmapur regarding damage to crops and cultivation due to the construction of T.B.P.Main Canal.
4). IB/224/Misc/53.	Minutes of the meeting on canal lining held on 9.4.1953.
J — 5). IB/605/Misc/55.	Payments to contractors on construction of of the Sanapur & Shivapur Reservoir.
6). IB/111/T/52.	T.B.P.Works started in the absence of sanction to estimates & contracts.
J — 7). IB/408/T/52.	Award of works on nomination basis.
K 8). IB/382/T/54.	Canal lining from ch.670 to 675.
L — 9). IB/321/T/55.	Letting out the work of lining of TBP Main canal @ ch. 579 to 585 to Sri A.Chinna Rama Swamy contractor on negotiation postfac sanction requested.

M.A.G.
25/7/58

25/7/58

Shri. D.K.Srinivasachar, I.A.S.,
Secretary to Government,
P.W. & Elecl. Dept.

Mysore Govt. Sectt.
(Camp) Munirabad, Dt. the 6th Aug. 1958.

Dear Shri. Muniswamy Reddy,

Apropos of the discussions held at Secretariat level between the representatives of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore States on the 4th and 5th of August at the Tungabhadra Dam Site, the following points required examination:-

i) It was agreed at the Inter-State Conference of 6-10-57 that records pertaining to Tungabhadra Main Canal Left Bank and the Upper Krishna and Bheema Projects should be exchanged between the Chief Engineers of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore in order to enable them to take up the question further if necessary. During the discussions on the 4th and 5th of August between the Secretaries it was pointed out that there were some more records pertaining to Tungabhadra Left Bank Canal which had not been supplied by you to the Chief Engineer of Andhra Pradesh. It was also not clear whether all the records pertaining to Upper Krishna and Bheema Projects have been received by you from the Special Chief Engineer, Andhra Pradesh. It was stated that all the plans asked for by your predecessor Shri.T.S.Moorthy had been supplied. A full Note has to be prepared:

a) Embodying the present position with regard to the exchange of records and giving dates, number of files or records etc;

b) outlining fully the Mysore case in regard to the scope of the T.B.Project Canal on the Left Bank and dealing with claims of Andhra Pradesh about their right to have a share in the waters on the left side for irrigation in Gadwal and Alampur Taluks;

ii) Reference was also made in the discussions of 4th and 5th to the execution of Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme. The Andhra Pradesh Representatives urged that the Works undertaken by Mysore should be completed. It was not clear during the discussions whether this had not already been done or whether there were any difficulties in completing the works. A full Note will again have to be prepared in regard to this so that the subject may be fully dealt with when the matter comes up for discussion in the Inter-State Conference at the Ministerial level;

iii) A proposal to the effect that the Tungabhadra project should be inaugurated by the Prime Minister of India some time in November or December was also discussed at the Meeting of Secretaries and is expected to come up for discussion during the ensuing Inter-State Conference at Ministerial level. In order that the Chief Ministers and Ministers may have full information as to the financial implications, rough estimates of expenditure both on the Irrigation side and on the Hydro-Electric side would have to be furnished and an indication given of the sharing of expenditure between the Government of Mysore and the Tungabhadra Board. A Note on this subject would therefore have to be got ready outlining the arrangements proposed. The Chief Engineers, Tungabhadra Board, Irrigation and Electrical (Ex-Officio) will have to be consulted inthis behalf.

PTO

I would request you kindly to take immediate action to prepare material on the above lines and furnish note by ~~xbxxxxxxxxxx~~ about the middle of this month so that they may be examined by Government well in time to enable a discussion at the Inter-State Conference proposed to be held during the 1st week of September.

Yours sincerely,
Sd/-
D.K.Srinivasachar

Shri.L.C.Muniswamy Reddy, B.A. B.E.,
Chief Engineer,
Major Irrigation (North),
MUNIRABAD.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
30 AUG 1958
PUBLIC WORKS BRANCH

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

Letter No.4189 I/56-31 T-9 Dated 26-8-1958.

PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DE

From

Sri Akbar Nawaz Jung,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Public Works Dept.BANGALORE./with encl./

Sir,

Sub:Tungabhadra Project- Left Side Canal and R.D.Scheme- Files- return of- Requested.

...

I am directed to invite attention to item 14 of the Proceedings of the Conference of the Secretaries to the Governments of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore held at Tungabhadra Dam on 4th and 5th August 1958 and request that the files noted in the statement enclosed pertaining to the Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme Canal from Mile 27 to 66 may kindly be sent urgently to the Chief Engineer (Irrigation) of this State.

For Mr. MSH

1238

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO. 7753
1 SEP 1958
PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH

Yours faithfully,

(J.Ramakoteswara Rao)
Asstt.Secretary to Government.

To

S/- Nizam
27/8/58

118

MAJULIBONDA DIVERSION SCHEME-STATEMENT OF SUBJECT ON WHICH FILES ARE NOT AVAILABLE IN CHIEF ENGINEER'S Office FOR THE MAIN CANAL BELOW MILE 27:-

S.No.	Mile....Nos.	Estimates. E.L.	Bedding	Sidelining	C.D. works.	Contracts. E.L.	Bedding	Sidelining	C.D.works:	remarks
1.	27	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	The files on the subject marked and are not available in C.E.s Office.
2.	28	x	x	x	x	x	x	*	x	
3.	29	*	x	x	x	-	x	-	x	
4.	30	-	x	x	x	x	x	-	x	
5.	31	-	x	x	x	x	x	-	x	
6.	32	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
7.	33	-	x	-	x	x	x	*	x	
8.	34	x	x	x	x	x	x	-	x	
9.	35	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
10.	36	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
11.	37	x	x	xx	x	x	x	x	x	
12.	38	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
13.	39	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
14.	40	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
15.	41	-	x	-	x	x	x	x	x	
16.	42	x	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	
17.	43	-	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	
18.	44	-	-	-	x	x	*	*	*	
19.	45	-	-	-	x	*	x	x	x	
20.	46	-	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	
21.	47	-	-	-	x	-	x	x	x	
22.	48	-	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	
23.	49	-	-	-	x	-	x	x	x	
24.	50	-	-	-	x	-	x	x	x	
25.	51	-	-	-	x	-	x	x	x	
26.	52	-	-	-	x	-	x	x	x	
27.	53	-	-	-	x	-	x	x	x	
28.	54	-	-	-	x	-	x	x	x	

/contd. 2.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
29.	55	-	-	-	x	-	-	-	-	
30.	56	-	-	-	x	-	-	-	-	
31.	57	-	-	-	x	-	-	-	-	
32.	58	-	-	-	x	-	-	-	-	
33.	59	-	-	-	x	-	-	-	-	
34.	60	-	-	-	x	-	-	-	-	
35.	61	-	-	-	x	-	-	-	-	
36.	62	-	-	-	x	-	-	-	-	
37.	63	-	-	-	x	-	-	-	-	
38.	64	-	-	-	x	-	-	-	-	
39.	65	-	-	-	x	-	-	-	-	
40.	66									

/True copy/

Sd/-
Superintendent.

/true copy/

B. - [illegible]

Superintendent.

[illegible]
27/8/58

S/-

M. G. S. App. F. G. 3]

7753/119

WD 18 (B)57

MYSORE GOVERNMENT

SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form*).

TBP Dept. ~~order issued~~

RDS — files — return of.

To

The Chief Engineer MI(N)

Munirabad.

Sir,

Ref: your letter No. W/7387/3767/1/TS of 26.7.58.

With reference to the communication cited, I am directed to forward herewith a Statement* of the files relating to the Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme Canal from miles 27 to 66. ~~Not to have~~ ~~Received from the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh.~~ I am to enquire whether the files referred to in the Statement are available in your office or the Subordinate offices.

* Copy of stt at pages 118-119 C.F. to be typed as encl.

received from the A.P. Govt. region

WD 01788—GPB—PZS—100,000—20-2-58

PTO

14

This may be treated as most urgent and a report sent in three days after a thorough check-up.

Yours faithfully

KL 11/9

Eys. 6 — Govt

MCL 6/9/58 Phn 6/9/58 PWD.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No. PWD 18 TBP 57.

Mysore Government Secretariat,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,

Dated, Bangalore, 19 Septr, *195* 8
Bhadrapada Saka Era 1880.

FROM

The *Secretary to the Government of Mysore,*
Public Works Department,
Bangalore.

To

The Chief Engineer,
Major Irrigation (North)
MUNIRABAD.

Sir,

Sub: Tungabhadra Project left side canal and R.D.S files -- return of.

Ref: Your letter No.W/738/3767/1/Ts dated 26-7-58.

With reference to the Communication cited, I am directed to forward herewith a Statement received from the Andhra Pradesh Government regarding the files relating to the Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme Canal from miles 27 to 66. I am to enquire whether the files referred to in the Statement are available in your office or the subordinate offices.

This may be treated as most urgent and a report sent in three days after a through check-up.

Yours faithfully,

(K.B.Murarappa),
Under Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

Mk-19-9/2.

RAJULIBUNDA DIVERSION SCHEME-STATEMENT OF SUBJECT ON WHICH FILES ARE NOT AVAILABLE IN CHIEF ENGINEER'S OFFICE FOR THE MAIN CANAL BELOW MILE 27 :-

S.No.	Mile Nos.	Estimates.				Contracts.				Remarks.
		E.W.	Bedding	Sideli-ining	C.W. Works.	E.W.	Bed ding	Side-lings	C.D.Works.	
1.	27	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	The files on the subject marked and are not available in C.Es office.
2.	28	x	x	x	x	x	x	-	x	
3.	29	-	x	x	x	-	x	-	x	
4.	30	-	x	x	x	x	x	-	x	
5.	31	-	x	x	x	x	x	-	x	
6.	32	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
7.	33	-	x	-	x	x	x	-	x	
8.	34	x	x	x	x	x	x	-	x	
9.	35	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
10.	36	-	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
11.	37	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
12.	38	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
13.	39	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
14.	40	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	x	
15.	41	-	x	-	x	x	x	x	x	
16.	42	x	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	
17.	43	-	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	
18.	44	-	-	-	x	x	-	-	-	
19.	45	-	-	-	x	-	x	x	x	
20.	46	-	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	
21.	47	-	-	-	x	-	x	x	x	
22.	48	-	-	-	x	x	x	x	x	
23.	49	-	-	-	x	-	x	x	x	
24.	50	-	-	-	x	-	x	x	x	
25.	51	-	-	-	x	-	x	x	x	
26.	52	-	-	-	x	-	x	x	x	
27.	53	-	-	-	x	-	x	x	x	
28.	54	-	-	-	x	-	x	x	x	

Contd. 2.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)	(6)	(7)	(8)	(9)	(10)	(11)
29.	55	-	-	-	x	-	-	-	-	
30.	56	-	-	-	x	-	-	-	- -	
31.	57	-	-	-	x	-	-	-	- -	
32.	58	-	-	-	x	-	-	-	-	
33.	59	-	-	-	x	-	-	-	-	
34.	60	-	-	-	x	-	-	-	-	
35.	61	-	-	-	x	-	-	-	-	
36.	62	-	-	-	x	-	-	-	-	
37.	63	-	-	-	x	-	-	-	-	
38.	64	-	-	-	x	-	-	-	-	
39.	65	-	-	-	x	-	-	-	-	
40.	66	-	-	-	x	-	-	-	-	

/True Copy/

Sd/

Superintendent.

/True copy/

Superintendent.

19/9

M. G. S. App. F. G. 3]

7753

PWD 18 TBP 57

MYSORE GOVERNMENT

SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form*).

TBP — Left Side Canal — and R.D.S. — files — Relation of — —

To

The Ch. Engr. M.I. (N)

Munirabad.

Sir,

Ref: This office No. PWD 18 TBP 57 dated 19.9.58.

I am directed to invite reference to the communication cited and to request that the report therein called for may kindly be furnished urgently.

Yours faithfully

27/9/58

for Secy. to Govt.

PWD.

27/9/58

Issued

30/9

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No. PWD 18 TBP 57.

Mysore Government Secretariat,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,

Dated, Bangalore, 30 Septr. *1958.*
~~Bhadrapada~~ Ashwayuja 8. Saka Era. 1880.

FROM

The *Secretary to the Government of Mysore,*
Public Works Department,
Bangalore.

To

The Chief Engineer,
Major Irrigation (North)
MUNIRABAD.

Sir,

Sub:- Tungabhadra Project - Left Side canal and R.D.S - files return of:

Ref:- This Office No.PWD 18 TBP 57 dated 19-9-58.

I am directed to invite reference to the communication cited and to request that the report therein called for may kindly be furnished urgently.

Yours faithfully,

MCS 30/9

(M.C. Somaya)

for Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

Mk:

C. F. No. 45]

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

OFFICE OF

No. 5989/TA1
Encls.

The Chief Engineer, P.W.D.,
Major Irrigation (North) Munirabad
Raichur District

To

Date 29-9-58

1470.

The Secretary to Government
P.W.D. Bangalore

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO. 8892
30 OCT 1958
PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH

Sir

Subject:- T.B.P. Left side canal & D.D. files - Return of

Ref: Your letter No.PWD 18 TBP 57 D/-19.9.58.

...

With reference to your letter cited above I write to state that the files referred to are not traceable in this office. A further search is being made to trace them out. The Superintending Engineer, Raichur Circle has also been asked to report whether the files are available in his office. On receipt of a reply from him, a further report will be sent.

Yours faithfully,

29.9.58

Chief Engineer, P.W.D.,
Major Irrigation (North)
Munirabad Raichur Dist.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO. MIS
- OCT 1958
PUBLIC WORKS BRANCH

M.A.G.
27/9/58

27/9/58

P.T.O

7753 J 8892 PND 18 TBPS 7
MG

Subd. for perusal of the letter on the previous page. ~~He has also~~ The CE has been reminded on the matter on 30.9.58. Hence pending receipt of his further report this will be filed if appd.

MCG
6/10/58

4/10/58

SUS A.
6/10/58.

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
PUBLIC WORKS DEPT.HYDERABAD.

Letter No.4189 I/56-32 Dated 15-9-1958.

From
Sri J.Venkatramana Naidu,B.A.,
Asstt.Secretary to Government.

To
The Secretary to Government of Mysore
Public Works Department,BANGALORE.

Sir,

Sub:Irrigation- Tungabhadra Project Left Side-Canal-

Ref: This Government Lr.No. 4189 I/58-29 dt.23.6.58.

....

I am directed to invite reference to the letter cited and request you that the records asked for may kindly be sent early.

Yours faithfully,

(J.Venkatramana Naidu),
Asstt.Secretary to Government.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO.
25 SEP 1958
PUBLIC WORKS BRANCH

SECRETARIAT
25 SEP 1958
PUBLIC WORKS

1815

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO. 8698
29 SEP 1958
PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

TELE { GRAM: "PROJECTS"
PHONE:

Office of the Chief Engineer, P. W. D.,
Major Irrigation (NORTH)
MUNIRABAD (S. Rly.)

No 7327/TA, 1816

Dated 7-11- 1958

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO. 115
12 NOV 1958
PUBLIC WORKS BRANCH

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO. 10450
13 NOV 1958
PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH

To THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE,
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
Bangalore.

SUBJECT: Tungabhadra Project Left Side Canal and R.D.S. files -- Return of

Reference: Your letter No: PWD 18 TBP 57 dated 30-9-58 & this office No: 5989/TA1 dated 29-9-1958.

....

Sir,

With reference to letters cited above I write to inform you that, all the files available in this office regarding R.D.S. works beyond Mile 27 have already been sent to the -- Chief Engineer Andhra Pradesh with this office letter No:5425/1 dated 30-9-1957. On further search of old records some estimates and letters etc., regarding R.D.S. beyond mile 27 were traced out and the same have been sent over to Chief Engineer Andhra Pradesh with this office No: 7059/17+11/TA1 dt:30-10-58

The Superintending Engineer Raichur Circle, has also informed that all the files pertaining to the miles from 27 (Earth work, Lining & C.D. works) have been transferred to Superintending Engineer, T.I.P. Hyderabad and there are no file pertaining to Andhra Pradesh in this office.

Yours faithfully,
7.11.58
For Chief Engineer, P.W.D.,
Major IrrigationNorth.
MUNIRABAD.

NBR/6-xi,

6/XI

M. G. S. App. F. G. 3]

8892 / 8698 / 1645 — PWD 18 TBP 57

MYSORE GOVERNMENT

SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form*).

TBP Left Side canal and RDS — files — Relation of.

To

The Secretary to the Govt of Andhra Pradesh P.W.D. Hyderabad.

Sir,

Ref: Your letter No. 4189 I/56-31 dated 26.8.58.

With reference to the communication cited, I am directed to state that the Chief Engr. M.I(N) of this State has reported that all the files ~~available~~ relating to Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme works beyond mile 27, available in his office, ~~have~~ have already been sent to the Ch. Engr. Andhra Pradesh ~~vide our letter~~ in his letter No. 5425 T.S. dated

WD 01788—GPB—PZS—100,000—20-2-58

30.9.1957. After a further search of the old records some estimates and letters etc regarding the Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme ~~and Rajolibanda~~ are stated to have been traced out and sent to the Ch. Engr. Andhra Pradesh with letter No. 7057/17+11/TA1 dated 30.10.58 of the Ch. Engr. M.I.(N).

It is ~~also~~ further reported that the Supg. Engr. Raichur Circle has also transferred all the files pertaining to the R.D.S ~~from~~ ~~beyond mile~~ beyond mile 27 (Earth work lining & C.D. Works) to the Supg. Eng T.B.P. Hyderabad and that there are no more files pertaining to the works available in the Andhra Pradesh area available in his office also.

Yours faithfully

Copy to Ch. Engr. with reference to his No. 7327/TA1 dt 7.11.58. for information.

17/11/58 MCS 18/11/58 2e/s. 6 Gosh pwd

2e/s.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

PWD 18 TBP 57-

Mysore Government Secretariat,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,

Dated, Bangalore, 8-12- *Nov.* *1953.*

FROM

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Public Works Department, Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh,
Public Works Department,
HYDERABAD.

Sir,

Sub:- TBP Left side Canal and R.D.S. - files - return of

Ref:- Your letter No.4189 I/56-31 dated 26-8-1958.

With reference to the communication cited, I am directed to state that the Chief Engineer, Major Irrigation (North) of this State has reported that all the files relating to Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme Works beyond mile 27, available in his office, have already been sent to the Chief Engineer, Andhra Pradesh in his letter No.5425 TS dated 30-9-1957. After a further search of the old records some estimates and letters etc. regarding Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme are stated to have been traced out and sent to the Chief Engineer, Andhra Pradesh with letter No. 7059/17+11/TA 1 dated 30-10-1958 of the Chief Engineer, Major Irrigation (North).

It is further reported that the Superintending Engineer, Raichur Circle has also transferred all the files pertaining to the Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme beyond mile 27 (Earth work, lining and C.D. Works) to the Superintending Engineer, T.I.P. Hyderabad and that there are no more files pertaining to the works ~~available~~ in the Andhra Pradesh area available in his office also.

Yours faithfully,

(K.Padmanabhan),
Under Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

PK:26-11/3.

PTO

Copy to Chief Engineer, Major Irrigation (North) with reference to his No. 7327/TAI dated 7-11-1958 for information.

Under Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

PK:26-11/3.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No. PWD 18 TBP 57

Mysore Government Secretariat,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,

Dated, Bangalore,.....Nov....1958.

FROM

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Public Works Department, Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh,
Public Works Department,
HYDERABAD.

Sir,

Sub:- TBP Left side Canal and R.D.S. - files - return of

Ref:- Your letter No.4189 I/56-31 dated 26-8-1958.

With reference to the communication cited, I am directed to state that the Chief Engineer, Major Irrigation (North) of this State has reported that all the files relating to Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme works beyond mile 27, available in his office, have already been sent to the Chief Engineer, Andhra Pradesh in his letter No.5425 TS dated 30-9-1957. After a further search of the old records some estimates and letters etc. regarding Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme are stated to have been traced out and sent to the Chief Engineer, Andhra Pradesh with letter No. 7059/17+11/TA 1 dated 30-10-1958 of the Chief Engineer, Major Irrigation (North).

It is further reported that the Superintending Engineer, Raichur Circle has also transferred all the files pertaining to the Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme beyond mile 27 (Earth work, lining and C.D. Works) to the Superintending Engineer, T.I.P. Hyderabad and that there are no more files pertaining to the works ~~available~~ in the Andhra Pradesh area available in his office also.

Yours faithfully,

(K.Padmanabhan),
Under Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

PK:26-11/3.

PTO

Copy to Chief Engineer, Major Irrigation (North) with reference to his No. 7327/TAI dated 7-11-1958 for information.

Under Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

PK:26-11/3.

NO. PWD 18 TBP 57. 137

M. G. S. App. F. G. 3]

MYSORE GOVERNMENT

SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form).

(vide page 129 of C/57)

Subject: Irrigation - Tungabhadra project: Left Side Canal and Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme Files - Supply to Andhra Pradesh Government.

To

The Secretary to Government,

P.W.D. Government of A.P.,

Hyderabad.

Sir,

With reference to the correspondence resting with your letter No. 4189 I/56-32 dated 15-9-58 on the subject mentioned above, I am directed to suggest that if there are no objections an authorised representative of your Government may be deputed ~~have~~ to go through the records, which are available here and (with instructions) to make a selection of the particular records required by you. ~~Copies of~~ ~~Such of them~~ such of the records as are selected by him will then be made and ~~may be got typed and made available~~ forwarded to you, since the original ~~as the original records cannot be sent~~ records are required here for frequent ~~use and it is not~~ ~~reference. You are of frequent number possible to spare them.~~

Yours faithfully

Listed

WD 01788—GPB—PZS—100,000—20-2-58

31.1.59

A/S to Govt. & P.W.D.

31/1/59

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

No.PWD 18 TBP 57

Mysore Government Secretariat,
Vidhana Soudha,
Bangalore, Dt. 5th Feb. 1959.

From

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
BANGALORE.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
Government of Andhra Pradesh,
HYDERABAD.

Sir,

Sub: Irrigation - Tungabhadra Project Left side canal and Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme files - supply to Andhra Pradesh Government.

With reference to the correspondence resting with your letter No.4189 I/56-32 dated 15-9-58 on the subject mentioned above, I am directed to suggest that if there is no objection an authorised representative of your Government may be deputed to go through the records which are available here and with instructions to make a selection of the particular records required by you. Copies of such of the records as are selected by him will then be made and forwarded to you, since the original records are required here for frequent reference.

Issued

Yours faithfully,

(K.Padmanabhan)
Under Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

Sml.5.

Government of Mysore

R.L. RAJU, B.E.,
Chief Engineer, I.P.

Office of the Chief Engineer,
Irrigation Projects,
Munirabad, dated April 28, 1959.

D.O. No. 21/P/1

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO. 4972
11 MAY
PUBLIC WORKS

Dear Sri Srinivasachar,

Inter-State Conference of Chief Engineers between Andhra Pradesh & Mysore Governments.

---

8 MAY 1959
PUBLIC W
OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR PUBLIC WORKS
No. 3110
30 APR 1959
BANGALORE
T-10
30 APR 1959
PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC

In enclosing herewith a copy of D.O. letter No.16424/59 H-1 dated 20-3-1959, received from the Special Chief Engineer, Irrigation, Andhra Pradesh, wherein under item No.13(i) it is stated that it was decided at the Inter-State Conference that the matter be discussed in the first instance between the Chief Engineers of the two States, further that the Mysore representative also agreed at the above conference to afford facilities to the staff of the Andhra Pradesh Government to examine the records available with the Chief Engineer, Munirabad, and to take extracts of relevant correspondence, and that the Andhra Pradesh Government are deputing certain staff to Munirabad for this purpose and a separate communication will follow on this.

In this connection, I wish to state that there is nothing mentioned in the proceedings of the Inter-State Conference of February 1959 that the Mysore Government should afford facilities to the staff of the Andhra Pradesh Government to examine records available with the Chief Engineer at Munirabad and to take extracts of relevant correspondence. I therefore request you kindly to communicate orders of Government whether the request of the Special Chief Engineer, Irrigation, Andhra Pradesh, might be complied with. If this has to be allowed, the arrangement must be on a reciprocal basis and our staff must be permitted

This was agreed to at the Inter conference, although it was not put on record. It will of course have to be on a reciprocal basis
Min-PWR
30/4/59

P.T.O.

H.M. CHANNABASAPPA,
Minister for P.W. & Electricity

Issued

to examine the necessary records with the Chief Engineer, Andhra Pradesh, and to take relevant extracts therefrom.

Yours sincerely,

Sri D.K. Srinivasachar, I.A.S.,
Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
Bangalore.

D.O. letter No.16424/59 H-1 dated 20-3-1959 from the Special Chief Engineer, Irrigation, Andhra Pradesh, to the Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects, Munirabad.

x -------- x

Sub: Tungabhadra Project - outstanding issues - settlement - conference of Chief Engineers.

Ref: From the Secretary, Tungabhadra Board letter No.9015/57 A/57 dated 9-3-1959 addressed to Andhra Pradesh and Mysore Governments with copy to Chief Engineer, Munirabad, etc.

Kindly refer to the minutes of the proceedings of the Inter-state conference held at Huderabad in February 1959 forwarded with the Secretary, Tungabhadra Board letter cited. As indicated against items 12, 13(i) and 15 of the minutes, the Chief Engineers of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore Governments have to meet and discuss the following subjects and come to decisions so that they could be finalised at a conference of the Secretaries to the Governments of the participating states and the Inter-State conference to be held in May 1959.

Item 12 of the Minutes - Regulation for the storage and issue of water from Tungabhadra Reservoir.

At the Inter-state conference held in February 1959 the Mysore Representatives agreed to furnish the working tables of Lakkavalli Reservoir to enable the rules of regulation of Tungabhadra Reservoir being worked out. I request that working tables of Lakkavalli Reservoir may be furnished to me and to Tungabhadra Board early so that we may finalise the rules of regulation of the Tungabhadra Reservoir.

Item 13(i) - Tungabhadra Project - Irrigation- Munirabad side Claim of Govt. of Andhra Pradesh for share in benefits.

It was decided at the Inter-State conference that the matter be discussed within the first instance between the Chief Engineers of the two States - The Mysore representatives also agreed to the above conference to afford facilities to the staff of the Andhra Pradesh Government to examine the records available with the Chief Engineer, Munirabad, and to take extracts of relevant correspondence. The Andhra Pradesh Govt. are deputing certain staff to Munirabad for this purpose

and a separate communication will follow on this.

x x x

"EXTRACT"

Chief Engineer, I.P.

Kindly refer to the minutes of the proceedings of the Inter-state conference held at Madras on 1st January 1929 forwarded with the Secretary, Tungabhadra Board letter dated as indicated against items 12, 13(i) and 15 of the minutes, the Chief Engineers of Madras, Bombay and Mysore Governments have to meet and discuss the following subjects and come to decisions so that they could be finalised at a conference of the Secretaries to the Governments of the participating States and the Inter-State Conference to be held in May 1929.

Item 12 of the Minutes - Regulation for the storage and issue of water from Tungabhadra Reservoir.

At the Inter-State conference held in February 1929 representatives agreed to furnish the Government of Madras with Reservoir to enable the rules of regulation of Tungabhadra Reservoir being worked out. I regret that the tables of Madras Reservoir may be furnished to us and to Tungabhadra Board early so that we may finalise the rules of regulation of the Tungabhadra Reservoir.

Item 13(i) - Tungabhadra Project - Irrigation - Distribution of Right of Madras Ryotes for share in profits.

It was decided at the Inter-State conference that the water be diverted within the first instance by order the Chief Engineers of the two Stations - Mysore Government has also agreed to the above conference to afford facilities to the staff of the Madras Government to examine the records available with the Chief Engineer, Bombay, and the extracts of relevant correspondence. [illegible] are [illegible] certain staff to [illegible]

143(a)

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No. PWD 6250-57/MI

Mysore Government Secretariat,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,
Dated, Bangalore, 15 May 1959
Vaisakha, 25 Saka Era 1881.

FROM

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,

Public Works Department, Bangalore.

To

The Chief Engineer,
Irrigation Projects,
MUNIRABAD.

Sir,

Sub:-Inter-State Conference of Chief Engineers between Andhra Pradesh and Mysore Govts.- Item 13 (1) - Tungabhadra Project- Irrigation- Munirabad side - Claim of Govt. of Andhra Pradesh for share in benefits - records of -

---

I am directed to refer to your D.O. letter No. 21/P/1 dated 28th April 1959 addressed to Shri. D.K.Srinivasachar, IAS, Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Public Works Department on the above subject and to say that Government agree to your affording facilities to the staff of the Andhra Pradesh Government to examine the records available in your office and to take extracts of correspondence relevent to the above subject on a reciprocal basis, i.e., that your staff will be likewise permitted to examine the necessary records available with the Chief Engineer, Andhra Pradesh and to take extracts therefrom.

Yours faithfully,

Issued
15/5/59

(K.Padmanabhan),
Under Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

Copy to :-

The Special Chief Engineer, Irrigation , Andhra Pradesh, for information.

O/C

K.P. 15/5/59

Under Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

16/7/59

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO. 6001
PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO. 2015
25 MAY 1959
PUBLIC WORKS BRANCH

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
सत्यमेव जयते

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

...

LETTER No. 4189 I/56-40

From

Sri R.Prasad, I.C.S.,
Secretary to Government.

To

The Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Public Works and Electricaal Department,
BANGALORE.

HYDERABAD, dated 21st May 1959.

T-3
25 MAY 1959
ELEC. STORE

Sub: IRRIGATION--Tungabhadra Project-Left side canal and Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme files supply to Andhra Pradesh Government.

~~P.~~ P.13997 Ref: Your letter No. Public Works Department 18 TBP57 dated 9-2-59.

...

I am directed to enclose herewith a copy of orders issued by this Government in G.O.Ms. 1163 PWD dated 9-5-59 wherein some special staff has been sanctioned for deputation to Munirabad to take extracts from relevant files relating to the Tungabhadra Project Left side canal and the Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme. The staff will proceed to Munirabad very shortly. I am therefore to request that instructions may kindly be issued immediately to the Chief Engineer Irrigation Projects Munirabad to afford necessary facilities to the staff being deputed for the purpose.

Yours faithfully,

(J.VENKATRAMANA NAIDU)
FOR SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT.

COPY OF:

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRAPRADESH.
ABSTRACT.

...

ESTABLISHMENT--Public Works Department--Special staff for examining the filed relating to Tungabhadra Project Left Side Canal at Munirabad--Sanctioned.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

G.O.Ms.No. 1163 Dated 9th May 1959.

Read the following:

From the Special Chief Engineer (Irrigation) letter No. 3511/57 H18 dated 6-3-59.
From the Special Chief Engineer (Irrigation) letter No. 3511/57 H 20 dated 20-3-59.

...

O R DER:

The Government sanction the employment of the following staff for a period of 3 months from date of employment in the Office of the Special Chief Engineer (Irrigation).

1) Assistant Engineer one.
2) Junior Engineer One.
3) Lower Division Clerk One.
4) Typist one.
5) Peons Two.

2. The Government also sanction the deputation of the staff sanctioned in para (1) above to Munirabad for a period of 3 months to examine the files relating to the Tungabhadra Project Left Side Canal and take relevant extracts.

3. The expenditure on the staff sanctioned in para (1) above is chargeable to "50 Civil Works--Provisional Establishment--A--Chief Engineer's Office of the Chief Engineer Irrigation".

(BY ORDER AND IN THE NAME OF THE GOVERNOR).

R.PRASAD,
Secretary to Government.

To
The Special Chief Engineer.
The Chief Engineer Irrigation.
Copy to the Accountant General, Andhra Pradesh, through Finance.
Copy to Accountant General direct.

TRUE COPY::FORWARDED::BY ORDER:

-/True Copy/- B. Ramaiah

Superintendent.

M. G. S. App. F. G. 3]

MYSORE GOVERNMENT

SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form*).

P. 145 C/1

Despatched in No. P.W.D. 18 TBP 57
Dated 1/6/59.
GS

P. 143(a) C/1 (to be typed)

Subject:- Irrigation TBP Left Side canal and Rajolibanda Diversion Schemes fdrs - Supply to Andhra pradesh Government

No PWD 18 TBP 57 Dt. 8th June 59

To

The Secretary to Government,
Public works Dept.
Govt of Andhra pradesh
Hyderabad

Sir,

With reference to your letter No. 4189 I/56-40, dated the 21st May 1959 on the subject mentioned above, I am directed to forward herewith a copy of this Government letter No. PWD 62 50-51/MD, dated the 15th May 1959 to the Chief Engineer, Irrigation (Mysore) in the matter of projects, Tungabhadra for

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No. PWD 18 TBP 57

Mysore Government Secretariat,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,

Dated, Bangalore, 26 June, 195 9
Jesha 4 Saka Era 1881.

FROM

The *Secretary to the Government of Mysore,*
Public Works Department, Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
Govt. of Andhra Pradesh,
Hyderabad.

Sir,

Sub: Irrigation T.B.P. - Left side canal and Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme files - supply to Andhra Pradesh Government.

With reference to your letter No.4189 I/56-40, dated the 21st May 1959 on the subject mentioned above, I am directed to forward herewith a copy of this Government letter No.PWD 6250-51/MI, dated the 15th May 1959 to the Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects, (Mysore) Munirabad in the matter for your information.

Yours faithfully,

(D.S.Raghavendrachar)
Deputy Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

Sml.1.

EXPRESS DELIVERY

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

TELE { GRAM: "PROJECTS"
PHONE:

Office of the Chief Engineer, P. W. D.,
Major Irrigation (Projects)
MUNIRABAD (S. Rly.)

No 9237/18/TAI

Dated 22-8-1959

1327

8941

Encl: 18 Sheets

To,

The Secretary to Government
Public Works Department
Bangalore.

SECRETARIAT TO GOVERNMENT
No. 7-12
24 AUG 1959
PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTT.

Subject: Exchange of records relating to Tungabhadra Project - left side canal.

Reference:

Sir,

See next page

In connection with the examination of files relating to Tungabhadra left side canal and typing abstracts there from on a reciprocal basis Sri N.Krishnaswamy, Technical Assistant was deputed to go to Hyderabad along with a Junior Engineer and a typist for the purpose.

A search was made for all the old records and only 58 files as per the list "A" enclos-ed were traced out and most of them relating to tanks. Out of these 58 files 53 files have been handed over by the Special Chief Engineer, Irrigation Andhra Pradesh as that contained correspondence entirely relating to Karnatak area. Remaining five file s namely item No. 54 to 58 of list "A" have been kept back with a promise to send copies of the relevant portions.

Extracts from file W/6/A-Part II has also been kept back as they contained some correspondence relating to Andhra Pradesh. Copies of the relavant letters have been taken by the Technical Assistant.

From the list of files handed over at the time of State Re-organisation and traced out from the old records, it is seen on scrutiny and examination that there are about 185 files as per list "B" enclosed which have not been traced out either here or in the office of the Chief Engineer, Andhra Pradesh. A copy of

this list may please be forwarded to Andhra Government with a request to make further search and to transfer the concern file s.

In addition to this there are about 220 files as per the list "C" , whose subjects are not known and these files are not traceable either here or in Chief Engineer's office, Andhra Pradesh. The Chief Engineer, Andhra Pradesh has been requested to search and make available these files for examination as it was not possible to say as whether all of these or some of them relate to this area. He has promised to take necessary action in this matter.

The Chief Engineer, Andhra Pradesh Govt. may please be addressed to make available these files for our examination and return if necessary. This will be in conformity with the action taken by our Government to make available some of the remaining file s for their examination and return within a week vide your D.O.No. P.A.320 D/- 1.8.59 addressed to Sri Venkatakrishnan.

In addition to the files about 219 rolls of aereal sheets of Tungabhadra Project, each consisting of 50 sheets were traced out in the office of the Chief Engineer, Andhra Pradesh. The Special Chief Engineer was requested at Hyderabad to hand over these aereal sheets. He has handed over only 30 copies each, retaining the rest. Arrangement s have also been made to transport these from Hyderabad to Munirabad.

Yours faithfully,

22/8/59

for Chief Engineer, P.W.D.
Irrigation Projects,

O. C. APPROVED
BY C. E.

M.A.G.
19/8/59

List of files belonging to Karnataka traced out in the Record Section of Chief Engineer's office Irrigation Andhra Pradesh Hyderabad-Dn.

Sl. No.	File No.		Subject	Remarks.
1.	20	TBP/17	Claims of G.A.M. Road by Hyderabad Construction Company.	
2.	21	TBP/14	Recoveries effected by the Department.	
3.	22		Constg.S.E's quarters Munirabad claims of Hyderabad Constn: Co.,	
4.	23		Advance on labours handed over to - Department - Payment of.	
5.	26		Claims of Contractors.	
6.	K.I./19		Restoring large tanks,Kolkur Andole Taluk: Jevargi, Gulbarga, District.	
7.	K.I./17		Emergent repairs to Thoor tank Kodlapur, Sedum Tq. Gulbarga District.	
8.	K.I./16.		E.R. to Thore tank, Gurnoor Yadgir, Taluk Gulbarga District.	
9.	K.I./15.		Restoring large tank Devergonal Shorapur Taluk. Gulbarga District.	
10	K.I./13.		Restoring Gangamma Gunta,Yadgir Taluk, Gulbarga Dist.	
11	K.I./14.		Restoring Amalsani cheru Adki-Sedum Taluk Dist. Gulbarga.	
12			Restoring Appa Reddypally tank,Chandergi-village Yadgir, Gulbarga Dist.	
13	K.I.		E.R. to breach filling work of Koudalli tank,Shapur,Gulbarga Dist.	
14	W/592		Supplying food grains to Rajolibunda Divn.	
15	A/317	T/93	Double payment of E.M. to sri.Mohd.Khaja Contr. for the constn.of R.D.S. Anicut.	
16	A/180	T/90	Inspection report on the accounts of the R.D.S. Head works Anicut Divn.by the Assistant Examiner during December 1950.	
17	A/175	T/91	Report of inspection on the accounts of R.D.S. Construction division Gillesgur.	
18	W/9/II	T/262	Explosives,Liscences for Imports & purchases	
19	A/458	T/94	Refund of 10% supervision charges to contractors in R.D.S. Divn.	
20	G/323		All India Industrial & Development exhibition at Ajmer from 20th July to 10 August.	
21	G/315		Applications of Mr.A.M.Azizuddin PWD.Contr. regarding removal of his building near Iqbal Hotel Munirabad.	

Cont;page 2.

22. G/264	Damages due to cyclonic winds at Rajolibunda Dam.
23. W/586	Supply of Petrol to Project Part IV-VII.
24. G/466	Reshuffling of the establishment of Anicut Divn. Rajolibunda.
25. A/281	Inspection report of the office of the E.E. Canal constn. Divn.Gillesgur by the Superintending Engineer.
26. A/89/54	Report on the inspection of R.D.S.for 54.
27. ES/G/303	Increase of establishment in porportionate expenditure on works.
28. G/117	Submission of Monthly returns to audit extracts, from the contractors ledger.
29. 16/34/29	Claims of sri.Gulshar Khan & Gopal Rao Contrs: for the work done on the Malla Reddy Desai Tank. Gulbarga Dist.
30. 42	Maintenance statement of completed works from 1926 F. circle 2 Gulbarga Dist.
31. 75/of 31F.	Restoring Pedda Vemal Pedda Cheru Chincholi Gulbarga Dist.
32. 34-57F.	Purchase of Dinner Set for 6 persons for I.B. at Raichur.
33. 3-52F.	Tube well scheme at Nadarni in Andole Tq. Gulbarga dist.
34. 7	Progess report of Irrigation Gulbarga Dist
35. [illegible] 39	Bunding operation in Raichur Dist.
36. 206	Spl.repairs to Khadri tank sttar Hosali Yadgir Dist. Gulbarga.
37. 703	Improvements to D.E's Qrs. at Gulbarga.
38. 704	-do- -do- -do- Office Gulbarga.
39. 16/70/29	Refund of Security deposits lodged by Amrudlingam Contr.Bhoopatpur tank Gulbarga Dist.
40. 62	Irrigation works Gulbarga Dist.
41. 240	Preliminary survey estimate for 51F.for I.B. works Gulbarga Dist.
42. 276	Kachaknoor lake Shorepur Tq.Gulbarga Dist.
43. 870	Budgetted works for 32 F. in Gulbarga Dist
44. H-1/432F.	2nd Class Inspection Bungalow at Hathikoni Yadgir Tq.Gulbarga Dist.
45. 882.	Repairable tanks in Gulbarga Dist.
46. 810.	Completed works to be brought on the maintenance list from 32F.

47.	303	Irrigations works of T.R.S. Divn. concerning Gulbarga.
48.	143.	Estimate of Gulbarga District.
49.	32	Breach tanks of Gulbarga Dist.
50.	117.	Statement of restores tanks to be brought on the maintenance list in Gulbarga Dist.
51.	G/24	Temporary Post office at Rajolibunda Raichur Dist.
52.	G/364	Pending Import Licences.
53.	A/89 of 58F.	Preleminary - Inspection Reports on the accounts of Canal Diversion Scheme-Gillesgur.
54.	G/76 T/109	A review of Hyderabad Finances.
55.	G/52	Telephone correspondance- Case note.
56.	bs/43/55-56.	Investigation of Upper Krishna Bhima project- Budget estimate 56-57.
57.	A/342 T.96	Outbreak of fire in Camp huts at Uppal and damages to works in Gillesgur Sub-Dvn. due to heavy rains.
58.	B/1/24F.	Constn. of Channels from the streams Bheem Kagna, Ameerja and Tora in Gulbarga Dist.

Krishnamoorthy
28/8/87

Tech. Asst. to Chief Engineer P.W.D.

IRRIGATION PROJECTS,

MUNIRABAD. (S. RLY.)

LIST 'D'

List of Aerial sheets of Tungabhadra Project,

- oOo -

Sl. No.	Sheet No.	Scale.	No.of copies.	REMARKS.
1.	O.1	4"-1 Mile.	50	
2.	N.1	-do-	"	
3.	N.2	-do-	"	
4.	N.3	-do-	"	
5.	M.1	-do-	"	
6.	M.2	-do-	"	
7.	L.1	-do-	"	
8.	L.2	-do-	"	
9.	L.3	-do-	"	
10.	K.1	-do-	100	
11.	K.2	-do-	"	
12.	K.3	-do-	"	
13.	J.1	-do-	"	
14.	J.2	-do-	50	
15.	J.3	-do-	"	
16.	I.1	-do-	100	
17.	I.2	-do-	50	
18.	I.3	-do-	"	
19.	I.4	-do-	"	
20.	H.1	-do-	"	
21.	H.2	-do-	"	
22.	H.3	-do-	"	
23.	H.4	-do-	"	
24.	G.1/A	-do-	~~100~~ 50	
25.	G.1	-do-	100	
26.	G.2	-do-	50	
27.	G.3	-do-	"	
28.	G.4	-do-	"	
29.	G.5	-do-	"	
30.	G.6	-do-	"	
31.	G.8	-do-	"	
32.	G.9	-do-	"	

(Contd:page 2)

LIST 'D'

List of Aerial sheets of Tungabhadra Project.

- oOo -

Sl. No.	Sheet No.	Scale.	No.of copies.	REMARKS.
1.	O.1	4"-1 Mile.	50	
2.	N.1	-do-	"	
3.	N.2	-do-	"	
4.	N.3	-do-	"	
5.	M.1	-do-	"	
6.	M.2	-do-	"	
7.	L.1	-do-	"	
8.	L.2	-do-	"	
9.	L.3	-do-	"	
10.	K.1	-do-	100	
11.	K.2	-do-	"	
12.	K.3	-do-	"	
13.	J.1	-do-	"	
14.	J.2	-do-	50	
15.	J.3	-do-	"	
16.	I.1	-do-	100	
17.	I.2	-do-	50	
18.	I.3	-do-	"	
19.	I.4	-do-	"	
20.	H.1	-do-	"	
21.	H.2	-do-	"	
22.	H.3	-do-	"	
23.	H.4	-do-	"	
24.	G.1/A	-do-	100	
25.	G.1	-do-	100	
26.	G.2	-do-	"	
27.	G.3	-do-	"	
28.	G.4	-do-	"	
29.	G.5	-do-	"	
30.	G.6	-do-	"	
31.	G.8	-do-	"	
32.	G.9	-do-	"	

(Contd:page 2)

Sl. No.	Sheet No.	Scale.	No.of copies.	REMARKS
33.	F.1	4"-1 Mile.	~~50~~ 50	
34.	F.1/A	-do-	50	
35.	F.2	-do-	"	
36.	F.3	-do-	"	
37.	F.4	-do-	"	
38.	F.5	-do-	"	
39.	F.6	-do-	"	
40.	F.7	-do-	"	
41.	F.8	-do-	"	
42.	F.9	-do-	"	
43.	F.11	-do-	"	
44.	F.13	-do-	"	
45.	F.14	-do-	"	
46.	E.1	-do-	"	
47.	E.2	-do-	"	
48.	E.3	-do-	"	
49.	E.4	-do-	"	
50.	E.5	-do-	"	
51.	E.6	-do-	"	
52.	E.7	-do-	"	
53.	E.11	-do-	"	
54.	E.12	-do-	"	
55.	D.1	-do-	"	
56.	D.2	-do-	"	
57.	D.3	-do-	"	
58.	D.4	-do-	"	
59.	D.5	-do-	"	
60.	C.2	-do-	"	
61.	C.3	-do-	"	

~~62.~~

...

(Contd: page 3)

Sl. No.	Sheet No.	Scale.	No.of copies.	Remarks
23.	F.1	4"-1 Mile.	50	
24.	F.1/A	-do-	50	
25.	F.2	-do-	"	
26.	F.3	-do-	"	
27.	F.4	-do-	"	
28.	F.5	-do-	"	
29.	F.6	-do-	"	
40.	F.7	-do-	"	
41.	F.8	-do-	"	
42.	F.9	-do-	"	
43.	F.10	-do-	"	
44.	F.13	-do-	"	
45.	F.14	-do-	"	
46.	E.1	-do-	"	
47.	E.2	-do-	"	
48.	E.3	-do-	"	
49.	E.4	-do-	"	
50.	E.5	-do-	"	
51.	E.6	-do-	"	
52.	E.7	-do-	"	
53.	E.11	-do-	"	
54.	E.12	-do-	"	
55.	D.1	-do-	"	
56.	D.2	-do-	"	
57.	D.3	-do-	"	
58.	D.4	-do-	"	
59.	D.5	-do-	"	
60.	C.2	-do-	"	
61.	C.3	-do-	"	
62.				

...

(Contd: page 3)

Sl. No.	Sheet No.		Scale.	No.of sheets.	REMARKS.
1.	O-1	NE	8"-1 Mile.	50	
2.	"	SE	-do-	"	
3.	"	SW	-do-	"	
4.	"	NW	-do-	"	
5.	N1	NE	-do-	"	
6.	N1	SW	-do-	"	
7.	"	SE	-do-	"	
8.	"	NW	-do-	"	
9.	N-3	NE	-do-	"	
10.	N-3	SW	-do-	"	
11.	M-2	E	-do-	"	
12.	"	W	-do-	"	
13.	L-1	NE	-do-	"	
14.	"	SE	-do-	"	
15.	"	SW	-do-	"	
16.	L-2	NE	-do-	"	
17.	"	SE	-do-	"	
18.	"	SW	-do-	"	
19.	"	NW	-do-	"	
20.	L-3	NE	-do-	"	
21.	"	SE	-do-	"	
22.	"	NW	-do-	"	
23.	J-1	SE	-do-	"	
24.	"	NW	-do-	"	
25.	J-2	SE	-do-	"	
26.	J-2	SW	-do-	"	
27.	"	NW	-do-	"	
28.	I-3	NE	-do-	"	
29.	I-3	SE	-do-	"	
30.	W	SW	-do-	"	
31.	I-3	NW	-do-	"	
32.	I-4	NE	-do-	"	
33.	"	SE	-do-	"	

(Contd:page 4)

Sl. No.	Sheet No.	Scale.	No.of sheets.	Rem RKS.
1.	O-1 Na	8"-1 Mile.	50	
2.	" Sa	-do-	"	
3.	" SW	-do-	"	
4.	" NV	-do-	"	
5.	N1 Na	-do-	"	
6.	N1 SW	-do-	"	
7.	" Se	-do-	"	
8.	" Nw	-do-	"	
9.	N-3 Na	-do-	"	
10.	N-2 SW	-do-	"	
11.	M-2 "	-do-	"	
12.	" "	-do-	"	
13.	L-1 Na	-do-	"	
14.	" Se	-do-	"	
15.	" SW	-do-	"	
16.	L-2 Na	-do-	"	
17.	" Se	-do-	"	
18.	" SW	-do-	"	
19.	" Nw	-do-	"	
20.	L-3 Na	-do-	"	
21.	" Se	-do-	"	
22.	" Nw	-do-	"	
23.	J-1 Se	-do-	"	
24.	" Na	-do-	"	
25.	J-2 Se	-do-	"	
26.	J-2 SW	-do-	"	
27.	" NW	-do-	"	
28.	I-3 Na	-do-	"	
29.	I-3 Se	-do-	"	
30.	W SW	-do-	"	
31.	I-3 Nw	-do-	"	
32.	I-4 Na	-do-	"	
33.	" SE	-do-	"	(Contd:page 4)

Sl. No.	Sheet No.		Scale.	No. of copies.	REMARKS.
34.	I-4	SW	8"-1 Mile.	50	
35.	"	NW	-do-	"	
36.	H-2	SE	-do-	"	
37.	H-2	SW	-do-	"	
38.	H-3	NE	-do-	"	
39.	"	SE	-do-	"	
40.	"	SW	-do-	"	
41.	"	NW	-do-	"	
42.	H-4	NE	-do-	"	
43.	"	SE	-do-	"	
44.	"	SW	-do-	"	
45.	"	NW	-do-	"	
46.	G-2	NE	-do-	"	
47.	"	SE	-do-	"	
48.	"	SW	-do-	"	
49.	"	NW	-do-	"	
50.	G-3	NE	-do-	"	
51.	G.3	SE	-do-	"	
52.	"	SW	-do-	"	
53.	"	NW	-do-	"	
54.	G-4	NE	-do-	"	
55.	"	SE	-do-	"	
56.	"	SW	-do-	"	
57.	"	NW	-do-	"	
58.	G-5	NE	-do-	"	
59.	G-5	SE	-do-	"	
60.	"	NW	-do-	"	
61.	F-2	NW	-do-	"	
62.	F-3	NE	-do-	"	
63.	"	SE	-do-	"	
64.	"	SW	-do-	"	
65.	"	NW	-do-	"	
66.	F-4	NE	-do-	"	
67.	"	SE	-do-	"	

(Contd: page 5)

Sl. No.	Sheet No.	S c a l e.	No. of copies.	R. Rs.
34.	I-4	SW	8"-1 mile.	50
35.	"	LW	-do-	"
36.	H&2	SL	-do-	"
37.	H-2	SW	-do-	"
38.	H-2	AL	-do-	"
39.	"	SL	-do-	
40.	"	CW	-do-	"
41.	"	HL	-do-	"
42.	H-4	NL	-do-	"
43.	"	SL	-do-	"
44.	"	SW	-do-	"
45.	"	NW	-do-	"
46.	U-2	NL	-do-	"
47.		SL	-do-	"
48.	"	SW	-do-	"
49.	"	LL	-do-	"
50.	U-3	AL	-do-	"
51.	U.3	CL	-do-	"
52.	"	SL	-do-	"
53.	"	NW	-do-	
54.	U-4	NL	-do-	"
55.	"	SL	-do-	"
56.	"	SW	-do-	"
57.	"	NW	-do-	
58.	U-5	NL	-do-	"
59.	U-5	SL	-do-	"
60.	"	XW	-do-	"
61.	F-2	NW	-do-	"
62.	F-3	NL	-do-	"
63.	"	SL	-do-	"
64.	"	SW	-do-	"
65.		NL	-do-	"
66.	I-4	NL	-do-	"
67.	"	SL	-do-	"

(contd: page 5)

Sl. No.	Sheet No.		S c a l e.	No.of copies.	REMARKS.
68.	F-4	SW	8"-1 Mile.	50	
69.	"	NW	-do-	50 "	
70.	F-5	NE	-do-	"	
71.	"	SE	-do-	"	
72.	"	SW	-do-	"	
73.	"	NW	-do-	"	
74.	F-6	NE	-do-	"	
75.	"	SE	-do-	"	
76.	"	SW	-do-	"	
77.	"	NW	-do-	"	
78.	F-7	NE	-do-	"	
79;	"	SE	-do-	"	
80.	"	SW	- do-	"	
81.	"	NW	-do-	"	
82.	F-8	NE	-do-	"	
83.	"	SE	-do-	"	
84.	"	SW	-do-	"	
85.	"	NW	-do-	"	
86.	F-9	SE	-do-	"	
87.	F-9	NE	-do-	"	
88.	"	SW	-do-	"	
89.	"	NW	-do-	"	
90.	F-10	NE	-do-	"	
91.	"	SE	-do-	"	
92.	"	SW	-do-	"	
93.	"	NW	-do-	"	
94.	F-11	NE	-do-	"	
95.	"	SE	-do-	"	
96.	"	NW	-do-	"	
97.	F-12	SE	-do-	"	
98.	"	SW	-do-	"	
99.	E-3	NE	-do-	"	
100.	"	SE	-do-	100	
101.	"	SW	-do-	50	

(Contd:page 6)

Sl. No.	Sheet No.	S c a l e.	No.of copies.	Num Rks.
68.	F-4	SW	8"-1 Mile.	50
69.	"	NW	-do-	50 "
70.	F-5	NE	-do-	"
71.	"	SE	-do-	"
72.	"	SW	-do-	"
73.	"	NW	-do-	"
74.	F-6	NE	-do-	"
75.	"	SE	-do-	"
76.	"	SW	-do-	"
77.	"	NW	-do-	"
78.	F-7	NE	-do-	"
79.	"	SE	-do-	"
80.	"	SW	-do-	"
81.	"	NW	-do-	"
82.	F-8	NE	-do-	"
83.	"	SE	-do-	"
84.	"	SW	-do-	"
85.	"	NW	-do-	"
86.	F-9	SE	-do-	"
87.	F-9	NE	-do-	"
88.	"	SW	-do-	"
89.	"	NW	-do-	"
90.	F-10	NE	-do-	"
91.	"	SE	-do-	"
92.	"	SW	-do-	"
93.	"	NW	-do-	"
94.	F-11	NE	-do-	"
95.	"	SE	-do-	"
96.	"	NW	-do-	"
97.	F-12	SE	-do-	"
98.	"	SW	-do-	"
99.	G-3	NE	-do-	"
100.	"	SE	-do-	100
101.	"	SW	-do-	50

(Contd:page 6)

Sl. No.		Sheet No.	S c a l e.	No.of copies.	REMARKS.
102.	E-3	NW	8"-1 Mile.	50	
103.	E-4	NE	-do-	"	
104.	"	SE	-do-	"	
105.	"	SW	-do-	"	
106.	"	NW	-do-	"	
107.	E-5	NE	-do-	"	
108.	E-5	SE	-do-	"	
109.	"	SW	-do-	"	
110.	"	NW	-do-	"	
111.	E-6	NE	-do-	"	
112.	"	SE	-do-	"	
113.	"	SW	-do-	"	
114.	"	NW	-do-	"	
115.	E-7	NE	-do-	"	
116.	"	SE	-do-	"	
117.	"	SW	-do-	"	
118.	"	NW	-do-	"	
119.	E-9	NE	-do-	"	
~~1120~~. 120.	"	SE	-do-	100	
121.	"	SW	-do-	50	
122.	"	NW	-do-	"	
123.	E-11	NE	-do-	"	
124.	"	SE	- do-	"	
125.	"	SW	-do-	"	
126.	"	NW	-do-	"	
127.	E-12	SE	-do-	"	
128.	"	SW	-do-	"	
129.	"	NW	-do-	"	
130.	D-2	NE	-do-	"	
131.	"	SE	-do-	"	
132.	"	SW	-do-	"	
133.	"	NW	-do-	"	
134.	D-3	NE	-do-	"	

(Contd: page 7)

Sl. No.	Sheet No.	S c a l e.	No.of copies.	R.M NAS.
102.	B-3	NW	8"-1 Mile.	50
103.	B-4	Ne	-do-	"
104.	"	Se	-do-	"
105.	"	SW	-do-	"
106.	"	NW	-do-	"
107.	B-5	Ne	-do-	"
108.	B-6	Se	-do-	"
109.	"	SW	-do-	"
110.	"	NW	-do-	"
111.	B-6	Ne	-do-	"
112.	"	Se	-do-	"
113.	"	SW	-do-	"
114.	"	NW	-do-	"
115.	B-7	Ne	-do-	"
116.	"	SE	-do-	
117.	"	SW	-do-	"
118.	"	NW	-do-	"
119.	B-9	Ne	-do-	"
~~xxx~~ 120.	"	Se	-do-	100
121.	"	SW	-do-	50
122.	"	NW	-do-	"
123.	B-11	Ne	-do-	"
124.	"	Se	- do-	"
125.	"	SW	-do-	"
126.	"	NW	-do-	"
127.	B-12	Se	-do-	"
128.	"	SW	-do-	"
129.	"	NW	-do-	"
130.	D-2	Ne	-do-	"
131.	"	Se	-do-	"
132.	"	SW	-do-	"
133.	"	NW	-do-	"
134.	D-3	Ne	-do-	"

(contd: page 7)

S.No.		Sheet No.	S c a l e.	No.of copies.	Remarks.
135.	D-3	SE	8"-1 Mile.	50	
136.	"	SW	-do-	"	
137.	"	NW	-do-	"	
138.	D-4	NE	-do-	"	
139.	"	SE	-do-	"	
140.	"	SW	-do-	"	
141.	"	NW	-do-	"	
142.	D-9	NE	-do-	"	
143.	"	SE	-do-	"	
144.	"	SW	-do-	"	
145.	"	NW	- do-	"	
146.	C-2	NE	-do-	"	
147.	C-2	SE	-do-	"	
148.	"	SW	-do-	"	
149.	"	NW	-do-	"	
150.	C-3	NE	-do-	"	
151.	"	SE	-do-	"	
152.	"	SW	-do-	"	
153.	"	NW	-do-	"	

-oOo-

Tech.Asst. to Chief Engineer, P.W.D.
IRRIGATION PROJECTS,
MUNIRABAD. (S. RLY.)

S.No.	Sheet No.	T e a l e.	No.of copies.	Remarks.
135.	D-3	Sa	8"-1 Mile.	50
136.	"	Sa	-do-	"
137.	"	Aw	-do-	"
138.	D-4	Aw	-do-	"
139.	"	Sa	-do-	"
140.	"	SW	-do-	"
141.	"	NW	-do-	"
142.	D-9	Na	-do-	"
143.	"	Sa	-do-	"
144.		SW	-do-	"
145.	"	Aw	-do-	"
146.	C-2	Sa	-do-	"
147.	C-2	SE	-do-	"
148.	"	SW	-do-	"
149.	"	Na	-do-	"
150.	C-3	Na	-do-	"
151.	"	Sa	-do-	"
152.	"	SW	-do-	"
153.	"	Aw	-do-	"

-oOo-

No.PWD 18 TBP 57.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh,
Public Works Department, ~~Bangalore~~
Hyderabad, Deccan.

Sir,

Sub:- Tungabhadra Project (Munirabad Side)
Canal - Exchange of records.

~~In pursuance of the decision~~

I am directed to state that in pursuance of the decision taken at the Inter-State conference of the Chief Ministers and Ministers for Public Works and Electricity of the Mysore and Andhra Pradesh States held in February 1959, an Assistant Engineer and ~~certain other~~ Mun staff of this Government were deputed to Hyderabad for making a search in the concerned offices, and tracing files relatin to the Tungabhadra Project (Munirabad Side) and matters pertaining to the areas of the former Hyderabad State merged into Mysore State consequent on the Re-organisation of States.

Dispatched in No. P.W.D.....
Dated..... 8th Sept 59...

It is now reported that the Officer deputed to Hyderabad made a search of the old records and foun 58 files. Of those, 53 files relating to matters exclusively connected with the Karnataka area have been handed over by the Spl.Chief Engineer, (Irrigation) ~~an~~ Andhra Pradesh to the officer of this Government. The remaining five files (vide list "A" appended) have been kept back by the ~~Spl.~~ Chief Engineer,(Irrigation) Andhra Pradesh with a promise to send copies of the relevant portions. It is also reported that* 135 files (vide list "B" appended) and 220 files (vide list "C" - the subject matters of which are not known)

* a list was traced from the old records which shows files handed over at the time of State Re-organisation. From that list it has been found that

have not been traced either in the records of the Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects of this State, ~~are~~ or in the Office of the Chief Engineer, ~~Irrigation~~, Andhra Pradesh.

I am therefore to requst that the Spl. Chief Engineer, Irrigation, Andhra Pradesh may kindly be requested to send to the Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects, Munirabad of this State, the five files shown in list 'A' for examination. The Special Chief Engineer, (Irrigation), Andhra Pradesh, and the other concerned Chief Engineers of the Andhra Pradesh Govt. may also be requested to have a ~~through~~ thorough search made in the records of their offices for the files shown in lists 'B' and 'C' and to send those files to the C.E., I.P. Munirabad for examination.

Further, I am to add that the former Hyd. Govt. Public Works Secretariat files relating to the undermentioned subjects do not appear to have been received here uptill now. I am therefore to request that these files also may kindly be arranged to be sent to this Govt. very early.

(1) Files relating to the sanctions (accorded by the former Govt. of Hyderabad) to the Tungabhadra Project on the Munirabad side.

(2) Files relating to the proposals (sent by the former Hyderabad Govt.) to the Govt. of India in connection with ~~xxxx~~ the 1951 award of the Planning Comm. relating to the Krishna & Godavari waters.

An early reply is requested.

Yours faithfully,

Under Secy. to Govt. PWD.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Chief Engineer I,P. Munirabad for information with ref. to his letter No.9237/18/TA1, dated 22-8-59.

Another copy of the lists "A" "B" and "C" enclosed with letter dated 22-8-59 referred to above may kindly be sent urgently.

Under Secy. to Gov. P.W.D.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No. PWD 18 TBP 57

Mysore Government Sacretariat,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,

Dated, Bangalore, 8-9-1959.

FROM.

Secretary to the Government of Mysore.
Public Works Department, Bangalore.

To.

The Secretary to the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh,
Public Works Department,
HYDERABAD- DECCAN.

Sir,

Sub:-Tungabhadra Project (Munirabad Side)
Canal - Exchange of records.

I am directed to state that in pursuance of the decision taken at the Inter-State Conference of the Chief Ministers and Ministers for Public Works and Electricity of the Mysore and Andhra Pradesh States held in February 1959, an Assistant Engineer and other staff of this Government were deputed to Hyderabad for making a search in the concerned offices and tracing files relating to the Tungabhadra Project (Munirabad side) and matters pertaining to the areas of the former Hyderabad State merged into Mysore State consequent on the Re-organisation of States.

It is now reported that the Officer deputed to Hyderabad made a search of the old records and found 58 files. Of those, 53 files relating to matters exclusively connected with the Karnataka area have been handed over by the Special Chief Engineer, (Irrigation) Andhra Pradesh to the Officer of this Government. The remaining five files (vide list 'A' appended) have been kept back by the Special Chief Engineer (Irrigation) Andhra Pradesh with a promise to send copies of the relevant portions. It is also reported that a list was traced from the old records which shows files handed over at the time of States Re-organisation. From that list it has been found that 135 files (vide list 'B' appended) and 220 files (vide list 'C' - the subject matters of which are not known) have not been traced either in the records of the Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects of this State, or in the Office of the Chief Engineer, Andhra Pradesh.

I am therefore to request that the Special Chief Engineer, Irrigation, Andhra Pradesh may kindly be requested to send to the Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects, Munirabad of this State, the five files shown in list 'A' for examination. The Special Chief Engineer, (Irrigation) Andhra Pradesh and the other concerned Chief Engineers of the Andhra Pradesh Government may also be requested to have a thorough search made in the records of their offices for the files shown in lists 'B' and 'C' and to send those files to the Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects, Munirabad for examination.

Further, I am to add that the former Hyderabad Government Public Works Secretariat files relating to the undermentioned subjects do not appear to have been received here uptillnow. I am therefore to request that these files also may kindly

PTO

be arranged to be sent to this Government very early.

(1) Files relating to the sanctions (accorded by the former Government of Hyderabad) to the Tungabhadra Project on the Munirabad side.

(2) Files relating to the proposals (sent by the former Hyderabad Government) to the ~~Secretary~~ Government of India in connection with the 1951 award of the Planning Commission relating to the Krishna and Godavari Waters.

An early reply is requested.

Yours faithfully,

(C.J.Venkatesa Das),
Under Secretary to Government
Public Works Department.

Copy of the above is forwarded to the Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects, Munirabad for information with reference to his letter No.9237/18/TA1, dated 22-8-1959.

Another copy of the lists 'A' 'B' and 'C' enclosed with letter dated 22-8-1959 referred to above may kindly be sent urgently.

C. J. Venkatesa Das
Under Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

PK:

LIST 'A'

List of files belonging to Karnataka traced out in the Record Section of Chief Engineer's Office, Irrigation Andhra Pradesh - Hyderabad -Dn.

Sl.No.	File No.	Subject.
54.	G/76 T/109	A review of Hyderabad Finances.
55.	G/52	Telephone correspondence -Case note.
56.	bs/43/55-56	Investigation of Upper Krishaa Bhima Project - Budget estimate 56-57.
57.	A/342 T.96	Out break of fire in Camp huts at Uppal and damages to works in Gillesg Sub-Division due to heavy rains.
58.	B/1/24 F.	Constn. of Channels from the streams Bheem Kagna, Ameerja and Tora in Gulbarga Dist.

Under Secretary to Government
Public Works Department.

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
PUBLIC WORKS DEPT.HYDERABAD.

Letter No.4189 I/56-50 Dated 31-8-1959.

From

Shri Akbar Nawaz Jung,
Deputy Secretary to Government.

To

The Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Public Works and Electricity Department,
BANGALORE.

Sir

Irrigation-Tungabhadra Project Left Side Canal and Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme files-Supply to Andhra Pradesh Government.

Ref:Your letter No.PWD 18TBP57 dated 9-2-1959.
Government letter No.4189 I/56-40 dt.21-5-59.

In continuation of this Government letter cited I am directed to state that the following staff* after completion of their work at Nunirabad will proceed to Bangalore after 10-9-1959 to take extracts from the relevant Secretariat files relating to the Tungabhadra Project Left Side Canal and the Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme. I am therefore to request you to afford necessary facilities to the staff being deputed for the purpose.

*
One Asstt.Engineer.
One Junior Engineer &
One Typist.

Yours faithfully,

(J.VENKATRAMANA NAIDU),
Dy.Secretary to Government.

S/-2.9.

1394.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO. 9402
8 - SEP 1959
PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO.
5 SEP 1959
PUBLIC WORKS BRANCH

SECRETARIAT
No. 8
-5 SEP 1959
PUBLIC WORKS

Recd.9.9.59
9/9/59

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

OFFICE OF
THE CHIEF ENGINEER, P.W.D.
IRRIGATION PROJECTS,
MUNIRABAD.

No. 10304/11 Sheets/TA1 Date: 21-9-59

To

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department,
Bangalore.

Sub:- Tungabhadra Project (Munirabad side) Canal-Exchange of records.

---:---

Ref:- Your letter No. PWD 18 TBP57 dated 8th September 1959.

---:---

Sir,

I write to forward herewith, a copy of the lists A, B AND C as regquested in your letter cited above.

Yours faithfully,

Chief Engineer, P.W.D.,
Irrigation Projects,
Munirabad.

BKN/14.9.

List — A.

List of files belonging to Karnataka traced out in the Record Section of Chief Engineer's Office Irrigation Andhra Pradesh Hyderabad-Deccan

S.No.	File No.		Subject	Remarks.
1.	20	TBP/17	Claims of G...H.Road by Hyderabad Constn: Company.	
2.	21.	TBP/14	Recoveries effected by the Department.	
3.	22.		Constg:S.E.'s quarters Munirabad Claims of Hyderabad Constn: Company.	
4.	23.		Advances on labours handed over to Department Payment of.	
5.	26.		Claims of Contractors.	
6.	K.I./19		Restoring large tanks , Kolkur Urdole Taluk: Jevargi, Gulbarga, district.	
7.	K.I./17		Emergent Repairs to Thoor tank Kodlipur, Sedum Tq. Gulbarga District.	
8.	K.I./16		E.R. to Thore tank, Gurmoor Yadgir, taluq; Gulbarga District.	
9.	K.I./15		Restoring large tank Devergonal Shorapur Talq: Gulbaraga District.	
10.	K.I./13		Restoring Gangamma Gunta, Yadgir taluq: Gulbaraga District.	
11.	K.I./14		Restoring Amlsani Cheru Adki- Sedum Talq: Distt: Gulbaraga.	
12.			Restoring Appa Reddypally tank -- Chandergi village Yadgir, Gulbaraga Distt.	
13.	K/I		E.R. to breach filling work of Bouda halli tank, Shahapur ,Gulbaraga District.	
14.	A/592		Supplying food grains to Rajolibunda Divn.	
15.	A/317	T/93	Double payment of E.M.to Sri,Mohd:Khaja Contr: for the constn: of R.D.S. Anicut	
16.	A/180	T/90	Inspection report on the accounts of the Asstt; R.D.S. Head works Anicut Divn: by the Examiner during December 1950	
17.	A/175	T/91	Report of inspection on the accounts of R.D.S. Construction division Gillesgur.	
18.	A/9/II	T/262	Explosives, Licences for Imports and Purchase	
19.	A/458	T/94	Refund of 1% supervision charges to contractors in R.D.S. Divn.	
20.	G/323		All India Industrial & Development Exhibition at Ajmer from 20th July to 10 August.	
21.	G/315		Applications of Mr. ...Azizuddin P.W.D. Contr: regarding removal of his building near Iqbal hotel Munirabad.	

Contd.2...

22.	G/264	Damages due to cyclonic winds at Rajolibunda Dam.
23.	W/586	Supply of Petrol to Project Part IV-VII.
24.	G/466	Re-shuffling of the establishment of Anicut Divn: Rajolibunda.
25.	A/281	Inspection report of the office of the E.E. Canal Constn: Divn: Gillesgur by the Superintending Engineer.
26.	A/89/54	Report on the inspection of R.D.S.for 54
27.	ES/G/303	Increase of establishment in proportionate expenditure on works.
28.	G/117	Submission of Monthly returns to audit extracts. from the contractors ledger.
29.	16/34/29	Claims of Sri. Gulshar Khan & Gopal Rao Contrs: for the work done on the Malla Reddy Desai Tank. Gulbarga District.
30.	42	Maintenance statement of completed works from 1926 F.circle 2 Gulbarga Dist.
31.	75 of 31 F	Restoring Pedda Vemal Pedda Cheru Chincholi Gulbarga District.
32.	34-52F.	Purchase of Dinner Set for 6 persons for I.B. at Raichur.
33.	3-52F.	be well scheme at Nadarni in Andole Tq. lbarga. Distt.
34.	7	ogress report of Irrigation Gulbaraga stt:
35.	39	nding operation in Raichur Distt.
36.	206	l: repairs to Khadri tank sttar Hosali, dgir Distt: Gulbaraga.
37.	703	provements to D.E.'s Qrs:at Gulbarga.
38.	704	-do- -do- Office Gulbarga.
39.	16/70/29	Refund of Security deposits lodged by Amrudlingam Contr: Bhoopatpur tank Gulbarga District.
40.	62	Irrigation works Gulbarga District.
41.	240	Preliminary survey estimate for 51 fasli for I.B.works gulbarga district.
42.	276	Kachaknoor lake Shorapur talq:Gulbagga.
43.	870	Budgetted works for 32 F.in Gulbarga.
44.	H-1/1324F.	2nd Class Inspection Bungalow at Hathikoni Yadgir Talq: Gulbarga Distt:
45.	882	Repairable tanks in Gulbarga Distt.
46.	810	Completed works to be brought on the maintenance list from 32 F.
47.	303	Irrigation works of T.R.S.Divn.concerning Gulbarga.

Contd. 3....

48.	143		Estimate of Gulbarga District.
49.	.32.		Breach tanks of Gulbarga District.
50.	117.		Statement of restors tanks to be brought on the maintenance list in Gulbarga Distt;
51.	G/24		Temporary post Office at Rajolibunda-Raichur District.
52.	G/364		Pending Import Licences.
53.	A/89of 1358F.		Preleminary -Inspection Reports on -the accounts of Canal Diversion Scheme-Gillesgur.
54.	G/76	T/109	A review of Hyderabad Finance ~~Telephone corr-spondence--estimate~~.
55.	G/52		Telephone correspondence -~~estimate~~ case note.
56.	BS/43/55-56		Investigation of Upper Krishna Bhaima project-Budget -estimate 56-57
57.	A/342	T/96	Outbreak of fire in camp huts at uppal and damages to works in Gilles gur Sub-Division due to heavy rains.
58.	B/1/24F		Constn: of channels from the streams Bheem Kagna , Ameerga and Tora in Gulbarga District.

------:0:---:0:------

N.Krishnaswamy 21/9/57

(N.Krishnaswamy)
Technical Assistant,
Chief Engineer Office Munirabad.

L I S T - 'B'

List of files not received at the time of S.R.O. from Hyderabad.

---:0:---

Sl. No.	File No.	SUBJECT.	Remarks.
1.	W/5/I	Maps showing transmission lines planned for the next 5 years in connect on with ngabhadra Project.	
2.	W/6/APII	velopment programmed 5 & 15 year plan.	
3.	W/7/A	spection Notes of Sri. Jaffar Ali, S.E.	
4.	W/10	ur programme of H.R.M.H.F.M.H.M.PWD; gineering -in-Chief Hyderabad and C.E. B.P. Madras.	
5.	W/10/A	ogramme of Research.	
6.	W/20 P.II	Approach roads to R.D.S.	
7.	W/25/D	M/s. Garlic & Co. Jachob Circle, Bombay.	
8.	W/25/E.	Hyderabad Engineering House.	
9.	W/28/A G/49	Proposing a financial adviser.	
10.	W/32	Extra Division of employment of Irrgn. advisor to the Govt. of India.	
11.	W/34/C	Estt: of executive staff engaged in Survey works of Rajulibunda Project.	
12.	W/39/A	Technical reports.	
13.	W/41/A	Dr. B.V.Srinivasa Iyengar, Gangawathi, camp.	
14.	W/51	Mr. C.K.Narayanaswamy, contractor.	
15.	W/57	Formation of Hydro-Electric Survey Division, Skilled labour.	
16.	W/62	Reserve stock for Tungabhadra Project.	
17.	W/63	Principal features of various projects(Irrgn. scheme in Hyderabad.)	
18.	W/65	Capital and revenue accounts of works.	
19.	W/96	Correspondence regarding Krishna Valley, Division (Shifting head quarters of survey works of Krishan Valley Division)	
20.	W/97	Medical aid for Rajulibunda & monthly health report.	
21.	W/107	Construction of Masonry bridge on the Bhima River at Yadgir, Gulbarga, Dist.	
22.	W/129	Postponement of Schemes of Post-war development and expnasion of Developments, during '57.	

(Contd: page 2)

...

Sl. No.	File No.	Subject.	Remarks.
23.	W/133.	Devnoor Power canal(proposed road from Bidar to Degiloor-Bidar & Nanded Dist:)	
24.	W/134.	Construction of Batalian lines in Raichur District (Camp buildings at Yermarus).	
25.	W/169.	Canal Survey Division at Alampoor.	
26.	W/193.	Floods in the river Tungabhadra.	
27.	W/208/A.	Developments of roads in T.B.P.ayacut Estimate of Dunkanhal to Goodoor.	
28.	W/209.	Estimate for zinc sheet shed for preparing surki balls at Munirabad.	
29.	W/242.	Preliminary survey estimate of Ergira-lj-Alampoor Road from mile 40 to Magapad station.	
30.	W/247.	Constructing a lime shed at Yermarus.	
31.	W/247/A.	Constructing a cement shed at Yermarus.	
32.	W/248.	Bill of cost for the work done on camp, buildings at Sindhnoor camp.	
33.	W/255.	Proposed approach road to I.B. Yermarus, camp on Hillock.	
34.	W/257.	Drainage and Sanitation fittings at camp, Munirabad by Pallagi a Edalga & Sons.	
35.	W/258.	Main canal T.B.P.from Mile 108 to 120.	
36.	W/265.G-12.	Powers of competency for sanctioning Revised Estimates.	
37.	W/269-G-12.	Roller for consolidation canal banking R.D.S.	
38.	W/285.	Estimate for emergent repairs to pneumetic works shop T.B.P.	
39.	W/293.	Earth moving equipment for T.B.P. by Mr. G.M. Warren of M/s.Avidng Benford & Co. Ltd.	
40.	W/328.	Inspection bangalow at Ginigera.	
41.	W/339.G-115.	Proceedings of the Conference Administrator.	
42.	W/345.	Testing of material T.B.P. works.	
43.	W/358.	Constructing canal works at Sirwar section and Constn. of Staff quarters at Sirwar.	
44.	W/404.	Stores and Mechanical Division, Gangawathi.	
45.	W/414.	Registration of Sri.Banwarilal as 'A' Class contractor.	
46.	W/446.	Claims of Shaik Babu, contractor.	
47.	W/449.	Tungabhadra Project canal masonry works mile 15-40 Gangawathi Division.	
48.	W/449/A.	Estimate for C.D.works of main canal, mile 15-40 Gangawathi Division.	
49/W/450.		Work order & Agreement Bond of Munirabad Division.	

Sl. No.	File No.	Subject.	Remarks.
50.	W/456-G/12.	Powers of technical section as per decision of S.E's Conference.	
51.	W/462.	Resolution & decisions of the research Committee, Central Board of Irrigation.	
52.	W/468.	Stock articles at T.B.P.centre Munirabad.	
53.	W/480.	Reports on Physical progress of dam work, T.B.P.	
54.	W/484.	Camp Buildings at Karatgi.	
55.	~~422~~ W/499.	Inspection Notes of Sri.R.G.Borgaonkar.	
56.	W/508.	Development of Garden lawn etc in the T.B.P. area.	
57.	W/519.	Registration of telegraphic address of E.E's and D.E's.	
58.	W/532.	Claims of Mr.Mohd: Ali and Bros.	
59.	W/536.	Special repairs to I.B.at Rajapur, Gangawathi.	
60.	W/539.	Regional survey of potential water resources.	
61.	W/553.	Manufacture of spill=way gates.	
62.	W/572 G-7/B.	Purchase of Ordinance maps.	
63.	W/576.G-57.	Contractor's & Suppliers application.	
64.	W/586.	Petrol - 6 parts.	
65.	W/610.G-116.	Spare tools required for truck No.7997.	
66.	W/624 G-153.	Manufacture Brick making Machine.	
67.	W/637.G-214	Inaugural Meeting of the Co-ordination Committee.	
68.	W/636.G-230	3rd Meeting of the Hyderabad State Engineering Standard Committee.	
69.	W/644.G-33	Requirements of Brass & Copper sheets.	
70.	W/688	Bhima Project surveys.	
71.	W/704.G-493	How to economise steel.	
72.	W/705.G-494	Erecting labour camp at Madra side of Rajulibunda.	
73.	W/716.G-519	Correspondence Regarding M/s.Chintalpatti, Yegnaiah & Sons.	
74.	W/645.G-230	Committee Assess the requirements of essential goods.	
75.	W/654.G-278/B.	Allotment of pipes tubs and fittings.	
76.	W/656.G-280	Load of concrete mixers and motor Mills.	
77.	W/658.G-292	Asbestos sheets	
78.	W/668.G-326	M/s.Patny & Company.	

(Contd: page 4)

S.No.	File No;	Subject	Remarks.
79.	W/710. G-182	Jurisdiction of anicut Division	
80.	W/715. D-143.	Payment of the bill of M/s.Daffar automobiles.	
81.	W/726. G-538	Advances to labour, Gillesgur Divn.	
82.	W/729. G-550	Registration of Motor Vehicles.	
83.	W/740. G-574	Purchases of Tools and Plants etc.	
84.	W/751. G-607	Purchase of a jeep car for Tungabhadra canal circle.	
85.	W/759. G-616	Regarding inspection notes of Mr. S.A. Saleem, Asst. Engineer,C.S.Division, Yermarus	
86.	W/784.G -231	Survey reports of stores.	
87.	W/786. G-681	Fixing mileage charges of lorries on mileage basis.	
88.	W/787. G-682	Shifting of S.E.'s office from Hyderabad to Yermarus.	
89.	W/792. G-701	G.J.and M.S.Pipes.	
90.	W/794. G-712	Miscellanceous tools and plants.	
91.	W/799. G-718	Provision of houses for the office of the special Taluqdar Tungabhadra Project.	
92.	W/804. G-791	3½ H.P. Truck Motor cycle 1943 Military model belonging to T.B.P.taken over from M. Lines stores.	
93.	W/847. G-719	Government orders.	
94.	W/575.	Meeting of the Institute of Engineers of India, Hyderabad Centre regarding some new cheep type of designs of canal works.	
95.	W/871	Loan of famine tools.	
96.	W/919	Payment of O.S.Rs. 10,933/- due to M/s. Hyderabad Iron & Steel Works Manufacutre of Horse bits.	
97.	W/949	Purchase of dupligraph & duplux Rotary Machines.	
98.	W/980	Construction of a pond in the river behind Tungabhadra Dam for fishing feasibities.	
99.	W/1016	Preliminary of works in connection of T.B.P.	
100.	W/1017	Colyx drilling operations in connection with T.B.P.	
101.	W/1136	Improvements contempleted in the former Jagir ageas under the Five Year Plan.	
102.	W/1152	Report of the Kohala Committee on the Utilisation of the waters of Krishna and Godavari Publichintala Dam site.	

(Contd. page 5)

...

S.No.	File No.	Subject	Remarks.
103.	W/1195	Security of Tungabhadra Dam declaring the areas prohibited places.	
104	W/1211	Type designs of masonry adjustable standing waives flume type bifercation sluices to be adopted on the distributaries of T B.P. and R.D.S.	
105.	W/1225	Revision of D.S.R.	
106	W/1226	Breaching of Boyanmerchard tank situated in Manvi Taluq. Raichur District.	
107.	W/1239	Engineering Siminar at Nangal.	
108.	W/1237-P-1	Irrigation programme under TPB.	
109.	W/1263.A-351	Amendment in the P.W.D.Code.	
110.	W/1264	Hydrological data of Krishna & Godavari, rivers.	
111.	W/1282	Publicity of river Valley Irrigation and power Projects under Irrigation Projects Hyderabad.	
112.	W/1284	Lining of Tungabhadra Main Canal Mile 84 to 100.	
113.	W/1304	Explanation of G.K.Rajan,E.E.P.W.D.Adilabad Dvn Head Quarters Nirmal Reg. E.W.of Tungabhadra Project, Main Canal Mile 15 to 18.	
114.	W/1316	Constructing PW.D.cment shed in I.B. premises at Raichur.	
115.	W/1324	Publication of the Standard specification of the PWD.	
116.	W/1342	Damages to works distributaries of Tungabhadra Main Canal.	
117.	W/1342	Claims of Sri.N.R.Lingaiah, contractor , toward Manufacture of supply bricks of Tungabhadra Reservoir.	
118.	W/1395	Allotment of quarters to the Co-operative forming staff at Dam site Munirabad.	
119.	W/1399.	Inspection Notes of Sri.D.V.Rao,C.E.I.P.	
120.	W/1401.	Quarterly progress report of Development of Ayacut in connection with Tungabhadra.	
121.	W/1406	Special Committee for revolving uniform methods execution of works in thePW.D.	
122.	W/1412.	Type designs for D.E.S.D.Os and supervisors quarters for project works.	
123.	W/1430	Power of attorney of SriN.R.Lingaiah, contractor.	
124.	W/1457	Revised estimates for the erection of Missicipi Hanger T.B.P.Munirabad.	
125.	W/1609	Monthly progress report of Canal Constn:Dvn; Sirvar Tungabhadra Project.	

(contd: page 6)

Sl.No.	File No.	Subject	Remarks.
126.	W/1614.	Purchase of 60' N.Girdar Centring to R.C.C. Bridge T.B.P.	
127.	W/1625	Construction of shdes for the Agricultural experiemental station at Gangawathi.	
128.	W/1641	Data for consolidation and correspondence pertaining to it.	
129.	W/1664	Banking of Main canal from ch: 232 to ch: 625	
130.	W/1668	Excvation of R.D.S.Canal Ch:922 to 950 and 1135 to 1172 correspondence pertaining to the contract.	
131.	W/1670	Exacation of R.D.S.Canal Ch:1286 to 1590 and 1430 to 1500 correspondence pertaining to the contract.	
132.	W/1697	Details of the project as per S.R.C.Report.	
133.	W/ 705	Meeting of the Pannel Economists, New Delhi.,	
134.	W/1709	Model and proto type conformity.	
135.	W/1721.	Draft mannual on collection of field date for design of canal structures.	

.....:0:.....

21/9/57

Tech.Asst. to Chief Engineer, P.W.D.
IRRIGATION PROJECTS,
MUNIRABAD. (S. RLY.)

L I S T 'C'

List of files which were not received at the time of S.R.C. form Hyderabad and whose subjects are not known.

---:0:--:0:---

Sl.No;	File No.	Sl.No.	File No.
1.	W/4	30	W/309
2.	W/5 G	31	W/310
3.	W/5 H	32	W/311
4.	W/5 I	33	W/312
5.	W/5 K	34	W/313
6.	W/23	35	W/314
7.	W/46	36	W/315
8.	W/52	37	W/316
9.	W/64	38	W/317
10.	W/66	39	W/318
11.	W/67	40	W/319
12.	W/68	41	W/320
13.	W/85	42	W/321
14.	W/90	43	W/322
15.	W/93	44	W/323
16.	W/102	45	W/330
17.	W/105	46	W/360
18.	W/123	47	W/361
19.	W/124	48	W/362
20.	W/131	49.	W/363
21.	W/196	50.	W/ 364
22.	W/281	51	W/365
23.	W/297	52	W/366
24.	W/303	53	W/367
25.	W/304	54	W/368
26.	W/305	55	W/369
27.	W/306	56	W/370
28.	W/307	57	W/371
29.	W/308	58	W/372

(Contd: page 2)

Sl.No.	File No.	Sl. No.	File No.
59.	W/373	213 to	W/1854 to
60.	W/374	220	W/1861
61.	W/375		
62.	W/376		
63	W/377		
64	W/378		
65.	W/379		
66.	W/380		
67.	W/381		
68.	W/382		
69.	W/383		
70.	W/384		
71.	W/385		
72.	W/386		
73.	W/387		
74.	W/388		
75.	W/389		
76-	W/390		
77.	W/391		
78.	W/392		
79.	W/393		
80.	W/394.		
81.	W/395		
82.	W/396		
83.	W/410		
84.	W/742		
85.	W/835		
86.	W/838		
87.	W/848		
88.	W/906		
89.	W/974		
90.	W/1385		
91.to	W /1730 to		
212.	W/1852.		

Krishnamoorthy 21/9/59
Tech.Asst. to Chief Engineer, P.W.D.
IRRIGATION PROJECTS,
MUNIRABAD. (S. RLY.)

M. G. S. App. F VI—G. 2]

SUBJECT: Tungabhadra Project - (Left side) Canal - Exchange of land records

Diary No. of letter replied to.....

Mysore Government Secretariat

Date of issue.....195

Signed by.....

Section -

Serial No.

File No.

Proceedings of the Government of Mysore

~~Read~~ No. PWD 18 TBP 5).

To

The Secy to Govt., of Andhra Pradesh, Pwd. Hyderabad Deccan.

~~Order thereon.—No.~~

Sir,

I am directed to invite reference to correspondence ending with this Govt letter even No. dt. 8.9.59 on the above subject and to request you kindly

May this be—

(i) printed?

(ii) treated as a Standing Order?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part?

(iv) translated?

(v) placed at the disposal of the Press?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part?

Despatched in No. P.W.D. Dated 22/10/59

Despatched in No. P.W.D. Dated

Send ~~to~~ your reply in the matter urgently.

N.F.

for Secy. Bd.

[illegible]

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
PUBLIC WORKS DEPT.HYDERABAD.

Letter No.4189 I/56-55 Dated 12-10-1959.

10702
K&N

From

Sri R.Prasad,I.C.S.,
Secretary to Government.

To

The Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Public Works Department,BANGALORE.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO.
20 OCT 1959
PUBLIC WORKS BRANCH

Sir,

Sub:Tungabhadra Project-(Munirabad Side)Canal Exchange of records.

Ref: Your letter No.P.D 18TBP 57 dated 8-9-1959.

I am directed to state that necessary instructions have been issued to the conderned Chief Engineers to take action regarding the files relating to their offices.

As regards the Secretariat Files mentioned in para 4 of the letter cited I am to state that as seen from the list of fil transferred to Mysore the files relating to the sanction of the Tungabhadra Project on the Munirabad Side and the revised estim of the Dam on the Munirabad Side were already transferred to Mys I am also to state that the files relating to the proposals sent by the former Hyderabad Government to the Government of India i connection with the Planning Comission award of 1951 are not traceable. The file relating to the ratification of the Plann Commission award of 1951 only is available. But this file does exclusively pertain to the Karnataka area of the former Hyderab State and the original is to be retained here,being one of comm interest.

Yours faithfully,

(J.VENKATRAMANA NAIDU),
For Secretary to Government.

S/-14.x.

I. G. S. App. F. G. 3]

MYSORE GOVERNMENT

SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form).

Despatched In No. P.W.D.
Dated 21.1.60

No: PWD 18 TBCS

Sub: TB. & Cunnirabad side Canal exchange of Records.

To,

The Secy to the Govt of A.P.,

P.W.D., Hyderabad.

Sir,

With I am directed to refer to your letter No. U&9 I/56-57 dt 12/10/59 on the subject mentioned above and to ~~state~~ request you to intimate the number and date of the reference with which the files relating to the sanctions of the TBP on the Munirabad side & the revised estimate of the Dam on the Munirabad side have been transferred to

Sir

With regard to the file relating to the ratification of the Planning Commission award of 1947, I am to enquire whether the file has been duplicated, as has been done by in the case of the post police acting files by the Govt of A.P. If not, I am to request that the original file may kindly be forwarded to this Govt for reference and return.

Yours

[illegible]
Under Secy

5/1/60.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No. PWD 18 TBP 57

Mysore Government Secretariat,
VIDHANA SOUDHA.

Dated, Bangalore, 21 Jan. 1960
Pushya / Magha Saka Era 1881.

FROM

The *Secretary to the Government of Mysore,*
Public Works Dept., Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh,
Public Works Department,
Hyderabad.

Sir,

Sub: T.B.P. (Munirabad side) Canal, Exchange of Records.

I am directed to refer to your letter No.4189 I/56-55 dated 12-10-59 on the subject mentioned above and to request you to intimate the number and date of reference with which the files relating to the sanction of the TungabhadraProject on theMunirabad side and the revised estimate of the Dam on the Munirabad side, have been transferred to this Government.

With regard to the file relating to the ratification of the Planning Commission award of 1951, I am to enquire whether the file has been duplicated, as has been done in the case of the post police action files by the Government of Andhra Pradesh. If not, I am to request that the original file may kindly forwarded to this Government for reference and return.

Yours faithfully,
C.J. Venkatesa Das 20.1.60
(C.J.Venkatesa Das)
Under Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

20

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No.PWD 187BP57

Mysore Government Secretariat,
Vidhana Soudha,
Bangalore, dated, 1960.

From

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department, Bangalore.

To

The Secy ~~to the~~ Govt of A P PWD. Hyderabad

Sub:- TBP. (M. side) Canal Exchange of Records.

Sir,

I am directed to invite a reference to the marginally noted communication/s and to request you kindly to furnish the report, therein referred to, urgently.

Ref: PWD 187BP57 Dt. 21-1-60.

Yours faithfully,

24/5/60

for Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

Despatched In No. P.W.D.
Dated 25/5/60

O/C

~~Under~~ Dy. Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

Mysore Government Secretariat
VIDHANA SOUDHA
Bangalore: 1960

D.O.No.PWD 18 TBP 57

Dear Sir,

SUBJECT:- As at P. 197/CF

I am desired to invite reference to Government letter of even number dated 21.1.60 ~~and subsequent reminders noted in the margin*~~ on the subject cited above and to request you to pay personal attention to the matter and furnish the report called for therein immediately.

11/10/60

Yours sincerely,

Despatched in No. P.W.D
Dated 22/10/60

To

Sri

Secy. to the Govt. of Andhra pradesh.

P.W.D - Hyderabad

KK
5560

205

Mohamed Fasihuddin,
Under Secretary to Govt.,
Electrical Department.

Mysore Govt. Secretariat,
Vidhana Soudha,
Bangalore, Dt. 22 Octr.60.

D.O.No.PWD 18 TBP 57

Dear Sir,

Sub: T.B.P. (Munirabad side) Canal
Exchange of Records.

I am desired to invite reference to Government letter of even number dated 21-1-60 on the subject cited above and to request you to pay personal attention to the matter and furnish the report called for therein immediately.

Yours sincerely,

21/10/60

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of
Andhra Pradesh,
Public Works Department,
HYDERABAD.

21/10/60
Sml.13.

PWD 18 TBP57. 207

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
PUBLIC WORKS DEPT. HYDERABAD.

T-3

Letter No. 5992 I/60-1 Dated 15-11-1960.

From

Shri J.Venkatramana Naidu, B.A.,
Asstt. Secretary to Government.

To

The Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Public Works and Electrical Department,
(BANGALORE).

Sir,

Sub: Tungabhadra Project (Munirabad side) Canal
Exchange of Records.
Ref: Your D.O.No.PWD 18 TBP/57 dated 22-10-60.

I am directed to request you to furnish a copy of your office letter No.PWD 18 TBP/57 dated 21-1-1960 as the same does not appear to have been received in this Department.

Yours faithfully,

(J.VENKATRAMANA NAIDU),
Asstt. Secretary to Government.

12-11-60
S/-17.xi

NCK
23/11/60

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO. 11700
24 NOV 1960
PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH

Appendix F. G.—1]

SUBJECT :— Tungabhadra Project (Munirabad side) Canal—Exchange of Records.

y No. of letter replied to .....

Mysore Government Secretariat.

( )

the 195

Date of issue.....

Signed by.....

Despatched in No. P.W.D.....
Dated 9-12-60

(X. pl. type 197 CF)

List of enclosures .....

Copied by.....

Examined by .....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. P.W.D 18TBP57

To

the Secy. to the Govt of A.P. P.W.D, Hyd Cdn

Sir.

With reference to your letter No 59921/60- dt 15-11-60 on the subject mentioned above, I directed to forward a copy of this Govt's letter No P.W. 18TBP57 dt 21-1-196 as desired by you. I am to add that a reply to that letter may please be sent very early

Y. F.

Dy. Secy. to Govt. P.W.D.
25/11

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No. PWD 18 TBP 57.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,

Dated, Bangalore, 9th Decr. 1960.

FROM

The *Secretary to the Government of Mysore,*
Public Works & Electricity Department.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Andhra Pradesh,
Public Works Department,
Hyderabad (DECCAN).

SIR,

Sub:- Tunga Bhadra Project (Munirabad Side)
Canal-Exchange of Records.

...

With reference to your letter No. 5992I/60-I, dated 15th November 1960, on the subject mentioned above, I am directed to forward* herewith a copy of this Government's letter No. PWD 18 TBP 57, dated 21st January 1960, as desired by you. I am to add that a reply to that letter may please be sent very early.

Yours faithfully,

9/12/60

(C.J.VENKATESA DAS)
Deputy Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department (Irrigation.)

---

*COPY of letter No. PWD 18 TBP 57, dated 21st January 1960, from the Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Public Works & Electricity Department, Bangalore, addressed to the Secretary to the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh, Public Works Department, Hyderabad.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Mysore Government Secretariat,
Vidhana Soudha,
Bangalore, dated

No.PWD 18 TBP 57

From

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Dept., Bangalore.

To The Secretary to the Govt of Andhra Pradesh Public Works Department Hyderabad (Deccan)

Sir,

Sub: Tungabhadra project (Munirabad) Side Canal - Exchange of Records

I am directed to invite a reference to the marginally noted communication/s and to request you kindly to furnish the report, therein referred to, urgently.

TBP (PWD) 18 TBP 57 Dated 9th Dec 1960.

Despatched in No. P.W.D. 28/3/61

Dated

Yours faithfully,

Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

[illegible] 81

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 81

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No .PWD 18 TBP 57.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,

Dated, Bangalore, 25 March *1961.*

FROM

The *Secretary to the Government of Mysore,*
Public Works Department.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Andhra Pradesh,
Public Works Department.

Sir,

Sub:-Tungabhadra Project (Munirabad Side)
Canal-Exchange of Records -

I am directed to invite a reference to the *marginally
*- -noted communication
No.PWD 18 TBP 57, dated 9th Dec.'60. and to request you
- -kindly to furnish the
report, therein referred to, urgently.

Yours faithfully,

(C.J.VENKATESADAS)
DEPUTY SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT,
(IRRIGATION).

ICA/

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
No. 4239
PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT (HYDERABAD).

Letter No. 92 I/60-2 Dated 21-4-1961.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
APPEAL SECTION
27 APR 1961
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

Shri S.M. Nader, I.A.S.,
Addl. Secretary to Government.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Public Works and Electricity Department,
BANGALORE.

Sir,

Sub: Tungabhadra Project (Munirabad side) Canal - Exchange of records.
Ref: Your Lr. No. PD 13 IB 57 Dated 9.12.1960.

With reference to your letter cited, I am directed to state that the file relating to the administrative sanction to the Tungabhadra Project was already sent to you (file No. IB/025/I/58.) and extracts from this file were also taken by the staff deputed by this Government in November 1959. The subject relating to the sanction of the revised estimate of the Dam of Tungabhadra Project was dealt with in file No. IB/451/I/55 and this was sent with Railway Receipt No. 100 dated 7.12.56 along with other files (7 cases) relating to that Area on the reorganisation of States. I am, therefore, to request you to make a thorough search for these two files.

2. As regards the file relating to the ratification of the Planning Commission's award of 1951, I am to state that files considered to be of common interest to more than one State were already duplicated and sent to the concerned States prior to the reorganisation of States

Yours faithfully,

(J. [illegible]),
For Addl. Secretary to Government.

S/-
24/4/61

Appendix F. G.—I]

SUBJECT ..... Ps. at P.217 dt.

Diary No. of letter replied to .....

Mysore Government Secretariat,

( )

the *195*

Date of issue .....

Signed by .....

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. PWD 18 TBP 57

To

The Secy. to the Govt of A.P.

PWD, Hyderabad.

Sir,

I am directed to refer to the correspondence ending with your letter No. 5992 I/60-2 dt 21/4/61 on the subject, and to request

kindly

you to intimate the number and date

of your letter with which the Railway

Receipt No. 160 of 11-12-61 was sent to

the Chief Engineer and also to indicate name of the Officer of this Govt to whom it was addressed.

2. As regards the file relating to the notification of the Planning

A. Commission award of last, I am to request that you kindly to forward, if there is no objection, another copy of the file as the copy stated to have been sent previously does not appear to have been received here.

Despatched in No. P.W.D.
Dated 30/6/61

29/6/61

27/6/61.

221

18 TBP 57

30-6-1961

The Secretary to the Govt.of Andhra Pradesh,
Public Works Department,
Hyderabad.

Sub: Tungabhadra Project(Munirabad side)Canal
Exchange of records.

Sir,

I am directed to refer to the correspondence ending with your letter No.5992 I/60-2, dated 21st April, 1960, on the above subject, and to request you kindly to intimate the number and date of your letter with which the Railway Receipt No.160 dated 7.12.1956 was sent to this Government and also to indicate the name or designation of the Officer of this Government to whom it was addressed.

As regards the file relating to the ratification of the Planning Commission's award of 1951, I am to request you kindly to forward, if there is no objections, another copy of the file as the copy stated to have been sent previously does not appear to have been received here.

Yours faithfully,

C.J.Venkatesa Das 30-6-61

(C.J.Venkatesa Das)
Deputy Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department (Irrn.)

hns

No.46(12)/60-DW.I
Government of India
Ministry of Irrigation and Power

223

Shri B.K. Singh,
Under Secretary to the Government of India.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Public Works Department,
Bangalore, Mysore State.

New Delhi, the 12th October, 1961.
Asvin, 1883.

Subject:-Tungabhadra Project - Control over common works on the Left side.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of letter No.4822 I/57-27 dated the 19th May, 1961, from the Government of Andhra Pradesh for favour of early comments.

MYSORE GOVT. SECRETARIAT
No. 9902
20 OCT 1961
PUBLIC WORKS (MAJOR IRRIGATION)

Yours faithfully,

(B.K. Singh)
Under Secretary to the Govt. of India.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
TAPAL SECTION
16 OCT 1961
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

R.Lal*
11/10.

* * * * * * *

Copy of letter No.4822 I/57-27 dated the 19th May, 1961, from Government of Andhra Pradesh, Public Works Department, Hyderabad, to The Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Irrigation and Power, New Delhi.

SUBJECT:- Tungabhadra Project - Control over common works on the Left Side.

REFERENCE: Correspondence resting with Ir.No.34/4/57 DW VI, dated 17-6-57 of the Govt. of India Ministry of Irrigation and Power.

I am directed to invite reference to the correspondence resting with the letter cited and to state that, in pursuance of the suggestion contained therein, the matters relating to the Tungabhadra Project Left Side Canal, including the Tungabhadra Hydro Electric Scheme and the Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme, were discussed at the Inter-State Conferences at Ministerial level held in October 1957, February 1959 June 1959 and November 1959. No agreement could so far be reached regarding the Tungabhadra Project Left Side Canal and the Tungabhadra Hydro Electric Scheme on the Munirabad Side. At the Inter State Conference of the Chief Ministers and the Ministers in charge of Irrigation and Power of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore States held at Hyderabad on the 18th November, 1959 it was decided that the matters relating to these schemes be further discussed between the Ministers in charge of Irrigation and Power of the two States and that the Union Minister for Irrigation and Power be invited to be present at their discussions and assist them in reaching an agreement. In pursuance of this decision, the Union Minister for Irrigation and Power has been addressed in D.O.No. 34352 I/59-45 dt. 15.6.60

Contd.....2.

requesting him to suggest the place and date for the Inter-State Conference. The date for the meeting has to be fixed by the Government of India vide your letter No.46(12)/60 DW IV/DWI dated 13-3-1961. The result of the discussions in regard to these schemes will be intimated after the conference is held.

2. As regards the Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme, I am directed to state that the question of apportionment of assets and liabilities of the scheme was discussed at the Inter-State Conference of Chief Ministers and Ministers in charge of Irrigation and Power of the two States of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore held at Hyderabad on 18th November 1959. An extract from the proceedings of the conference relating to this item is herewith enclosed. Since the Irrigation interests of Mysore in this project are minor, as more than a lakh of acres under this project is lying in Andhra Pradesh area and only 7400 acres in Mysore State, the vigilance in the maintenance and up keep of the anicut is not as great a need for them as it is for this Government. It was, therefore, suggested to Mysore to hand over the Head works and the Ist 27 miles of the Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme Canal to this Government for maintenance, operation and control. The Government of Mysore have not so far agreed to this suggestion. It is, therefore, essential in order to safeguard the irrigation interests of this State under this project that the Government of India under Powers vested in them in Section 108(2)(b) S.R.Act, 1956, issue orders placing the Headworks and common portion of the Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme Canal under the control of the Tungabhadra Board for maintenance, operation and control.

3 In this connection, I am to enclose herewith a copy of letter No.7/21/59 S.R.(R) dated 3-12-1960 from the Government of India Ministry of Home Affairs, where-in it is stated that the Government of India propose to issue a Presidential Order under section 66 of the Andhra State Act, 1953, in regard to the matters arising out of the Tungabhadra Project and that this order will not cover the Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme. I am to invite reference to D.O.No.34(10)/57 DWVI dated 27.1.1959 of the Union Minister for Irrigation and Power addressed to the Chief Minister of this State from which it is seen that the Government of India propose to issue a Presidential Order under Section 108(1)(b) of S.R. Act, 1956 in respect of the Tungabhadra Project incorporating the agreed decisions. As the Government of India in their letter No.34/4/DW-VI dated the 17th June, 1957 stated that the Tungabhadra Project Left Side Canals (including the Hydro Electric Scheme) and the Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme fall under the purview of Section 108(2)(b) of the S.R.Act, it is presumed that the Presidential order under contemplation by the Government of India will cover all these works. If it does not cover the Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme, I am to request you to move the Government of India to exercise the powers vested in them under Section 108(2)(b) of S.R.Act, 1956, and issue separate order, promised in their letter dated 17.6.57, incorporating the agreed decisions taken at the Inter State Conference held on 18th November 1959 in regard to this scheme and place the Headworks and common portion of the Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme Canal under the control of the Tungabhadra Board for maintenance operation and control.

An early reply is solicited.

* * * * *

endix F. C.—1]

SUBJECT As at P223 c/p

Diary No. of letter replied to

Mysore Government Secretariat.

( )

the 195 .

Date of issue

Signed by

Despatched in No. PWD

Dated 2/1/62

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

Section

File No.

Serial No.

No. PWD 18 TBP 57

To

The Secy to the Govt
Ministry of I & P,
New Delhi.

Sir,

I am directed to refer to your letter No. 46 (12)/60-DW.I dt 12/10/61 on the above subject, and to state that the letter of the Govt of A.P. dt. 19/5/61 mentions a number of previous letters writing to the G. of I and replies furnished by G. of I thereto. I am to request you

Kindly to furnish, if there is no objection, ~~all~~ copies of all the references indicated therein (as details below) with copies of their encls, if any, so as to enable me to examine the matter in all its aspects.

Y J

KR 23.12.61

D Ustro Comp

22/12/61

22/12/61

-X-

(1) GoI Ministry of I & P letter no. IR 34/4/57/DW-VI dated 17.6.57

2) D.O. No. 34352 I/59-45 dated 15.6.60 of the Govt of Andhra pradesh.

(3) GoI Ministry of I & P letter No. 46(12)/60 DW IV/DW I dated 13.3.61. ~~addressed to the Govt of Andhra~~

(4) GoI Ministry of Home Affairs letter No. 7/21/59 S.R.(R) dated 3.12.1960

(5) D.O. No. 34(10)/57/DW-VI dated 27.1.59 of the Union Minister for I & P, addressed to the C.M. of A.P.

(6) Govt of India Min of I & P letter No. 34/4/DW-VI dated 17.6.57

229

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
"Vidhana Soudha",

No.PWD 18 TBP 57. Bangalore, dated the 2nd Jan ~~Decr.~~ 1962
S.E. 1883.

From

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Public Works & Electricity Department.
BANGALORE.

To

The Secretary to the Government of India,
Ministry of Irrigation and Power,
NEW DELHI.

Sir,

Sub:- Tungabhadra Project - Control Over Common works on the Left Side.

- - -

I am directed to refer to your letter No. 46 (12)/60-DW.1 dated 12-10-61 on the above subject, and to state that the letter of the Government of Andhra Pradesha dated 19-5-1961 mentions a number of previous letters written to the Government of India and reply furnished by Government of India thereto. I am to request you kindly to furnish, if there is no objection, copies of all the feferences indicated thereon (as detailed below)* with copies of their enclosuers, if any, so as to enable this Government to examine the matter in all its aspects.

Yours faithfully,

30.12.1961

(K.B.Murarappa),
Deputy Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department (Irrgn.)

*
1. Government of India, Ministry of Irrigation & Power letter No. IR 34/4/57/ DW. VI dated 17.6.57.
2. D.O.No. 34352 I/59-45 dated 15.6.60 of the Government of Andhra Pradesh.
3. Government of India, Ministry of Irrigation and Power letter No. 46(12)/60 DWIV/ DW I dated 13-3-61.
4. Government of India, Munistry of Home Affairs letter No. 7/21/59 S.R.(R) dated 3-12-1960.
5. D.O.No. 34(10)/57/DW.VI dated 27-1-59 of the Union Minister for Irrigation and Power addressed to the Chief Minister of Andhra Pradesh.
6. Government of India Ministry of Irrigation & Power letter No. 34/4/DW-VI Dated 17-6-1957.

KJP.

No. 46(12)/60-DW.I
Government of India
Ministry of Irrigation and Power
*****

MYSORE GOVT. No. 1084 2 FEB 1962 PUBLIC WORKS (MAJOR IRRIGATION)

From

Shri B.K. Singh,
Under Secretary to the Government of India.

To

The Secretary,
Government of Mysore,
Public Works Department (Irrigation),
Vidhana Soudha
Mysore.

New Delhi, the 24th January, 1962.
4 Magha, 1883 Saka.

Subject:- Tungabhadra Project - Control over common works on the Left side.

....

Sir,

I am directed to refer to your letter No. PWD 18 TBP 57 dated the 2nd January, 1962, on the subject mentioned above and to forward herewith copies of the references indicated therein except the Ministry of Home Affairs letter No. 7/21/59 S.R.(R) dated 3rd December, 1960 a copy of which will supplied in due course.

Yours faithfully,

(B.K. Singh)
Under Secretary to the Government of India.

No. 46(12)/60-DW.I
Government of India
Ministry of Irrigation and Power
*****

From

Shri B.K. Singh,
Under Secretary to the Government of India.

To

The Secretary,
Government of Mysore,
Public Works Department (Irrigation),
Vidhana Soudha,
Mysore.

New Delhi, the 24th January, 1962.
4 Magha, 1883 Saka.

Subject:- Tungabhadra Project - Control over common works on the left side.

....

Sir,

I am directed to refer to your letter No. PWD 18 TBP 57 dated the 2nd January, 1962, on the subject mentioned above and to forward herewith copies of the references indicated therein except the Ministry of Home Affairs letter No. 7/21/59 S.R.(R) dated 3rd December, 1960 a copy of which will supplied in due course.

Yours faithfully,

(P.K. Singh)
Under Secretary to the Government of India.

Copy of letter No. 34/4/57-DW.VI, dated the 17-6-1957 from the Government of India, Ministry of Irrigation and Power, New Delhi, to the Secretary to the Government of Andhra Pradesh, Public Works Department, Hyderabad.

Subject:- Tungabhadra Project - Control over common works on the left side.

With reference to the Correspondence resting with your letter No.4189-I/56-10 dated the 14th March, 1957 I am directed to state that Section 108(2)(b) of the States Reorganisation Act contemplates that neither the scope of a project in which two or more successor States are concerned after the 1st November, 1956 nor the provisions relating to its administration, maintenance or Operation or to the distribution of benefits to be derived from it will be varied, except by agreement between the successor States or, if no agreement is reached, except in such a manner as the Central Government may by order direct. As both the left side of the Tungabhadra Project (including the Hydro-electric Scheme) and the Rajolibanda scheme fall under the pruview of the said Section, it is necessary that the Governments of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore should, in the first instance, attempt to arrive at an agreement relating to these schemes. The question of the Central Government issuing a direction will arise only if no such agreement is reached.

2. I am accordingly to suggest that the Andhra Pradesh Government may take up the matter with the Government of Mysore immediately with a view to arriving at an agreement. Any delay in the matter is likely to affect the work on the schemes. I am to express the hope that the agreement will be finalised by the 1st November, 1957, and this Ministry informed.

3. A copy of this letter is being forwarded to Government of Mysore also.

Copy of letter No. 54/4/57-DW.VI, dated the 17-5-1957 from the Government of India, Ministry of Irrigation and Power, New Delhi, to the Secretary to the Government of Andhra Pradesh, Public Works Department, Hyderabad.

Subject:- Tungabhadra Project - Control over common works on the left side.

With reference to the Correspondence resting with your letter No.4129-I/56-10 dated the 14th March, 1957 I am directed to state that Section 108(2)(b) of the States Reorganisation Act contemplates that neither the scope of a project in which two or more successor States are concerned after the 1st November, 1956 nor the provisions relating to its administration, maintenance or Operation or to the distribution of benefits to be derived from it will be varied, except by agreement between the successor States or, if no agreement is reached, except in such a manner as the Central Government may by order direct. As both the left side of the Tungabhadra Project (including the Hydro-electric Scheme) and the Rajolibanda scheme fall under the purview of the said Section, it is necessary that the Governments of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore should, in the first instance, attempt to arrive at an agreement relating to these schemes. The question of the Central Government issuing a direction will arise only if no such agreement is reached.

2. I am accordingly to suggest that the Andhra Pradesh Government may take up the matter with the Government of Mysore immediately with a view to arriving at an agreement. Any delay in the matter is likely to affect the work on the schemes. I am to express the hope that the agreement will be finalised by the 1st November, 1957, and this Ministry informed.

3. A copy of this letter is being forwarded to Government of Mysore also.

Copy of D.O.letter No. 34352 I/59-45, dated the 14-6-1960 from Shri Alluri Satyanarayana Raju, Minister for Public Works, Govt. of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad, addressed to Sri Hafiz Mohd.Ibrahim, Minister for Irrigation & Power, Government of India, New Delhi.

.....

Subject:- TUNGABHADRA PROJECT ETC.- Inter-State Conference of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore.

Kindly refer to the correspondence resting with your D.O.letter No.34/10/57 DWVI dated 11-8-1959 relating to formal agreement in regard to arrangements in respect of the Tungabhadra Project. The items left over at the last meeting were since discussed at the Inter-State Conference of Chief Ministers and Ministers incharge of Irrigation and Power of the two States of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore, held at Hyderabad on the 18th Nov.1959.

2.It was decided at the Conference that the matters relating to the following heads be further discussed between the Ministers in-charge of Irrigation and Power of the two States and that the Union Minister for Irrigation & Power be invited to be present at their discussions and assist them in reaching an agreement.

(1)Tungabhadra Project-Irrigation-Munirabad Side-Claim of the Government of Andhra Pradesh for share in benefits.

(2)Final sharing of the Tungabhadra Waters at Mallapuram with reference to the contemplated utilisation of the Tungabhadra Project as a whole including the right side Low Level Canal.

3.It was also decided that the following subjects which had already been referred by the two State Governments to the Government of India for a decision may also be dealt with in the same manner as the two items above.

i)Tungabhadra Project-Division of liabilities under the common portion of the Low Level Canal.

ii)Tungabhadra Project-Electricity-Munirabad side-Claim of the Government of Andhra Pradesh for share in benefits.

4.I therefore request that either you yourself, or Sri Hathi, or both of you if convenient, may kindly be present at our forthcoming Inter-State discussions and assist us in reaching an agreement with regard to these items. Sri Channabasappa,Minister for Public Works & Electricity, Mysore, will also be writing to you in this connection. The date and place of the meeting will be fixed after mutual consultation on hearing from you.

5. Detailed memoranda in respect of the two items referred to in para 2 above are being sent separately. The memoranda for the other two items referred to in para 3 above were already furnished to the Government of India in this Governments letter Nos.17774 I/58-33, dated 8-4-1959 and 17774 I/58-34 dated 11-5-1959.

I shall be grateful for an early reply.

With kind regards,

Copy of D.O.letter No. 34352 I/39-45, dated the 14-5-1960 from Shri Alluri Satyanarayana Raju, Minister for Public Works, Govt. of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad addressed to Sri Hafiz Mohd.Ibrahim, Minister for Irrigation & Power, Government of India, New Delhi.

.....

Subject:- TUNGABHADRA PROJECT ETC.- Inter-State Conference of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore.

Kindly refer to the correspondence resting with your D.O.letter No.34/10/57 DWVI dated 11-8-1959 relating to formal agreement in regard to arrangements in respect of the Tungabhadra Project. The items left over at the last meeting were since discussed at the Inter-State Conference of Chief Ministers and Ministers incharge of Irrigation and Power of the two States of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore, held at Hyderabad on the 18th Nov.1959.

2.It was decided at the Conference that the matters relating to the following heads be further discussed between the Ministers in-charge of Irrigation and Power of the two States and that the Union Minister for Irrigation & Power be invited to be present at their discussions and assist them in reaching an agreement.

(1)Tungabhadra Project-Irrigation-Munirabad Side-Claim of the Government of Andhra Pradesh for share in benefits.

(2)Final sharing of the Tungabhadra Waters at Mallapuram with reference to the contemplated utilisation of the Tungabhadra Project as a whole including the right side Low Level Canal.

3.It was also decided that the following subjects which had already been referred by the two State Governments to the Government of India for a decision may also be dealt with in the same manner as the two items above.

i)Tungabhadra Project-Division of liabilities under the common portion of the Low Level Canal.

ii)Tungabhadra Project-Electricity-Munirabad side-Claim of the Government of Andhra Pradesh for share in benefits.

4.I therefore request that either you yourself, or Sri Hathi, or both of you if convenient, may kindly be present at our forthcoming Inter-State discussions and assist us in reaching an agreement with regard to these items. Sri Chandrasekhara,Minister for Public Works & Electricity, Mysore, will also be writing to you in this connection. The date and place of the meeting will be fixed after mutual consultation on hearing from you.

5. Detailed memoranda in respect of the two items referred to in para 2 above are being sent separately. The memoranda for the other two items referred to in para 3 above were already furnished to the Government of India in this Governments letter Nos.17774 I/38-39, dated 3-4-1959 and 17774 I/33-34 dated 11-5-1959.

I shall be grateful for an early reply.

With kind regards,

Copy of D.O.letter No. 34(10)/57-DW.VI, dated the 27th January, 1959, from the Minister for Irrigation & Power, New Delhi, to the Chief Minister, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

.....

Section 108 of the States Reorganisation Act, 1956, required inter alia, that the Governments of Mysore and Andhra Pradesh should enter into a formal agreement with regard to the arrangements in respect of the Tungabhadra Project and the Tungabhadra High Level Canal within one year of the promulgation of the Act, i.e., by 1.11.1957. No such agreement has so far been executed by the participating States. In the absence of an agreement it was envisaged in the Act that an order should issue under Section 108(1)(b) by the Central Government. We deferred the issue of this order hoping that an agreement would be reached on all the issues by the State Governments after discussion.

There have been two conferences at Chief Ministers' level so far to discuss the outstanding issues. At the first conference complete agreement was reached on all issues relating to the High Level Canal. Agreement was also reached at the second conference on a large number of points relating to the Tungabhadra Project and the Low Level Canal. On a few more points there appears to be still some disagreement. The Chairman, Tungabhadra Board has been suggesting for some time that a third conference should be held and I understand that it could not be held so far owing to the difficulty in finding a date convenient to all the parties concerned.

The points on which agreement remains to be reached are :

(a) Division of liabilities under the common portion of the Low Level Canal;

(b) Division of assets under the common portion of the Low Level Canal;

(c) Sharing of the cost of distributaries of the Low Level Canal;

(d) Scheme for the future set up of the Tungabhadra workshop;

(e) Tungabhadra Dam and Bellary Sub Station - Transmission lines - Transfer of control; and

(f) Tungabhadra Project - Irrigation and power - Munirabad side - Claim of the Government of Andhra Pradesh for share in benefits.

Although under Section 108(1) of the Reorganisation Act it is for the Centre now to issue an order, I should like that order to incorporate, if possible, agreed decisions. Over a year has elapsed after the date stipulated in the Act for the States to come to an agreement. You will appreciate that any further delay in the issue of an order contemplated under Section 108(1) will lay us open to the criticism that we have been remiss in this matter. As you will doubtless be coming to Delhi next month to attend a meeting of the National Development Council, may I suggest that we take that opportunity to discuss the issues and reach an agreement? If you agree, I shall request the Chairman, Tungabhadra Board to circulate the agenda in advance

Contd....2/

Copy of D.O.letter No. 34(10)/57-PM.VI, dated the 27th January, 1959, from the Minister for Irrigation & Power, New Delhi, to the Chief Minister, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.

.....

Section 108 of the States Reorganisation Act, 1956, required inter alia, that the Governments of Madras and Andhra Pradesh should enter into a formal agreement with regard to the arrangements in respect of the Tungabhadra Project and the Tungabhadra High Level Canal within one year of the promulgation of the Act, i.e., by 1.11.1957. No such agreement has so far been executed by the participating States. In the absence of an agreement it was envisaged in the Act that an order should issue under Section 108(1)(b) by the Central Government. We deferred the issue of this order hoping that an agreement would be reached on all the issues by the State Governments after discussion.

There have been two conferences at Chief Ministers' level so far to discuss the outstanding issues. At the first conference complete agreement was reached on all issues relating to the High Level Canal. Agreement was also reached at the second conference on a large number of points relating to the Tungabhadra Project and the Low Level Canal. On a few more points there appears to be still some disagreement. The Chairman, Tungabhadra Board has been suggesting for some time that a third conference should be held and I understand that it could not be held so far owing to the difficulty in finding a date convenient to all the parties concerned.

The points on which agreement remains to be reached are :

(a) Division of liabilities under the common portion of the Low Level Canal;

(b) Division of assets under the common portion of the Low Level Canal;

(c) Sharing of the cost of distributaries of the Low Level Canal;

(d) Scheme for the future set up of the Tungabhadra workshop;

(e) Tungabhadra Dam and Pallary Sub Station - Transmission lines - Transfer of control; and

(f) Tungabhadra project - Irrigation and power - Munirabad side - Claim of the Government of Andhra Pradesh for share in benefits.

Although under Section 108(1) of the Reorganisation Act it is for the Centre now to issue an order, I should like that order to incorporate, if possible, agreed decisions. Over a year has elapsed after the date stipulated in the Act for the States to come to an agreement. You will appreciate that any further delay in the issue of an order contemplated under Section 108(1) will lay us open to the criticism that we have been remiss in this matter. As you will doubtless be coming to Delhi next month to attend a meeting of the National Development Council, may I suggest that we take that opportunity to discuss the issues and reach an agreement? If you agree, I shall request the Chairman, Tungabhadra Board to circulate the agenda in advance

to the officers concerned in both the States. The date and tim of the meeting will be fixed after we know definitely the date of the meeting of the National Development Council.

I am sending a similar letter to Shri Jatti.

I shall be grateful for an early reply.

---

to the officers concerned in both the States. The date and time of the meeting will be fixed after we know definitely the date of the meeting of the National Development Council.

I am sending a similar letter to Shri Jatti.

I shall be grateful for an early reply.

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
PUBLIC WORKS DEPT. HYDERABAD.

Letter No.34352 I/59-70 Dated 28-1-1961.

From

Shri J.Venkatramana Naidu,B.A.,
Asstt.Secretary to Government.

To

The Under Secretary to the Government of India,
Ministry of Irrigation and Power, NEW DELHI.

Sir,

Sub: Tungabhadra Project-Action required to be taken in consequence of the States Reorganisation Act 1956.

Ref: This Government lr.No.34352 I/59-62 dt.14-9-60.

I am directed to invite reference to this Government letter cited and to request that the date and venue of the next conference may be intimated at an early date.

Yours faithfully,

Sd./-
(J.VENKATRAMANA NAIDU),
Asstt.Secretary to Government.

Copy of letter No. 46(12)/60-DW.IV/DWI, dated 13th March,1961 from the Government of India, Ministry of Irrigation and Power, New Delhi addressed to the Secretary to the Government of Andhra Pradesh, HYDERABAD.

....

Subject: Tungabhadra Project - Action required to be taken in consequence of the State Re-organisation Act 1956.

.....

I am directed to refer to your letter No.34352 I/59-70 dated the 28th January, 1961, on the subject mentioned above and to state that the matter is still under consideration of the Government of India.

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
PUBLIC WORKS DEPT. HYDERABAD.

Letter No.34352 I/59-70 Dated 28-1-1961

From

Shri J.Venkatramana Naidu,B.A.,
Asstt.Secretary to Government.

To

The Under Secretary to the Government of India,
Ministry of Irrigation and Power,NEW DELHI.

Sir,

Sub: Tungabhadra Project-Action required to be taken in consequence of the States Reorganisation Act 1956.

Ref: This Government Lr.No.34352 I/59-62 dt.14-9-60.

I am directed to invite reference to this Government letter cited and to request that the date and venue of the next conference may be intimated at an early date.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/-
(J.VENKATRAMANA NAIDU),
Asstt.Secretary to Government.

Copy of letter No. 46(12)/60-DW.IV/DWI, dated 18th March,1961 from the Government of India, Ministry of Irrigation and Power, New Delhi addressed to the Secretary to the Government of Andhra Pradesh, HYDERABAD.

....

Subject: Tungabhadra Project - Action required to be taken in consequence of the State Re-organisation Act 1956.

.....

I am directed to refer to your letter No.34352 I/59-70 dated the 28th January, 1961, on the subject mentioned above and to state that the matter is still under consideration of the Government of India.

No. 46(12)/60-Dw.I
Government of India
Ministry of Irrigation and Power
****

243

From Shri K.P.B. Menon,
Section Officer.

To The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Public Works Department (Irrigation),
Vidhana Soudha, Mysore.

New Delhi, the 31st Jan 1962.
Magha 1883

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
3 FEB 1962
SECTION
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

Subject:- Tungabhadra Project - control over common works on the Left Side.

Sir,

In continuation of this Ministry's letter No. 46(12)/60-DW.I dated the 24th/27th January, 1962, on the subject mentioned above, I am directed to enclose a copy of the Ministry of Home Affairs letter No. 7(21)/5 SR.(R) dated 3rd December, 1960.

Yours faithfully,
(K.P.B. Menon)
Section Officer.

No. 118 M
5 FEB
PUBLIC WORKS (IRRIGATION)

G.L.

69

No. 7/21/59-SR(R).
Ministry of Home Affairs
S.R.(R) Section

Dt. 3.12.60.

To

Shri Bharat Chand Khanna,
Deputy Secretary to the Government,
of Andhra Pradesh, General Administration
(S.R.A.) Deptt.,
Hyderabad.

Subject:- Apportionment of Assets and Liabilities of former Hyderabad State among the successor States.

.....

Sir,

I am directed to refer to the correspondence resting with your letter No.1745/59-63, dated 6th October, 1960, on the above mentioned subject, and to say that it is proposed to issue a Presidential Order under section 66 of the Andhra State Act, 1953, in regard to the matters arising out of the Tungabhadra Project as sanctioned. As the question of sharing of benefits under the Rajolibanda diversion left Canal Scheme by the Governments of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore is not such a matter, the contemplated Presidential Order will not cover this item.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/- P.N. Kaul.
Deputy Secretary to the Govt. of India

Draft for approval. 245

From

The Secretary to Govt. of Mysore.
Public Works & Electricity Department.

To

The Secretary to Govt. of India,
Ministry of Irrigation & Power,
NEW DELHI.

Sir,

Sub:-- Tungabhadra Project --
Control over common works
on the left side.

Ref:-- Your No.46(12)/60--DW I
dated 12th Oct. 1961

With reference to your above referred letter, I am directed to offer the following comments on th points made out in the Andhra Pradesh Govt.'s letter No.4822(T)/57-58 dated 19th May 1961:--

1. Para 1 of A.P.'s letter:--

i) T.B.Left Bank Canal:--Areas to benefit from this project lie entirely in the Mysore State after States' Reorganisation of 1956. Therefore, the scheme does not come under the purview of Sec. 108(2) of S.R.~~A~~ Act of 1956. This position was made clear to A.P. under this Office No. TBP--57 dated 7th September 57, vide copy enclosed).

ii) Munirabad Power House:-- This Power House situated entirely in Mysore territory is meant to supply electricity to Hyderabad Karnatak mainly, and a negligible fraction to few villages in Telangana on the borders. Govt. of Mysore have, at no stage, objected to supplying this small quantity of electricity to these villages in Andhra Pradesh. Under the circumstances, the question of applying Sec. 108(2) of S.R.~~A~~ Act does not arise.

iii) Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme:-- This project to utilise 17 TM Cft. of water has the Head Works and first 27 miles of Channel in Mysore territory. Mysore has never objected to allowing Andhra drawing its share of water as per the sanctioned project. Therefore, the question of providing any protection for their interests under Sec. 108(2) of S.R.~~A~~ Act does not arise.

Under the circumstances, it is seen that there

.....Page 2)

there is no case for either transferring any of these works or portions thereof to Tungabhadra Board or to Andhra Pradesh. This position has been maintained in the Memoranda submitted to Govt. of India under PWD 32 BRA 59 dated 8-9-1960. Copies of these memoranda are also furnished to Andhra Pradesh.

delete

It is not as though Mysore is denying in any way the benefits of the sanctioned projects to A.P., but ~~that~~ A.P. is desiring to have undue benefits from thes works not contemplated therein. For instance, they want to add to the T.B.left Bank Canal ~~to~~ Gadwal and Alampur area which never formed part of Hyderabad's T.B. Project whether sanctioned or unsanctioned. A.P. ~~is~~ wants a lion's share in the power on the T.B.Left Bank side contrary to the sanctioned project. On the Rajolibunda project they ~~want~~ not only want to utilise waters in excess of the 17 TM Cft. sanctioned, but they want to add an <u>additional</u> canal on the Right Bank side which was never contemplated before.

2. <u>Para 2 of A.P.'s letter</u>:-- It is not correct to state, in respect of the common part of Rajolibunda Canal in Mysore that " - - - - - - - the vigilence in the maintenance and upkeep of the Anecut is not as great a need for them(Mysore) as it is for this(A.P.) Government." Irrespective of the area to be irrigated in a particul State, the Canal has got to be maintained with full vigilence. Since Mysore is competent to look after the Canal, and has in fact been doing so, there is no case for entrusting the maintenance of the Head Works and the first 27 miles of the Canal to ~~to~~ T.B.Board. The suggestion that 19 villages in Mysore comprising the first 27 miles of th Canal and the Head Works should be transferred to Andhra Pradesh ~~is proposterous affecting the very integrity of the State; this Government would never entertain such a suggestion.~~ has been rejected by the State, and the Govt of AP have been informed accordingly

3. Para 3 of A.P.'s letter:-- It is made out herein that the Govt. of India either in the Ministry of Home Affairs or Irrigation & Power have written letters to the effect that they "propose to issue a Presidential Order under Sec. 66 of the Andhra State Act of 1953 in regard to the matters arising out of the T.B.Project - - - - - - -" or to "issue a Presidential Order under Section 108(1)(b) of S.R.Act 1956 in respect of T.B.Project - - - - - - -" and that according to Govt. of India's letters dated 17-6-1957, the "T.B.Project Left side Canal including Hydro-Electric Scheme and Rajolibunda Scheme will fall under Sec. 108(2) of the S.R.Act." It is to be noted that all these Govt. of India's letters are in response to the one sided representations made by A.P. putting forth their fallacious claims. Therefore, the question of issuing any Presidential Order under Section 108(2)(b) ~~etc.~~ of the S.R.Act, does not arise. Moreover, The Andhra State Act 1953 has no jurisdiction on the Left Bank Side. Therefore, there is no question of issuing any Presidential order based thereon.

4. Under these circumstances, the repeated suggestions of A.P. ~~either~~ to turn over the Left Bank side works either to Board or to A.P., are unwarranted. The interests of A.P. in T.B.Project which begins beyond the Mysore borders is just ~~less than~~ a third - 1.58 lakhs acres of irrigation on Low Level Canal and 2.5 lakhs acres on the High Level Canal (totalling to about 4.0 lakhs acres as against 8 lakhs acres to be irrigated in Mysore on this project). These interests of A.P. could be looked after on the borders. The common portion of the Low-level Canal as also the Power House could be taken care of by Mysore, in which event Andhra Pradesh could provide their share of Maintenance Grants

..... Page 4)

Grants and the top-heavy T.B.Board would be dispensed with in the interest of economy.

Yours faithfully,

Secretary to Government,
P.W. & E. Department.

17/2/62

209

PWD 18 TBP 57

With Encls

19 - 3 - 2

Phalguna Saka Era 1883

The
Public Works & Electricity Department.

The Secretary to Government of India,
Ministry of Irrigation & Power,
N E W D E L H I.

Sir,

Sub:- Tungabhadra Project -- Control over common works on the left side.

Ref:- Your No.46(12)/60--DW I dated 12th October 1961.

-.-

With reference to your above referred letter, I am directed to offer the following comments on the points made out in the Andhra Pradesh Government letter No.4822(T)/57-58 dated 19th May 1961:--

1 Para 1 of Andhra Pradesh's letter:-

i) Tungabhadra Left Bank Canal:- Areas to benefit from this project lie entirely in the Mysore State after States' Reorganisation of 1956. Therefore, the scheme does not come under the purview of Section 108(2) of States Reorganisation Act of 1956. This position was made clear to Andhra Pradesh under this Office No.TBP--57 dated 7th September 57, vide copy enclosed).

ii) Munirabad Power House:- This Power House situated entirely in Mysore territory is meant to supply electricity to Hyderabad Karnatak mainly, and a negligible fraction to few villages in Telangana on the borders. Government of Mysore have, at no stage, objected to supplying this small quantity of electricity to these villages in Andhra Pradesh. Under the circumstances, the question of applying Section 108(2) of States Reorganisation Act does not arise.

iii) Rajolibunda Diversion Scheme.- This project to utilise 17 TM Cft. of water has the Head Works and first 27 miles of Channel in Mysore territory. Mysore has never objected to allowing Andhra drawing its share of water as per the sanctioned project. Therefore, the question of providing any protection to their interests under Section 108(2) of States Reorganisation Act does not arise.

Contd....2

Under the circumstances, it is seen that there is no case for either transferring any of these works or portions thereof to Tungabhadra Board or to Andhra Pradesh. This position has been maintained in the Memoranda submitted to Government of India under PWD 32 BRA 59 dated 8th September 1960. Copies of these memoranda are also furnished to Andhra Pradesh.

2. Para 2 of Andhra Pradesh's letter:- It is not correct to state in respect of the common portion of Rajolibunda canal in Mysore, that" -------- the vigilence in the maintenance and upkeep of the Anecut is not as great a need for them (Mysore) as it is for this (Andhra Pradesh) Government." Irrespective of the area to be irrigated in a particular State, the Canal has got to be maintained with full vigilence. Since Mysore is competent to look after the Canal and has in fact been doing so, there is no case for entrusting the maintenance of the Head Works and the first 27 miles of the Canal to Tungabhadra Board. The suggestion that 19 villages in Mysore comprising the first 27 miles of the Canal and the Head Works should be transferred to Andhra Pradesh has been rejected by this State and the Government of Andhra Pradesh have been informed accordingly.

3. Para 3 of Andhra Pradesh's letter.- It is made out herein that the Government of India either in the Minis of Home Affairs or Irrigation & Power have written lett to the effect that they "propose to issue a Presidentia Order under Section 66 of the Andhra State Act of 1953 regard to the matters arising out of the Tungabhadra Project ----------" or to "issue a Presidential Order under Section 108(1)(b) of States Reorganisation Act 1956 in respect of Tungabhadra Project ----------" and that

Contd.....3

according to Government of India's letters dated 17th June 1957, the "Tungabhadra Project Left side Canal including Hydro-Electric Scheme and Rajolibunda Scheme will fall under Section 108 (2) of the States Reorganisation Act". It is to be noted that all these Government of India's letters are in response to the one sided representations made by Andhra Pradesh putting forth their fallacious claims. Therefore, the question of issuing any Presidential Order under Section 108 (2) (b) of the States Reorganisation Act, does not arise. Moreover, the Andhra State Act 1953 has no jurisdiction on the Left Bank side. Therefore, there is no question of issuing any Presidential Order based thereon.

4. Under these circumstances, the repeated suggestions of Andhra Pradesh to turn over the Left Bank side works either to Board or to Andhra Pradesh, are unwarranted. The interests of Andhra Pradesh in Tungabhadra Project which begins beyond the Mysore borders is just a third -1.58 lakhs acres of irrigation on Low Level Canal and 2.5 lakhs acres on the High Level Canal totalling to about 4 lakhs acres as against 8 lakhs acres to be irrigated in Mysore on this project. These interests of Andhra Pradesh could be looked after on the borders. The common portion of the Low-level Canal as also the Power House could be taken care of by Mysore, in which even Andhra Pradesh could provide their share of Maintenance Grants and the top-heavy Tungabhadra Board could be dispensed with in the interest of economy.

Yours faithfully,

(P.J. Fernandes),
Secretary to Government,
Public Works & Elecy.Departm

COPY of the letter No.PWD 18 TBP 57 dated 7th September 1957 from the Secretary to Government of Mysore, Public Works Department to the Secretary to Government of Andhra Pradesh, Public Works Department.

-.-

Sub:- Tungabhadra Project - common works relating to the Tungabhadra Project and Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme - Transfer of control to the Tungabhdra Board.

Ref:- 1) Your letter No.4189-1/56-13 dated 11.7.'57
2) Your letter No.4189-1/56-16 dated 24.8.'57.

-.-

I am directed to state that no part of the Canal and no part of the Ayacut under the Canal on the northern side will be in Andhra Pradesh territory. The Government of Andhra Pradesh cannot therefore, be deemed to be interested in that portion of the project and the question of either discussing it at an Interstate Conference or bringing it under the Control of the Board or any other agency does not arise.

I am, further to state that the proposed Inter-State Conference is, it is believed, for settling outstanding questions pertaining to the Tungabhadra Project on the Southern side, in pursuance of the provisions of Section 66 of the Andhra State Act. However, without prejudice to that position, this Government has no objection to the Rajolibanda Diversion Scheme being discussed at the ensuing Inter-State Conference.

.....

Deputy ~~For~~ Secretary to Government,
Public Works & Electricity Department

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No.PWD [illegible]

Mysore Govt.Secretariat, PWD,
Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore
Dated_____1963.

From

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore, P.W.Deptt.

To

[illegible] Hubli.

Sir,

Sub:- [illegible]

I am directed to invite ~~attention~~ a reference to the Government's letter of even number dated....30-6-61....and ~~your letter No.....~~ ~~dated.....~~.....on the above subject, and to ~~request you to expedite your reply in the matter within a week.~~ [illegible] expedite

~~This is pending since.....~~ 18/PWD.....

Despatched In No. P.W.D. [illegible]
Dated 4/6/63

Yours faithfully,

Deputy Secy. to Govt. PWD(Irrigation).

Copy of letter No.W/1304/1731/TA1 dated 22nd June 1963 from the Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects, Bangalore to the Secretary to Government of Mysore, P.W.D., Bangalore.

Sub: Records of Ex-Hyderabad State pertaining to the areas transferred to the Mysore State after 1-11-1956

Ref: Govt.'s letter No.PWD 8 WTP 62 of 25-1-1963

==

With reference to Government's letter cited above I write to furnish the desired particulars as under:

As regards item -1, the records that were sent to the Special Chief Engineer, Irrigation, Andhra Pradesh have been received back.

As regards item-2 kindly refer this office D.O.No. 5887/1/TA1 dated 22-9-1958(copy enclosed) wherein it has already been reported that most of the plans pertaining to upper Krishna Project have been received. Some more plans and reports have since been received.

Kindly refer Government's letter No.PWD 15 WTP 57 dated 9th April 1958 addressed to the Secretary to Govt. of Andhra Pradesh, Public Works Department, Hyderabad. Against this requisition, the Special Chief Engineer, Irrigation, Andhra Pradesh has intimated that the following files are not available with him. Even the Chief Engineer Electricity Andhra Pradesh has intimated that they have no files on Upper Krishna Project.

1. File No.W/87 of the Chief Engineer, Irrigation, Hyderabad.

2. File No.1 Hydal/SCM/51

3. Project Report of Shri M.Papaiah (C.E.,I.P.) by whom the project was surveyed in 1342 Fasli (1932).

4. Government file GWD-19/GV/51.

5. File No.1249 of S.E., G.M.C.P.

6. File No.1429 of S.E., G.M.C.P.,

7. Files relating to Upper Krishna Project of S.E. Telangana Circle.

8. Files relating to Upper Krishna Project of Executive Engineer, Major Irrigation Survey and Investigation Dn. Hyderabad.

As regards files referred to in Govt. letter No.PWD 18 TBP dated 8th September 1958, none of them have been received so far.

P169 Ch

No. - PWD 18 TB.P.S.)

Sub:- T.B.P. (Hyderabad State)
- Exchange of Records.

To,

The Secy. to the Govt of A.P.
PWD.
Hyderabad.

Sir,

Ref:- 1) This Govt letter No PWD 18TBPS) P. 1687/LF. dt 8/9/59.

2) This Govt letter No PWD 18 TBPS) P. 199/60 dt 21/1/60

3) ~~and the~~ correspondence ending with the G.L. No P. 253/LF. PWD 18 TBPS) dt 4/6/63.

Despatched In No. P.W.D. 18 T.B.P.S.)
Dated 11/7/63

I am directed to refer to the communications mentioned above and to state that it is reported by the CE I.P. of this State that none of the records relating to

The Gdn dt 8/9/89. have been received so far. As the matter has been ~~some~~ pending for a very long time, I request you ~~to~~ kindly to ~~take~~ ~~urgent action~~ ~~to~~ make early arrangements to send the files

D Gdn Cal

3/12/89

3/7/89

CONFIDENTIAL

256

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No. PWD 18 TBP 57.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT.
VIDHANA SOUDHA,

Dated, Bangalore, 11 July 1963.

FROM

The *Secretary to the Government of Mysore,*
Public Works Department.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh,
Public Works Department, Hyderabad.

Sir,

Sub:-T.B.P. (Munirabad side) - Exchange of Records.

Ref:-1.This Govt.letter No.PWD 18 TBP 57,dt:8-9-59.
2.This Govt.letter No.PWD 18 TBP 57,dt:21-1-60.
3.Correspondence ending with this Govt.letter No.PWD 18 TBP 57, dated 4-6-63.

I am directed to refer to the communications mentioned above and to state that it is reported by the Chief Engineer Irrigation Projects, of this State that none of the records referred to in the Government letter dated 8-9-59 have been received so far. As the matter has been pending for a very long time, I request you kindly to make early arrangements to send the files.

Yours faithfully,

(K.B.Murarappa)
Deputy Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department(Irrign.).

ACA

257

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No.PWD 18TDP 57

Mysore Govt.Secretariat, PWD,
Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore
Dated_____1963.

From

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore, P.W.Deptt.

To

The Secy. to the Govt of A.P.
PWD, Hyderabad

Sir,

Sub:- As at P 256 ch

I am directed to invite ~~attention~~ a reference to the Government's letter of even number dated...11-7-63.....~~and your letter No~~..... ~~dated~~.....on the above subject, and to request you kindly to forward a memo as early as possible ~~expedite~~ your reply ~~in the matter within a week.~~

~~This is pending since~~.....

Yours faithfully,

Despatched In No. P.W.D.18TDP57
Dated: 29/8/63

Deputy Secy. to Govt. PWD(Irrigation).

21/8/63

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

NoPWD 18 TBP 57

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,

Dated, Bangalore, 29.8.1963.
Bhadrapada, 1885

FROM

The *Secretary to the Government of Mysore,*
Public Works Department.

To The Secretary to Govt. of Andhra Pradesh,
Public Works Department,
Hyderabad.

Sub: T.B.P. (Munirabad side) -Exchange of Records.

Sir,

I am directed to invite a reference to this Government's letter of even number dated 11.7.1963, on the above subject, and to request you kindly to forward a reply thereto as early as possible.

Yours faithfully,

(K.B.Murarappa)
Deputy Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department (Irrn.)

hns

Lr.No.5379-I1/63-1, dated 7th November,1963.
Hyderabad.

259

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
सत्यमेव जयते

Public Works Department

...

From

Sri J.Venkatramana Naidu,B.A.,
Assistant Secretary to Government.

To

The Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Public Works Department,
Vidhana Soudha,
Bangalore.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
TAPPAL SECTION
14 NOV 1963
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

720

Sir,

Sub: Tungabhadra Project (Munirabad side) .. Exchange of records.
Ref: Your letter No.PWD.18 TBP.57, dated 29..8..1963.

...

I am directed to request you to arrange to furnish a copy of your letter No.PWD.18.TBP.57, dated 11..7..1963 referred to in your letter cited, as it was not received in this Department. This Government reference if any, on the subject may kindly be quoted.

Yours faithfully,

(J.Venkatramana Naidu)

M. G. S. App. F. G. 3].

MYSORE GOVERNMENT

SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form*).

2-12-63

x copy of P 253 dt to be filed.

No. PWD 18 TBP 63

Subject: As at P 253 dt

To,

The Secy to the Govt of AP.

PWD.

Hyderabad.

Sir,

I am directed to refer to your letter No 5379-I1/63-1 dt 7/11/63 on the above subject and to forward herewith a copy of the G.O. of even No dt 11/7/63 and to request you kindly to expedite forwarding of a final reply.

Yours

D Lakshman

19/11/63

19/11/63

VD 012117—GPB—1,00,000—7-3-63

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE 261

No. PWD 18 TBP 57.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,

Dated, Bangalore,.....2-12.196 3.

FROM

The *Secretary to the Government of Mysore,*
Public Works Department.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Andhra Pradesh,
Public Works Department, Hyderabad.

Sir,

Sub:-Tungabhadra Project (Munirabad side) -
Exchange of records -

I am directed to refer to your letter No.5379-I1/63-1, dated 7-4-63 on the above subject, and to forward herewith a copy of this Government letter of even number dated 11-7-63 and to request you kindly to expedite forwardal of a final reply.

Yours faithfully,

(K.B.Murarappa)
Deputy Secretary to Government,
Public Works Dept(Irrign.).

ACA/30/11/63.

262.

KOPPAL 4
2 JAN
DEPARTMENT

GOVERNMENT OF ANDHRA PRADESH
सत्यमेव जयते

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

Letter No.5369.I1/63-2 dated 24-12-1963.

- -

From
Sri J. Venkataramana Naidu,B.A.,
Assistant Secretary to Government,
H y d e r a b a d.

To
The Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Public Works Department,
Vidhana Soudha,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Sub: Tungabhadra Project (Munirabad side) exchange of records.
Ref: 1. Your letter No.PWD 18 TBP 57 dt.2-12-63 P. 261/U
2. Your letter No.PWD 18 TBP 57 dt.11-7-63. P.256/U

I am directed to invite reference to your letter cited and to request that a copy of your original reference on the subject may kindly be furnished to enable this Government to take further action in the matter.

Yours faithfully,

(J. VENKATARAMANA NAIDU)

VBB
27/1/64

262

M. G. S. App. F. G. 3].

MYSORE GOVERNMENT

SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form*).

PWD 18TBP57

No, The Secy to Govt. of A.P,
P. W. D,
Hyderabad.

Sub: Yamunab Tadra Project
(Munnabad side)
Exchange of Records

Ref: Your letter No. 5369/
II/63-2, dt 24-12-63

Sir,

With reference to your letter cited above, I am directed to forward herewith ~~copies~~ a copy of the original References, ~~as given below~~ of this Govt. bearing no.

(1) ~~The G.O.~~ No. PWD 18TBP57, dt 8-9-59 together with the copies of lists 'A', 'B' and "C" referred to therein

(2) ~~The G.O. No. PWD 18TBP57 dt 21-7-60~~

(3) ~~Correspondence leading to G.O. No. PWD 18TBP57, dt 2-12-63~~

and to request you kindly ~~Early action reply is requested.~~

Yours

2 copies of the following to be typed

Pages 165-166 (Flag A)
page 167 (flag B)
pages 177-187 (Flag C)
pages 189-191 (Flag D)

+ P. 165/LF.

✓ P. 191/LF

✓ P. 261/LF.

Issued
17/1/64

WD 012117—GPB—1,00,000—7-3-63

Arrange for the files referred to therein being sent.

Yours faithfully

D. J. S.

9/1/64.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No. No.PWD18 TBP 57. | W. E.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT.
VIDHANA SOUDHA,
Dated, Bangalore, 17th January 1964.

FROM

The *Secretary to the Government of Mysore,*
Public Works Department.

To

The Secretary to Government of Andhra Pradesh,
Public Works Department.
Hyderabad.

Sir,

Sub: Tungabhadra Project (Munirabad Side) Exchange of records.

Ref:-Your letter No.5369/II/63-2 dated 24-12-1963.

With reference to to your letter cited above, I am directed to forward herewith a copy of the original reference No.PWD 18 TBP 57 dated 8-9-1959 together with the copies of lists 'A' 'B' and 'C' referred to therein and to request you kindly arrange for the files referred to therein being sent.

Yours faithfully,

(K.B.Murarappa)
Deputy Secretary toGovernment,
Public Works Department (Irrn.).

Issued
17/1/64.

ncj/d.16164.

12/1/64

165

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Mysore Government Secretariat,
Vidhana Soudha,
Bangalore, dated 1964

NO.. PWD 18 TBS 57

From

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

To

The Secy. to the Govt of AP
PWD, Hyderabad

Sub: As at P 264 ch

Sir,

I am directed to invite ~~attention~~ a reference to the Government's letter of even number dated.....17-1-64..... ~~and subsequent reminder/your letter No.~~..... on the above subject and to ~~request you kindly to expedite your reply as the matter is urgent/ long pending.~~ ensure that a reply towards may be expected.

Yours faithfully,

10/6/64

Deputy Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department (Irrn.)

15/6/64 15/6/64

PWD 18 TBP 57.

266

20 June 64

The Secretary to the Government of Andhra Pradesh,
Public Works Department, Hyderabad.

Sir,

Sub:-Tungabhadra Project (Munirabad)
Side)Exchange of records.

I am directed to invite a reference to the Government's letter of even number dated 17-1-1964 on the above subject and to enquire when a reply thereto may be expected.

Yours faithfully,

19/6/64

(M. Channappa)
Deputy Secretary toGovernment,
Public Works Department(Irrn).

ncj/d.18664.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
VIDHANA SOUDHA,
BANGALORE, DATED 196 .

NO:PWD 18 TBPS 7.

From
The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Public Works Department, Bangalore.

To
The ~~Chief Engineer, I.P./Gl., Bangalore~~. Secy. to the Govt of AP PWD Hyderabad.

Sir,

Sub:- As at page 266 CF.

I am directed to invite a reference to the Govt. Letter/ ~~Memo~~ of even number dated 20-6-64, ~~the subsequent reminders of even number dated~~ ..... ~~and your letter No~~ ..... dated ..... on the above subject and to ~~request you kindly to expedite your reply as the matter is urgent/long pending.~~ enquire when amply funds may be expected

Yours faithfully,

30/1/65

~~for~~ Secretary to Government, PWD
(Irrigation)

29/1/65

Government of Mysore.

268

PWD 18 TBC 57

Mysore Government Secretariat,
Vidhana Soudha,
Bangalore, Dated 5 February 65.

From

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Public Works Department, Bangalore.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Andhrapradesh,
Public Works Department, Hyderabad.

Sir,

Sub: Tungabhadra project (Munirabad side) Exchange of records.

I am directed to invite a reference to this Government letter of even number dated 20-6-64 on the above subject and to enquire when a reply thereto may be expected.

Yours faithfully,

2/2/65

(M. Channappa)
Deputy Secretary to the Government,
Public Works Department (Irrigation)

Issued
Dated 5-2-65

Grv 1.2.65(2)

269

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Mysore Government Secretariat,
Vidhana Soudha,
Bangalore, dated 25-1-1965

NO. PWD 18 ~~PR~~ TBR 57

From

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

To

The Secretary to Govt. of Andhra Pradesh,
PWD, Hyderabad.

Sub: DS of page 266 cf

Sir,

I am directed to invite attention to the Government's letter of even number dated 20-6-64 and subsequent reminder/~~your letter~~ no. of even no. dt. 5-2-65 on the above subject and to request you kindly to ~~expedite~~ send your reply as the matter is ~~urgent~~ long pending.

Yours faithfully,

19/1/66

Deputy Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department(Irrn.)

18/1/66

18/1/66

25/1/66

hns

1270

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No. PWD 18 MTP 57

Mysore Government Secretariat,
Vidhana Soudha,
Bangalore, Dated 27-3-1967.

From

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Public Works & Elec. Department.

To

~~The Chief Engineer, (IP),~~
~~Bangalore.~~
The Secy. to the Govt. of A.P.
PWD, Hyderabad.

Sir,

Sub: As at Page 266 C/F.

I am directed to invite a reference to the Government's letter ~~Memo~~ No. PWD 18 MTP 57 dated ~~20-6-64~~ 17-1-64, the subsequent reminders of even number dated 20.6.64, 5-2-65, 25-1-66 ~~and your letter No. dated~~ on the above subject and to request you kindly to expedite your reply as the matter is ~~urgent/Long pending~~ long pending.

Yours faithfully,

ghps:14966.

Under Secretary to Government,
Public Works & Elec. Deptt.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

No. PWD 18 TBP 67.

Mysore Government Secretariat,
Vidhana Soudha,
Bangalore, dated -1p- 1967.

From

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Public Works & Electricity Department.

To

~~The Chief Engineer, KKK I.P./Gl.,~~
~~Investigation, Bangalore.~~
The Secretary to the Govt. of A.P.
P.W.D, Hyderabad.

Sir,

Subject:- As at page 266/cf.

I am directed to invite a reference to this Government's letter ~~No.~~ of even number dated 17-1-64 and the subsequent reminders of even number dated 20-6-64, 5-2-65, 25-1-66, 27-3-67 ~~and your letter No. dated~~ ..... on the above subject and and to request you kindly to expedite your reply as the matter is ~~urgent~~ long pending.

Yours faithfully,

~~for~~ Under Secretary to Government,
P.W.D. (A.I.).

Issued
6/11/67

27/10/67.

27/10/67

-222-

Letter No.311-I1/67-1

Hyderabad,
dated, the 20th Sept., 1967.

Public Works Department
....

From

Sri D.Venkatadri, B.A.,
Asst. Secretary to Government.

MYSORE GOVT. SECRETARIAT
No. 3829
26 SEP 1967
P.W.D. (RECEIPT)

To

The Secretary to Govt. of Mysore,
Public Works Department,
Vidhana Soudha,
Bangalore.

Sir,

Sub: Tungabhadra Project -(Munirabad side)
Exchange of records.

Ref: From the Govt. of Mysore, P.W.D. Lr.No.PWD.18. T.B.P.57, dated 27..3..1967.

....

I am directed to invite reference to the letter cited and to request that this Government reference if any on the subject may kindly be quoted. A copy of the original reference made by Government of Mysore on the above subject may also be furnished.

Yours faithfully,

(D. Venkatadri)
Asst.Secretary to Government.

nrkm.20.9.67.

MOPRD 18 TBP 67
Dt. -11-67

273

To

The Secretary to Govt.
Public Works Department
Govt. of Andhra Pradesh,
Hyderabad

Sir,

Subject:- as at page 272 CF

Ref:- Correspondence ending with your letter No. 311-I1/67-1 dt 20-9-67.

With reference to the communication cited on the above subject I am directed to invite a reference to your letter No. 5369.I1/63-2 dt 24-12-1963 wherein a

pto

274 similar request was made. In this Govt. letter no. PWD 18 ~~ENT~~ BP 57 dt 17-1-66 all relevant copies of letters had been sent to you. I am now to request you kindly to send your final ~~report~~ reply urgently.

Yours faithfully

K. S.
21/11/67

21/11/67

275

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No. PWD 18 TBP 57. MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT,
VIDHANA SOUDHA,

Dated, Bangalore,....22-11-.....*1967*.
23

FROM

Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Public Works Department Bangalore.

To.

The Secretary to Govt.
Public Works Department,
Govt. of Andhra Pradesh,
HYDERABAD.

Sir,

Sub: Tungabhadra Project - Munirabad side
Exchange of records.

Ref: Correspondence ending with your
letter No.311-I1/6-1 dated 20-9-67.

With reference to the communication cited on the above subject I am directed to invite a reference to your letter No.5369-I1/63-2 dated 24-12-1963 wherein a similar request was made. In this Govt.letter No.PWD 18 TBP 57 dated 17-1-1964 all relevant copies of letters had been sent to you. I am now to request you kindly to send your final reply urgently.

Yours faithfully,

(S.K. Mohan),
Under Secy. to Govt. PWD.

23/11/67

No: PWD 18 Rep 57

To
The Secretary to the
Govt. of Andhra Pradesh,
P.W. Dept
Hyderabad.

Sir,

Subj: Tungabhadra
project - Munirabad
side - Exchanges
of Records.

Ref: This Govt's letter
No. PWD 18 Rep 57
dated 23-11-67

~~With~~ I am directed
to invite a reference
to the communication
cited and to request
you kindly to ~~also~~
send a final reply
as the matter has been
pending for a very
long time. Further,
I am also to request you
to furnish the

217

particulars referred to in ~~this the first para of~~ this Govt's letter No. PWD. 18 TBP 57 dated 30.6.61 addressed to you.

x p 221 CF.

An early reply is requested.

Yours faithfully,

U/S. to Govt.
for D (T)
8/1/88.

228

PWD 18 TBP 57

The
P.W.D.

The Secretary to the Govt.of Andhra Pradesh,
Public Works Deptt.
Hyderabad.

Sir,

Sub:-Tungabhadra Project- Munirabad side-
exchange of Records.
Ref:-This Govt.'s letter No.PWD 18 TBP 57
dt.23-11-67.

I am directed to invite a reference to the communication cited and to request you kindly to send a final reply as the matter has been pending for a very long time. Further, I am also to request you to furnish the particulars referred to in this Government's letter No.PWD 18 TBP 57 dated 30-6-61 addressed to you.

An early reply is requested.

Yours faithfully,

(S.K.Mohan)
Under Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

vkn121/-

Issued
Dated 12.1.68

279

Letter No.311-I1/67-2

Hyderabad,
dated, the 16th March, 1968.

Public Works Department
....

From

Sri B.C. Gangopadhyay, I.A.S.,
Secretary to Government.

To

The secretary, to Government of Mysore,
Public Works Department,
Vidhana Soudha,
Bangalore. (with encl.)

Sir,

1334

R. & I. SECTION
P.W. & E. D.
No. 12903
Date 25 MAR 1968
Section MD

Sub: Tungabhadra Project - Munirabad side - Exchange of records.

Ref: 1) From the Government of Mysore, P.W.D. letter No.18.TBP.57, dated 17.1.1964. P 264 CF
2) From the Government of Mysore, P.W.D. letter No.PW.18.TBP.57, dated 22/23.11.67. P 275 CF

-:oOo:-

I am directed to invite reference to the letters cited, and to state that a reply was already sent in this Government's letter No.4189-I/56-55-PW, dated 12..10..1959 (copy enclosed for ready reference). I am also to state that the then Special Chief Engineer (Irrigation) of this state had sent a reply to the Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects, Munirabad, Mysore state in his letter No.44499/59-H1, dated 14..9..1959 intimating him that none of the files referred to are traceable in his office and requested the Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects, Munirabad to address the Chief Engineer, Irrigation, Bombay as these files might pertain to Marathwada region of the former Hyderabad state and consequently would have been transferred to the Chief Engineer, Irrigation, Bombay state at the time of States' Re-organisation and requested to intimate the result of the correspondence to the Chief Engineer, Irrigation of this state. So far no reply has been received by the Chief Engineer, Irrigation of this state. In the absence of any reply in this regard from the Chief Engineer, Irrigation Projects, Munirabad, Mysore state, it may have to be construed that either those files have been received from the Chief Engineer, Irrigation, Bombay or they are not required at this distant time. → P 195 CF

MVK
25/3/68

25/3

Yours faithfully,

(D. Venkatadri)
for secretary to Government.

nrkm.16.3.68.

Copy of letter No.4189-I/56-55, PW, dated 12..10..1959, from the secretary to Government, P.W.D., A.P., Hyderabad, addressed to the Secretary to Government of Mysore, P.W.D., Bangalore.

-:oOo:-

Sir,

Sub: Tungabhadra Project (Munirabad side) Canal Exchange of records.

Ref: Your letter No.PWD.18.TBP.57, dated 8.9.1959.

....

I am directed to state that necessary instructions have been issued to the concerned Chief Engineers to take action regarding the files relating to their offices.

2. As regards the secretariat file mentioned in para 4 of the letter cited, I am to state that as seen from the list of files transferred to Mysore the files relating to the sanction of the Tungabhadra Project on the Munirabad side and the revised estimate of the Dam on the Munirabad side were already transferred to Mysore. I am also to state that the file relating the proposals sent by the former Hyderabad Government to the Government of India in connection with the Planning Commission Award of 1951 are not traceable. The file relating to the ratification of the Planning Commission Award of 1951 only is available. But this file does not exclusively pertain to the Karnataka area of the former Hyderabad state and the original is to be retained here, being one of common interest.

Yours faithfully,
Sd./ xx xx
for Secretary to Govt.

(True Copy)

Section Officer.

nrkm.16.3.68.

PWD. 18 TBP. 57

:1

To

The Secy to the Govt Andhra Pradesh
~~Publicke~~ Public Works Dept-
Hyderabad.

Sw,

Sub:- Tungabhadra Project -
Munirabad side -
Exchange of Records.

Ref. ~~your~~ Correspondence ending
with your letter No.
~~311~~ 311 - I 1/67-2 dated
16. 3 - 68.

With reference to the
Communication cited,
I am directed to enclose
herewith a Copy of
this Govt's letter No. PWD.
18 TBP. 57 dated 30-6-61
and to request you

Page 221 cf (Flged)
to be typed as
incl

282.

Kindly to send a Specific reply with reference to para 1 ~~of~~ of that ~~above~~ letter.

~~[illegible]~~ ~~an~~ An early reply is requested.

Y. F.

U/s

Mr
8/4/68

8/4/68

ISSUED
Dated 17/4/68

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

No. 18TBP57

Mysore Govt. Secretariat,
Vidhana Soudha,
Bangalore, Dated 7/-1968

From

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Public Works and Electricity Department.

To

~~The Chief Engineer, I.P.~~/G1.,
~~Investigation, Bangalore.~~
The Secretary to the Govt of AP
Public Works Dept
Hyderabad

Sir,

Subject:- As at page 281 /cf.

- - -

I am directed to invite a reference to the Government letter/Memo of even number dated 17-4-68 ~~/.....the subsequent reminders of even number dated .....and your letter No. .....dated...../~~ on the above subject and to request you kindly to expedite your reply as the matter is ~~urgent~~/long pending.

Yours faithfully,

~~For~~ Under Secretary to Government,
P.W.D.(G.I.).

WD 18 TBP 57

10 Nov. 8

The
Public Works & Electricity Department,
Bangalore.

The Secretary to the Government of Andhra Pradesh,
Public Works Department,
Hyderabad.

Sir,

Sub:-Tungabhadra Project Munirabad side-
exchange of records.

I am directed to invite a reference to the Government letter ~~Memo~~ of even number dated 17-4-63 on the above subject and to request you kindly to expedite your reply as the matter is long pending.

ISSUED

Yours faithfully,

(S.K.Mohan)
Under Secretary to Government,
Public Works & Elecy. Department.

BKN raju.

Letter No.4357-N2/68-1

From

The Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

612

To

The Secretary to Government of Mysore,
Public Works Department,
Vidhana Soudha,
BANGALORE:
Mysore State.

HYDERABAD, dated the 25th January, 1969.

Sir,

Sub:- Tungabhadra Project - Munirabad side - Exchange of records-

Ref:- 1) From the Government of Mysore, letter No.PWD.18/TBP/57, dated 15/17-4-1968. P-281/C.F
2) From the Government of Mysore, letter No.18-T.B.P.57, dated 14th November, 1968. P-284/C.F

.....

I am directed to invite reference to the letters cited, and to request you to furnish a copy of this Government letter No.5992-I1/60-2, P.W., dated 21st April, 1961, as the same is not traceable here.

Yours faithfully,

(D. VENKATADRI)
for Secretary to Government.

JM/27.1.69

286

No Pwd 18 TBP 57

To

The Secy. to G.O.A.P

Hyderabad.

Sir

Sub:- T.B.P- Munirabad side-

Exchange of Records-

With ref. to your letter

No 4357/-N2/68-1 dt. 25/1/69

on the above subject I am direct

to forward herewith a copy of

your Govt. letter No 5992-I1/60-

P.W dt. 21-4-61, as desired.

T.S

Please take 2 copies

P. 217/C.f

Y/f

24, PW(T)

6/2.

Copy of letter No.5992-I/60-2, dated 21-4-1961. from the Government of Andhra Pradesh, Public Works - Department, Hyderabad. addressed to the Secretary to the Government of Mysore, Public Works and Electricity Department, Bangalore.

Sub: Tungabhadra Project (Munirabad side) Canal - Exchange of records.
Ref: Your lr.No.PWD 18 TBP 57 dated 2-12-1960.

With reference to your letter cited, I am directed to state that the file relating to the Administrative sanction to the Tungabhadra Project was already sent to you(file No.IB/625/T/58F) and extracts from this file were also taken by the staff deputed by this Government in November 1959. The subject relating to the sanction of the revised estimate of the Dam of Tungabhadra Project was dealt with in file No.IB/451/T/55 and this was sent with Railway Receipt No.160 dated 7-12-56 along with other files (7 cases) relating to that area on the reorganisation of States. I am, therefore, to request you to make a thorough search for these two files.

2. As regards the file relating to the ratification of the Planning Commission's award of 1951, I am to state that files considered to be of common interest to more than one State were already duplicated and sent to the concerned States prior to the reorganisation of States.

"COPY"

MSVG.

App. F IX—4

Previous References.

19

( )

File No. PRN 33 MTB 62

Serial Nos.

Later References.

THE MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos.

Part B for

SUBJEC T

WD 010650—GPB—40,000—6-3-62

Mysore Government Secretariat

[App. F. III 2

File No. PWD 33 MTB 62 of 196

Serial No.

TBP – Outstanding issues between Mysore and Andhra Pradesh

1) A copy of letter received from the Govt. of India on the above subject is placed below. M.I. Section may kindly be requested to make available the relevant files immediately

10/7/62

10/7/62

D.S. (I.)

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT No. 3950 10 JUL 1962 P. W. D. (BUILDING SECTION)

MYSORE GOVT. SECRETARIAT No. 5887 13 JUL 1962 PUBLIC WORKS (MAJOR IRRIGATION)

2 The only file available in my section, relates to item 6 – Rajolibanda Head works. The file bears No PWD 4 MRD 61 and it has been recorded B. on 16/2/62. Record clerk will put up the file.

XXX

13/7/62

16/7/62

16/7/62

3 Record Asst:- Pl. put up the file.

20/7/62

4) File No. PWD 4 MRD 61 is placed below.

20/7/62

Note.—Contn. Sheet

2

File No. 5889/W of 196 PWD 33 WTB 62

Mysore Government Secretariat

Serial No.

5) Resubmitted. This may be referred to Buildings Section with a request to return our file they done with.

23/7/62

6) The undermentioned two files relevant to the matters referred to in the G.O.S. letter are placed below.

1) File PWD 18 TBP 57
2) File PWD 4 MRA 61.

This may now be referred to O.S.D. with reference to Para 1 above.

28/7/62 O.S.D.

26/7/62

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT No 4291 26 JUL 1962

8) Above files are returned herewith along with reply* to G.O.S.

20/12/62

P. G. BALEKUNDRY
Officer on Special Duty
Public Works Department

copy at p 3 c/f

O.S. (I.)

9) The entire file relating to PWD 61 returned. May be returned to Buildings Section.

21/12/62 Buildings Section

10) 24.12.62

20/12

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT 7027 24 DEC 1962

WD 07273—GPB—PZS—8,00,000—1960.

27/12/62

11) 26/12

Mysore Government Secretariat | File No. of 196 | [App. F. III 2

7027/B67

Serial No.

12) M. I. Section may retain a copy of our reply to Govt. of India placed at P 3 c/f for information

M.I.S) 27/12

27/12/62

27/12

13) The whole file consists of copies i) Govt's letter ii) Mysore Govt's reply to Govt letter, and building section must have separate file containing the originals. Therefore, if there is no objection, building section may transfer this file to us.

29/12/62 — ~~Retain a copy as~~

29/12 ~~Sd~~

Retain this file in MIS

4/1/63

Sent pl. trg

2.1.63

Sub clerk place this with file no 16 TPPS

5/1/63

Note Cont'n. Sheet

Pl. place this in the relative file

5/1/63

No.46(12)/60-BW.I.
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
Ministry of Irrigation & Power

From

Shri M.K.Kidwai,
Joint Secretary to the Govt. of India,
Ministry of Irrigation & Power,
New Delhi.

To

1) The Secretary to the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh,
Public Works Department, Hyderabad.

2) The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Public Works & Electricity Department,
Mysore Government Secretariat,
Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore.

3) Shri B.K.Gokhale,
Chairman,
Tungabhadra Board,
"Vihara' 36, Deccan Gymkhana,
Poona-4.

New Delhi, the 27th June 196

Sir,

The Government of India have been informed that a number of points concerning the Tungabhadra Project have still to be decided between the Andhra Pradesh and Mysore Governments. Briefly, these points are:-

1. Division of Assets and Liabilities under the common portion of the Tungabhadra Low Level Canal i.e. from head to mile 155.5.

Andhra Pradesh have suggested the allocation of liabilities in proportion to the equal wet ayacut in the two states after taking into consideration the transmission losses. Mysore have suggested the allocation to be done on cusec-mile basis as in the case of the high level canal scheme. The question as to how the liabilities should be allocated has to be examined. It has also to be considered to what extent the ratios provisionally agreed upon by the two State Governments in 1953 could be applied for this allocation.

2. Power benefits from the Munirabad Power House for Andhra.

The Andhra Pradesh Government desires 18% of power from the 1st two units of this power house and 38% of the total power when the installation of the 4th unit is completed. It has to be examined to what extent if any, Munirabad power house can supply power to areas in Andhra. It is also to be considered what the actual requirements of power in the different regions of Mysore and Andhra are and to what extent if any, power can be supplied to areas in Andhra taking into consideration the economics of transmission and other sources of power for these areas.

3. Control of Munirabad Power House.

Andhra has suggested that Munirabad power house situated in Mysore be placed under the control of the Tungabhadra Board. Mysore are not in agreement with this proposal. The advantages and disadvantages of the proposed transfer have to be considered.

No.46(12)/60-PW.I.
GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
Ministry of Irrigation & Power

Shri M.K.Kidwai,
Joint Secretary to the Govt. of India,
Ministry of Irrigation & Power,
New Delhi.

1) The Secretary to the Govt. of Andhra Pradesh,
Public Works Department, Hyderabad.

2) The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
Public Works & Electricity Department,
Mysore Government Secretariat,
Vidhana Soudha, Bangalore.

3) Shri B.K.Gokhale,
Chairman,
Tungabhadra Board,
"Vihara' 36, Deccan Gymkhana,
Poona-4.

New Delhi, the 27th June 196

The Government of India have been informed that a number of points concerning the Tungabhadra Project have still to be decided between the Andhra Pradesh and Mysore Governments. Briefly, these points are:-

1. Division of Assets and Liabilities under the common portion of the Tungabhadra Low Level Canal i.e. from head to mile 155.5.

Andhra Pradesh have suggested the allocation of liabilities in proportion to the equal wet ayacut in the two states after taking into consideration the transmission losses. Mysore have suggested the allocation to be done on cusec-mile basis as in the case of the high level canal scheme. The question as to how the liabilities should be allocated has to be examined. It has also to be considered to what extent the ratios provisionally agreed upon by the two State Governments in 1955 could be applied for this allocation.

2. Power benefits from the Munirabad Power House for Andhra.

The Andhra Pradesh Government desires 18% of power from the 1st two units of this power house and 38% of the total power when the installation of the 4th unit is complete. It has to be examined to what extent it any, Munirabad power house can supply power to areas in Andhra. It is also to be considered what the actual requirements of power in the different regions of Mysore and Andhra are and to what extent it any, power can be supplied to areas in Andhra taking into consideration the economics of transmission and other sources of power for these areas.

3. Control of Munirabad Power House.

Andhra has suggested that Munirabad power house situated in Mysore be placed under the control of the Tungabhadra Board. Mysore are not in agreement with this proposal. The advantages and disadvantages of the proposed transfer have to be considered.

4. Extension of irrigation from Left Bank Canal to areas in Andhra.

Andhra Pradesh desires that the left bank be extended for irrigation in Gudwal and Alampur taluks of Andhra. The left bank canal under the project as sanctioned extends upto mile 127. Mysore considers that the left bank canal canot be extended beyond mile 141 where the boundary of Mysore ends and Andhra begings. The tuilization for irrigation in Gudwal and Akampur taluks is estimated to be about 10 million cft. of water. It has to be considered if the areas in Gudwal and Alampur taluks can be irrigated from any other source and what the requirements of irrigation in these areas are? If these requrements cannot be fulfilled from any other source what steps are possible for enabling these areas to be irrigated from the left bank canal.

5. Final shares of Tungabhadra water at Nallapuram.

This question is connected with the availability of water for the different projects on the Krishna. It is considered that the consideration of the question may be deferred till the report of the Krishna Godavari Commission is received.

6. Rajoli Banda Head Works.

The Rajoli banda Barrage is situated in Mysore. The Canal from this barrage takes off from the left bank. The Ist 27 miles of the canal lie in Mysore whereafter 46 miles lie in Andhra. The areas proposed to be irrigated by this scheme are 7400 acres in Mysore and 1 lakh acres in Andhra Andhra suggests that the head works and the 1st 27 miles of the Canal be transferred to the control of the Tungabhadra Board. Mysore states that they have had no objection to Andhra's share of water from this project and therefore any apprehension about safe-guarding Andhra's interests does not arise. It has to be considered what difficulties Andhra have faced or apprehend in case the control remains with Mysore as at present and how these difficulties, if any, can be surmounted.

It is proposed to discuss the above points in a meeting with the representatives of the two State Governments and the Tungabhadra Board in early August, 1962, with a view to resolving the various points. You are therefore requested to let us have your further comments, if any, on each of the above points by the end of July, 1962. The exact venue and date of the meeting will be intimated later.

Yours faithfully,
Sd/- M.K.Kidwai
Joint Secretary to the Govt. of India.

"Copy"

for Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

4. Extension of irrigation from left Bank canal to areas in Andhra.

Andhra Pradesh desires that the left bank be extended for irrigation in Cudwal and Alampur taluks of Andhra. The left bank canal under the project as sanctioned extends upto mile 127. Mysore considers that the left bank canal cannot be extended beyond mile 141 where the boundary of Mysore ends and Andhra begins. The utilization for irrigation in Cudwal and Alampur taluks is estimated to be about 10 million cft. of water. It has to be considered if the areas in Cudwal and Alampur taluks can be irrigated from any other source and what the requirements of irrigation in these areas are? If these requirements cannot be fulfilled from any other source what steps are possible for enabling these areas to be irrigated from the left bank canal.

5. Final shares of Tunga Badra water at Nallpuram.

This question is connected with the availability of water for the different projects on the Krishna. It is considered that the consideration of the question may be deferred till the report of the Krishna Godavari Commission is received.

6. Rajoli Banda head works.

The Rajoli banda barrage is situated in Mysore. The canal from this barrage takes off from the left bank. The 1st 27 miles of the canal lie in Mysore whereafter 46 miles lie in Andhra. The areas proposed to be irrigated by this scheme are 7400 acres in Mysore and 1 lakh acres in Andhra. Andhra suggests that the head works and the 1st 27 miles of the canal be transferred to the control of the Tungabhadra Board. Mysore states that they have had no objection to Andhra's share of water from this project and therefore any apprehension about safe-guarding Andhra's interests does not arise. It has to be considered what difficulties Andhra have faced or apprehend in case the control remains with Mysore as at present and how these difficulties, if any, can be surmounted.

It is proposed to discuss the above points in a meeting with the representatives of the two State Governments and the Tunga Badra Board in early August, 1952, with a view to resolving the various points. You are therefore requested to let us have your further comments, if any, on each of the above points by the end of July, 1952. The exact venue and date of the meeting will be intimated later.

Yours faithfully,
Sd/- H.K.Kidwai
Joint Secretary to the Govt. of India.

"Copy"

for Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

Sml.10.

Copy of D.O.letter No.PA.227/62 dated 4th Decr. 1962., from the Secretary, Public Works Department, Bangalore, to the Joint Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Irrigation and Power, New Delhi.

Sub: Tungabhadra Project - Outstanding issues

Ref: D.O.letter No.46(12)/60-DW 1 dated 22-8-1962 from Sri B.K. Singh.

This is with reference to the discussions I had with you during my last visit to Delhi on the question of outstanding issues in Tungabhadra and the question of supply of power to Andhra Pradesh from Munirabad power station.

As agreed to during the discussions the outstanding issues regarding the Tungabhadra Project would have to be settled amicably between the two states. Since a number of problems concerning the Krishna river and its tributaries of which Tungabhadra constitutes one would have to be resolved in the light of the Gulhati Commission report, it was agreed at our meeting that it was inopportune at the present stage to have a meeting only on the Tungabhadra issues. As you are aware we have since received the Gulhati Commission Report and I understand that Shri Nanda of the Central Water and Power Commission is now pursuing the follow-up action. I would suggest that the outstanding Tungabhadra issues may also be referred to Sri Nanda as part of his job in the settlement of general question on the Krishna and its tributaries.

With regard to the supply of power to Andhra Pradesh from the Munirabad Power Station, as I mentioned to you, action has been taken to expedite the transmission line from Munirabad to Raichur. We have already Commissioned the line from Munirabad to Sindhnoor and I expect that within a month or so the line to Raichur will also be completed. In the circumstances, the question of giving any power to Andhra Pradesh does not arise as we ourselves are hopelessly short of power.

With regards,

Dr. Venkatasubbaiah
For Secy. to Govt., P.W.D.

Vh.

P.W.H.D No 47/56-57 4 5077/PW(A)

Letter No. 51962 dated 12.11.56 from the Ch. Elect. Engr.

Re: Lok Sabha Question No. 524 in the Lok Sabha re: T.B.P – completion of the Dam and Dam Power House.

1) Orders on the letter:-

"xxx Bring up"

(Initd) D.K.S 14/11.

2) "As no communication has been received in this behalf by this Govt. CEE may send a suitable reply to the Secy. T.B. Board with copy to Govt."

(Initd) D.K.S 16/11.

3) Ref: para 2. Draft submitted for appn.

17/11/56

4) Sign 4 4/4

Issued in No. PW 13 MD dated 23.11.56.

To the CEE.

Memo No. 57972-4 dated 4.12.56 from the Ch. Engr. for Electricity, Mysore.

Forward a copy of his

T.B.P. — Dam and Dam Power House — Lok Sabha Question.

5077/438-
PWD

letter addressed to the Secy. T.B. Board furnishing replies to Lok Sabha Question regarding completion of the Dam and Dam Power House of T.B.P.

5) The CEE's letter and its enclosures at pages 6—8 are for perusal with reference to paras 1-2 overleaf. No further action seems necessary on this. If approved this will be filed after perusal by the Secy. PWD.

13/12/56 13/12/56 15/12

7) Resubmitted. This requires perusal of by the Secy PWD. with reference to para 2 overleaf.

15/12/56 15/12/56 for perusal

Deputy Secy (PWD) 19/12/56 19/12

10) 21/12

11) 20/12/56

Memo ~~Letter~~ No. 10072/TS dated 10.12.56 from the CE MJN.

Forwards for information a copy of his letter addressed to the

TBP — Dam and Dam Power House — Lok Sabha Question — 6

4350/563

PM(MD)

CEE regarding the Lok Sabha question relating to the T.B.P. — completion of Dam and Dam Power House

(2) Submitted for perusal. No action seems necessary. Will be filed if appd.

Yes.
Sms
20/12

20/12/56

Dep
21/12/56

Telephone No. 2110
In Reply Refer to
No. 51962 are

ENGLO.
Telegraphic Address:
'GOMED'

CODES USED
BENTLEY'S LIEBER'S
A. B. C. 6th EDITION
BUSINESS TELEGRAPH CODE

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
3968
NOV 1956
ELECTRICAL BRANCH

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE
ELECTRICAL DEPARTMENT

OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ELECTRICAL ENGINEER
Post Bag No. 15
Bangalore-1, 12 November 1956.
(Mysore, S. India)

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
Date 16/11
PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC DEPTS.

As no comments have been received in this behalf by the CEE may send a reminder to the TB Board & by TB Board

1602.

The Secretary to Government,
Electrical Department,
BANGA LORE.

MYSORE GOVT. SECRETARIAT
No. 50774/A
16 NOV 1956
PUBLIC WORKS BRANCH

Sir,

Sub:-Lok Sabha question - Tungabhadra Project - Completion of the Dam and the Dam Power House.

I wish to enclose herewith a copy of letter received from the Under Secretary to the Government of India, regarding the programme of works for the Tungabhadra Project (both Right Bank and Left Bank). It has been stated in this letter that the whole of the Tungabhadra Project (including Hyderabad side) will come under the purview of the Tungabhadra Board from 1st November 1956 as a result of the Re-organisation of States. It is not very clear from this letter whether only the Irrigation works which are located in both the New Mysore and the Andhra Pradesh territories are intended to be brought under the jurisdiction of the Board or also the Hydro-Electric works. I would like to mention in this connection, that the Hydro-Electric side of the Hyderabad portion of the Tungabhadra Electric Project was mainly intended to serve areas in the original Hyderabad State which are now included in the New Mysore State except for a small area round about Narayanpet, which was proposed to be served by a 33 kV. line - tapped off from the main 110 kV. Transmission Line with a load of approximately 200 k.W. I am therefore, of opinion that the entire

p.t.o.

construction, operation and maintenance of the Hydro-Electric works comprising of the Dam Site Power Station, Transmission Lines and Sub-Stations, should be under the control of the Government of Mysore, and there is no justification to transfer these works to the Tungabhadra Board. The small areas round about Narayanpet may be supplied from power sources located in the New Andhra Pradesh territory itself and even in case they should insist that this area should be supplied from the Tungabhadra Left Bank Transmission System, the Andhra Pradesh Government may approach the Government of Mysore for separate bulk supply arrangements.

I, therefore, request that this matter may be taken up with the Government of India, if necessary, and the question of the authority for construction, operation and maintenance of the Hydro-Electric portion of the works on the Tungabhadra Left Bank may be confirmed to be ~~executed by~~ the Mysore Government in lieu of the State of Hyderabad perior to 1-11-1956.

Yours faithfully,

(J.L. D'Sa)
Chief Engineer, Electricity.

COPY of the letter No.35(20)/56-DW.VI dated 16-10-1956 from the Under Secretary to the Government of India, Ministry of Irrigation & Power, New Delhi to the Secretary, Tungabhadra Board, Tungabhadra Dam.

Sub:- Lok Sabha, Question - Tungabhadra Project - Completion of the Dam and the Dam Power Hourse.

S i r,

I am directed to enclose a copy of the advance notice of Starred question No.524 to be asked by Shri Krishnamacharya Joshi in the Lok Sabha on 14th December 1956, and to request that material for reply and likely supplementaries may be furnished so as to reach this ministry by the 5th December 1956 at the latest with particular reference to the points given below. As the whole of the Tungabhadra Project (including Hyderabad Side) will come under the purview of the Tungabhadra Board from 1st November 1956 as a result of the reorganisation of States, the reply should cover the entire project. The information should be given separately for the Tungabhadra Dam, the Dam Power House on the Right Side, the Dam Power House on the Left Side and the Hampi Power House.

1. Work completed upto 30-11-56 and whether according to programme if not, reasons therefor.

2. Work remaining to be done on 1-12-1956.

3. Programme of work after 1-12-1956.

4. Total estimated expenditure.

5. Expenditure incurred upto 30-11-1956.

6. Phased programme fo Electricity to be generated by the three Power Houses.

Yours faithfully,
Sd/- S. Narayana Swamy,
Under Secretary to Govt.of India.

QUESTION FOR THE LOK SABHA.
ORDER OF PREFERENCE III.

Starred/Unstarred D.No.524.
Notice was received on the 27-9-1956.
Notice of admission to be sent out on the 8-12-1956.
Ministry to which the day has been allotted. IRRIGATION & POWER.
The question will, if admitted, be put down for the sitting on the :- 14-12-1956.

* SHRI KRISHNACHARYA JOSHI: Will the Minister of Irrigation and Power be pleased to state:

p.t.o.

i) whether the Tungabhadra Dam will be completed by the end of December 1956 as scheduled;

ii) whether the Dam Power House also will be completed by the 2nd of December, 1956; and

iii) if not, the reason for the sam?

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY,
Tungabhadra Board,
Tungabhadra Dam.

ENDORSEMENT No.6272/56/M1 dated 6th November 1956.

COPY of letter No.35(20)/56-DW.VI dated the 16th October 1956 of the Secretary to the Government of India in the Ministry of Irrigation & Power, together with copies of its enclosure is forwarded to the following Officers for favour of furnishing very early the particulars called for therein.

1. The Chief Engineer, Irrigation Branch, Tungabhadra Board.
2. The Chief Engineer for Electricity, Andhra and Convener, Electricity Sub-Committee, Tungabhadra Board, Madras.
3. The Chief Electrical Engineer, Mysore and Member, Electricity Sub-Committee, Tungabhadra Board, Bangalore. He is requested kindly to furnish the details for the Dam and the Dam Power House on the Munirabad side also.
4. The Superintending Engineer, Hydro-Electric Scheme, Tungabhadra Board.
5. The Chief Engineer, Tungabhadra Project, Karnataka, Munirabad.
6. The Superintending Engineer, Tungabhadra Project, Reservoir Circle, Munirabad.

Sd/-

for Secretary,
Tungabhadra Board.

" COPY "

11/11

Chief Engineer, Electricity,
Government of Mysore.

GM/-

M. G. S. App. F. G. 3]

MYSORE GOVERNMENT

SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form*).

No 13-M.I.S: 23-11-56

3. 5077/PWC 5

Lok Sabha Question No. 524 (Starred) — Tungabhadra Project — Completion of the Dam and the Dam Power House.

To

The Chief Electrical Engineer in Mysore
Bangalore.

Sir,

Ref: your letter No. 51962 dated 12.11.56.

With reference to the letter cited, I am directed to state that no communication has been received by Govt. regarding the above subject, you may send a suitable reply to the Secy. Tungabhadra Board with a copy to this office.

Yours faithfully

Sd/- Secy to Govt.

12.11.56

WD 03737-GPB—PZS- 50,000—1-3-56.

Telephone No.: 2110

In Reply Refer to
No. 57972-4
Enclo. 2 copies.
Telegraphic Address: "GOMED"

CODES USED:
BENTLEY'S LIEBER'S
A. B. C. 6th EDITION
BUSINESS TELEGRAPH CODE

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE
ELECTRICAL DEPARTMENT

OFFICE OF THE
CHIEF ELECTRICAL ENGINEER
Post Bag No. 15
(Mysore, S. India)

Bangalore-1, 3rd December 1956.

1851

MYSORE GOVT. SECRETARIAT PUBLIC WORKS BRANCH No. 435/41 3 DEC 1956

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTS. Ele Date 5/12/56

The Secretary,
Tungabhadra Board,
Tungabhadra Dam,
Via Hospet.

S i r,

Sub:-Lok Sabha Question — Tungabhadra Project — Completion of the Dam — and the Dam Power House.

With reference to your endorsement No.6272/56/M1, dated 6th November 1956, I wish to enclose herewith the answers to the questions regarding the above starred question for the Lok Sabha, together with a note in duplicate, for your kind needful action.

Yours faithfully,

(J.L. D'SA)
CHIEF ENGINEER, ELECTRICITY.

COPY to the Member (Hydro-Electric), Central Water & Power Commission (Power Wing), Shahjahan Road, New Delhi, with a note for kind information.

Note

COPY to the Secretary to Government, Electrical Department, Bangalore for kind information. This has reference to letter No.15962 dated 12th November 1956.

COPY to the Chief Engineer, Major Irrigation (North), Munirabad, for kind information. This has reference to my letter No.53422-4 dated 19th November 1956.

P.W. Branch M-9

3/12

CHIEF ENGINEER, ELECTRICITY.

Starred/Unstarred D.No.524

Notice was received on the 27th September 1956.

Notice of admission to be sent out on the 8th December 1956.

Ministry to which the day has been allotted: Irrigation and Power

The question will, if admitted, be put down for the sitting on the 14th December 1956.

Shri Krishna Charya Joshi-Will the Minister of Irrigation and Power be pleased to state:-

1. Whether the Tungabhadra Dam will be completed by the end of December 1956 as scheduled;	Answer to be furnished by the Chief Engineer, Tungabhadra Board.
2. Whether the Dam Power House also will be completed b· the 2nd of December 1956, and	a) Right Bank Dam Power House - The information to be furnished by the Convener, Electricity Sub Committee, Tungabhadra Board. b) Left Bank Dam Power House - Only Civil Engineering Works are in progress - Details have to be furnished by the Chief Engineer Major Irrigation (North) Regarding Electrical Works, orders for two units have been placed. Enquiries for Transformers, Switch-gear etc., are being issu d.
3. If not, the reason for the same	Information regarding Right Bank stations to be furnished by the Chief Engineer, Tungabhadra Board and Convener, Electricity Sub Committee, Tungabhadra Board. There appears to no hold up on the left bank works.

NOTE - 1. The Hydro Electric Works on the Right Bank of the Tungabhadra Dam are under the Tungabhadra Board. The Convener, Electricity Sub-Committee will give the necessary information on the various six items referred to in the letter from the Ministry of Irrigation and Power, New Delhi.

Regarding the Hydro-Electric Station on the left Bank of the Dam, the construction of which is now under the control of the Electricity Department, Mysore and the Civil Engineering Works are being carried out under

the Chief Engineer, Major Irrigation (North). Except for the Penstocks and inlet works the major work on the Station has yet to be started. The Hyderabad Government in consultation with the electrical department, Mysore, placed orders before 30th ~~November~~ October 1956 for the two turbines and generating sets with necessary control equipment. The question of ordering one more unit is in correspondence with C.W. & P.C The specification for the power transformers, switch-gear, transmission line materials, towers etc., have been drawn and sent to the Secretary, Stores Purchase Committee, Bangalore for being issued by this Department.

2. Works remaining to be done during December 1956 are the following:

1. Construction of the Generating Station and Tail rac works.
2. Construction of R.C.C foundations for the machines, also for the platform of the outdoor yard.
3. Installation of the Generating Units, Transformers, Switchgear and out-door Yard.
4. Construction of Transmission Lines.
5. Testing and servicing of the Station.

3. The following are the details of the tentative progr from 1-12-1956 onwards.

1. Construction of Station ..	1958-59
2. Receipt of turbines and generators	1958-59
3. Receipt of transformers and switchgear	1958-59
4. Installation of generating units & transformers etc.,	1959-60
5. Construction of Transmission Lines	1957-60
6. Testing and servicing well within the Second Plan period	

4. Total estimated expenditure for the above works is Rs.416.33 lakhs; provision under the II Five Year Plan.
5. Expenditure incurred upto 30-10-1956 is Rs.32,57,117-8-6 on the Hydro Electric portion of scheme mainly in regard to the Civil works.
6. Phased programme of development of electricity from right Bank station is

a) Beginning of 1960-61 9,000 K.W
b) end of 1960-61 18,000 K.W

It is being contemplated to install the third unit of 9000 K.W also by the end of 1960-61, bringing the total capacity at the Dam site station to 27000 K.W.

--

3/12

Chief Engineer, Electricity,
Government of Mysore.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.
OFFICE OF THE CHIEF ENGINEER, PWD,
MAJOR IRRIGATION WORKS (NORTH)
CAMP MUNIRABAD. RAICHUR DISTRICT.

NO. 10,072/T.S. Dated. 10/12/56

To

The Chief Electrical Engineer,
Mysore State, H.Q. Bangalore.

Sub:- Tungabhadra project-Completion of Dam and Dam Power house- Control of T.B. Board.

Ref:- Your office letter No. 53422 Dt. 19-11-56 and 57972 dt. 4-12-56.

---

1. This office is not in receipt of any communication from the Government to the effect that the whole of the -- Tungabhadra Project (including Hyderabad) will be within the jurisdiction of T.B. Board. If any such communication is received in your office a copy of the same may please be furnished.

2. The commanded area on the left bank is fully in the New Mysore State and no common works are either ~~except~~ -- contemplated or proposed. Therefore the question of the Board controlling this side would not be in order.

3. As regards Hydro Electrical items of works on the left bank, these are not controlled by this office.

4. The copy of the reply to the Lok Sabha question as furnished to the Secretary Tungabhadra Board, has been sent to your office with this office letter No. 9704/TS Dated 3.12.56.

Yours faithfully,

Sd/-

for CHIEF ENGINEER,P.W.D.
MAJOR IRRIGATION WORKS(N)
CAMP & POST MUNIRABAD DIST.
RAICHUR.

Copy to the Secretary P.W.D.& Electrical Bangalore for information.

8/12/56
for CHIEF ENGINEER,P.W.D.,
MAJOR IRRIGATION WORKS(N)
CAMP & POST MUNIRABAD DIST.
RAICHUR.

1916
SECRETARIAT, 563/56
PUBLIC WORKS BRANCH

1) An extract

XX XX

14. The Tungabhadra Board has on it at present representatives of the Andhra, Hyderabad and Mysore Governments. Will any change in the constitution of the Board be necessary consequent on the disappearance of the Hyderabad State?

XX XX

2) The Tungabhadra Board as reconstituted in the Government of India Notification of 10th March 1956 consists of a Chairman (Sri.B.K.Gokhale) and the representatives of the States of Andhra, Mysore and Hyderabad, as also a representative of the Ministry of Finance. The Board now administers only those portions of the Project which are common to the States of Andhra and Mysore. ~~The~~ By a Resolution of the Government of India dated 6th November 1953, it has been agreed that the Board shall have overall control in respect of all matters relating to works on or connected with the Tungabhadra Project which are common to all the States of Andhra, Hyderabad and Mysore.

3) The execution of the works on the Hyderabad side including the portion of the dam on that side is at present entirely in the hands of the Hyderabad State and the Board exercises no manner of control over that portion of the project. With the reorganisation of States, the Hyderabad portion of the Tungabhadra Project will fall entirely in the territory of the new Mysore State and, so far as has been ascertained from the Chief Engineer, Tungabhadra Project, Hyderabad, no part of irrigation under the project as at present contemplated on the Hyderabad side falls in Andhra territory. The effect therefore will be that Mysore State will step into the place of Hyderabad State in regard to the Hyderabad side of the Tungabhadra Project and the need for the representation on account of Hyderabad side of the Project on the Board prima facie disappears.

4) There has latterly been correspondence between the Government of India on the one hand and the States of Mysore, Andhra and Hyderabad

on the other about bringing the Hyderabad side of the project also under the overall control of the Tungabhadra Board. A draft resolution of the Government of India was circulated to the States concerned some time ago. The Government of Andhra suggested certain amendments, as also the Government of Hyderabad. The Government of Mysore informed the Government of India that it was unnecessary at this stage and on the eve of the reorganisation of the State to consider the issue of the resolution or the question of bringing in the Hyderabad side of the project under the overall control of the Board. The matter is still under correspondence and no final decision has been taken.

5) So long as the Board exercises no jurisdiction over the execution of works on the Hyderabad side, it would be unnecessary to have any representation on the Tungabhadra Board on account of that portion of the project. However, if the Government of India's proposal about bringing that portion of the project also under the control of the Board were to be implemented, the need might arise for augmenting the representation of Mysore on the Board. Paragraph 3 (i) of the Notification reconstituting the Board reads as follows:-

"All matters relating to the project works of common interest to the States of Andhra and Mysore brought before any meeting of the Board shall be decided by a majority of the Members of the Board present and voting at the meeting before which such matters are brought and the decision of the Board shall be final".

6) Since decisions have to be taken by a majority of the Members of the Board present and voting, it might be necessary to have a representation on the Board on account of the Hyderabad portion of the project, though it could be argued that even one member representing the whole of the New Mysore State could adequately represent the State in regard to the entire project, especially in view of the safeguards provided in regard to matters of policy and rights of States. However, the question may not arise until

T.B.P. - Hyderabad side

11

Hyderabad side of the project is brought under the control of the Board.

7) I have examined before this the need for taking up the question of the reconstitution of the Board with the Government of India. In fact, I raised the point at the last meeting of the Tungabhadra Board held at the Dam Site on the 18th and 19th September. The Government of India representative Sri. Harban Singh Mac told me that the matter had already been taken up by the Planning Commission and the Ministry of Irrigation & Power and that they proposed to issue a modified notification. It is therefore believed that the Government of India would be addressing the State Governments concerned before finalising their proposals in this behalf.

1304
MYSORE GOVT SECRETARIAT
No. 3976/14
25 SEP 1956
PUBLIC WORKS BRANCH

8) Copies of (1) the Andhra State Act (Reference Sec.66), (2) the Government of India's notification of 10th March 1955, (3) the Government of India's resolution dated 6th November 1953, and (4) Draft of the resolution of the Government of India about the overall control in regard to the Hyderabad portion of the project, are placed below for reference.

SPECIAL SECRETARY.
No. 1722
25 SEP 1956
STATE REORGANISATION DEPT.

9) Special Secy. SRD — P.W. Secy.

10) This was discussed today with Sri Rao when P.W. Secy was also present. It was agreed that this subject need not be raised now.

Secy (P.W.) 8/10/56

11)

12) In view of para 10, this will now be filed along with the papers relating to overall control of TB Board over Hyderabad side of TBP.

Yes

Copy of letter No.PWD 18 TBP 57 dated 8-9-1959 from the Secretary to the Govt.of Mysore,Bangalore, to the Secretary to the Govt.of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad-Deccan.

Sub:-Tungabhadra Project (Munirabad Side) Canal-Exchange of records.

I am directed to to state that in pursuance of the decision taken at the Inter-State Conference of the Chief Ministers and Ministers for Public Works and Electricity of the Mysore and Andhra Pradesh States held in February 1959,an Assistant Engineer and other staff of this Government were deputed to Hyderabad for making a search in the concerned offices and tracing files relating to the Tungabhadra Project (Munirabad side)and matters pertaining to the areas of the former Hyderabad State merged into Mysore State Consequent on the Re-organisation of States.

It is now reported that the Officer deputed to Hyderabad made a search of the old records and found 58files. Of those,53 files relating to matters exclusively connected with the Karnataka area have been handed over by the Special Chief Engineer,(Irrigation) Andhra Pradesh to the Officer of this Government. The remaining five files(vide list 'A' appended) have been kept back by the Special Chief Engineer(Irrigation) Andhra Pradesh with a promise to send copies of the relevant portions. It is also reported that a list was traced from the old records which shows files handed over at the time of States Re-organisation. From ~~appxxxxx~~ that list it has been found that 135 files (vide list 'B' appended) and 220 files (vide list 'C'-the subject matters of which are not known) have not been traced either in the records of the Chief Engineer,Irrigation Projects of this State, or in the Office of the Chief Engineer,Andhra Pradesh.

I am therefore to request that the Special Chief Engineer,Irrigation,Andhra Pradesh may kindly be requested to send to the Chief Engineer,Irrigation Projects,Munirabad of this State,the five files shown in list 'A' for examination. The Special Chief Engineer(Irrigation)Andhra Pradesh and the other concerned Chief Engineers of the Andhra Pradesh Government may also be requested to have a thorough search made in the records of their offices for the files shown in lists 'B' and 'C' and to send those files to the Chief Engineer,Irrigation Projects, ~~xxxxxx xxxxx

13

(2) Files relating to the proposals (sent by the former Hyderabad Government) to the Government of India in connection with the 1951 award of the Planning Commission relating to the Krishna and Godavari Waters.

An early reply is requested.

:COPY:

12/1/64

LIST 'A'

List of files belonging to Karnataka traced out in the Record Section of Chief Engineer's Office, Irrigation Andhra Pradesh-Hyderabad -Division-

—

Sl.No.	File No.	Subject.
54.	G/76 T/109	A review of Hyderabad Finances.
55.	G/52	Telephone correspondence -Case note.
56.	bs/43/55-56	Investigation of Upper Krishna Bhima Project-Budget estimate 56-57.
57.	A/342 T.96	Out break of fire in camp huts at Uppal and damages to works in Gillesgur Sub-Division due to heavey rains.
58.	B/1/24 F.	Constn.of Channels from the streams Bheem Kagna, Ameerja and Tor in Gulbarga District.

—

:COPY:

12.11.64

LIST 'B'

List of files not received at the time of S.R.C.from Hyderabad.

Sl. No.	File No.	Subject.	Remarks.
1.	W/5/I	Maps showing transmission lines planned for the next 5 years in connect on with Tungabhadra Project.	
2.	W/6/APII	Development programme 5 and 15 year plan.	
3.	W/7/A	Inspection Notes of Sri.Jaffar Ali,S.E.,	
		Tour programme of N.R.M.H.F.M.H.M.PWD,Engineering in-Chief Hyderabad and C.E.T.B.P.Madras.	
5.	W/10/A	Programme of Research.	
6.	W/20 P.II	Approach roads to R.D.S.	
7.	W/25/D	M/s.Garlic & Co.,Jachob Circle,Bombay.	
8.	W/25/E.	Hyderabad Engineering House.	
9.	W/28/A G/49	Proposing a financial adviser.	
10.	W/32	Extra Division of employment of Irrgn. Adviser to the Govt.of India.	
11.	W/34/C.	Estt.of executive Staff engaged in Survey works of Rajulibunds Project.	
12.	W/39/A	Technical reports.	
13.	W/41/A	Dr.B.V.Srinivasa Iyengar,Gangawathi, camp.	
14.	W/51	Mr.C.K.Narayanaswamy,Contractor.	
15.	W/60	Formation of Hydro-Electric Survey Division,skilled labour.	
16.	W/62	Reservie stock for Tungabhadra Project.	
17.	W/63	Principal features of various projects(Irrn. Scheme in Hyderabad).	
18.	W/65	Capital and revenue accounts of works.	
19.	W/96	Correspondence regarding Krishna Valley Division(Shifting head quarters of survey works of Krishna Valley Division).	
20.	W/97	Medical aid for Rajulibunda & monthly Health Report.	
21.	W/107	Construction of Masonry bridge on the Bhima River at Yadgir,Gulbarga,Dist.	

-....2

Sl.No.	File No.	Subject.	Remarks.
22.	W/129	Postponement of Schemes of Post-war development and expansion of Developments, during 1957.	
23.	W/134.	Construction of Batalian lines in Raichur District (Camp buildings at Yermarus).	
24.	W/133	Devnoor Power Canal(proposed road from Bidar to Degiloor-Bidar & Nanded District);	
25.	W/169	Canal Survey Division at Alampoor	
26.	W/193	Floods in the river Tungabhadra.	
27.	W/208/A.	Developments of roads in T.B.P.ayacut Estimate for of Dunkanhal to Goodoor.	
28.	W/209.	Estimate for zinc sheet shed for preparing Surki Balls at Munirabad.	
29.	W/242	Preliminary survey estimate of Ergira-Ij-Alampoor Road from mile 40 to Magapad Station.	
30.	W/247/A.	Constructing a cement shed at Yermarus.	
31.	W/247/A.	Constructing a cement shed at Yermarus.	
32.	W/248	Bill of cost for the work done on camp, buildings at Sindhnoor camp.	
33.	W/255	Proposed approach road to I.B.Yermarus, camp on Hillock.	
34.	W/257	Drainage and Sanitation fittings at camp, Munirabad by Pallagi a Edalga & Sons.	
35.	W/258	Main canal T.B.P.from Mile 108 to 120.	
36.	W/265 G-12	Power of comptency for sanctioning. Revised Estimates.	
37.	W/269-	Roller for consolidation canal banking R.D.S.	shop T.B.P.
38.	W/285	Estimate for emergent repairs to pnumetic works	
39.	W/293	Earth moving equipment for T.B.P.by Mr.G.M.Marren of M/s.Aviding Benford & Co.,Ltd.	
40.	W/328	Inspection bangalow at Ginigera.	
41.	W/339 G-115	Proceedings of the Conference Administrator.	
42.	W/345	Testing of material T.B.P.Works.	
43.	W/358	Constructing canal works at Sirwar section and Construction. of Staff quarters at Sirwar.	
44.	W/404	Stores and Mechanical Division,Gangawathi.	
45-	W/414	Registration of Sri.Banwarilal as 'A'Class contractor.	
46.	W/446	Claims of Shaik Babu,Contractor.	

17

Sl.No.	File No.	Subject.	Remarks.
47.	W/449	Tungabhadra Project Canal masonry works mile 15-40 Gangawathi Division.	
48.	W/449/A.	Estimate for C.D.works of main canal mile 15-40 Gangawathi Division.	
49.	W/450	Work order & Agreement Bond of Munirabad Division.	
50.	W/456-G-12	Powers to technical section as per decision of S.E's Conference.	
51.	W/462.	Resolution & Decisions of the research Committee,Central Board of Irrigation.	
52.	W/463	Stock articles at T.B.P.Centre Munirabad	
53.	W/480	Reports on Physical progress of dam work, T.B.P.	
54.	W/484	Camp buildings at Karatgi.	
55.	W/499	Inspection Notes of Sri.R.G.Borgaonkar.	
56.	W/508	Development of Garden lawn etc in the T.B.P.Area.	
57.	W/519	Registration of telegraphic address of E.E's and D.E's.	
58.	W/532	Claims of Mr.Mohd Ali and Bros.	
59.	W/836	Special repairs to I.B.at Rajapur, Gangawathi.	
60.	W/539	Regional survey of potential water resources.	
61.	W/653	Manufacture of spill-way gates.	
62.	W/572 G -7/B.	Purchase of Ordinance maps.	
63.	W/576-G-57	Contractor's Suppliers application.	
64.	W/586	petrol-6 parts.	
65.	W/610-G-116	Spare tools required for truck No.7997.	
66.	W/624 G-153	Manufacture Brick making Machine.	
67.	W/637 G.214	Inaugural Meeting of the Co-ordination Committee.	
68.	W/636 G-230	3rd Meeting of the Hyderabad State Engineering Standard Committee.	
69.	W/644-G-33	Requirements of Brass & Copper sheets.	
70.	W/688	Bhima Project Surveys.	
71.	W/704-G-493	How to economise steel.	
72.	W/705.G-494	Erecting labour camp at Madra side of Rajulibunda	

.....4

Sl.No.	File No.	Subject.	Remarks.
73.	W/716 G.519	Correspondence Regarding M/s. Chintalpatti,Yegnaiah & Sons.	
74.	W/645-G-230	Committee Assess the requirements of the essential	
75.	W/654-G-278/B	Allotment of pipes tube as fittings.	
76.	W/656-G-280	Load of concrete mixers and motor Mills.	
77.	W/658-G-292	Asbestos sheets.	
78.	W/668-G-326	M/sPatny & Company.	
79.	W/710 G-182	Jurisdiction of anicut Diisi on	
80.	W/715 D-143	Payment of the bill of M/s.Daffar automobiles	
81.	W/726- G-538	Advances to labour,Gillesgur Division.	
82.	W/729 G-550	Registration of Motor Vehicles.	
83.	W/740 G-574	Purchases of Tools and Plants etc.	
84.	W/751 G-607	Purchase of a Jeep car for Tungabhadra Canal circle.	
85.	W/759. G-616	Regarding inspection notes of Mr.S.A. Saleem,Asst.Engineer,C.S.Division,Yermarus.	
86.	W/784G-231	Survey reports of stores.	
87.	W/786 G-681	Fixing mileage charges of lorries on mileage basis.	
88.	W/786 G-681	Shifting of S.E's office from Hyderabad to Yermarus.	
89.	W/792 G-701	G.J.and M.S.Pipes.	
90.	W/794-G-712	Miscellaneous tools and Plants.	
91.	W/799 G-718	Provision of houses for the office of Special Taluqdar Tungabhadra Project.	
92.	W/804-G-791	3½ H.P.Truck Motor Cycle 1943 Military model belonging to T.B.P. taken over from M.Lines Stores.	
93.	W/847.G-719	Government orders.	
94.	W/675	Meeting of the Institute of Engineers of India,Hyderabad Centre regarding some new cheep type of designs of canal works.	
95.	W/871	Loan of famine tools.	
96.	W/919	Payment of O.S.Rs.10,933/-due to M/s. Hyderabad Iron and Steel Works Manufacture of Horse bits.	
97.	W/949	Purchase of dupligraph & Duplux Bonary Machines.	
98.	W/980	Construction of a pond in the river behind Tungabhadra Dam for fishing feasibilities.	

Sl.No.	File No.	Subject.	Remarks.
99.	W/1016	Preliminary of works in connection of T.B.P.	
10 .	W/1017	Colyx drilling operations in connection with T.B.	
101.	W/1136	Improvements contemplated in the former Jagir ageas under the Five Year Plan.	
102.	W/1152	Report of the Kohsla Committee on the Utilisation of the waters of Krishna and Godavari ~~Rubbitxxxxxx~~ Publichintala Dam site.	
103.	W/1195	Security of Tungabhadra Dam declaring the areas prohibited places.	
104.	W/1211	Type designs of masonry adjustable standing waives flume type bifer-cation sluices to be adopted on the distributaries of T.B.P.,and R.D.S.	
105.	W/1225	Revision of D.S.R.	
106.	W/1226	Breaching of Boyanamerchard tank situated in Manvi Taluk,Raichur District.	
107.	W.1239	Engineering Siminar at Nangal.	
108.	W-1237-P-1	Irrigation Programme under TPB.	
109.	W/1263.A.-351	Amendment in the P.W.D. Code.	
110.	W/1264	Hydrological data of Krishna & Godavari, rivers.	
111.	W/1282	Publicity of river valley Irrigation and power projects under Irrigation Projects Hyderabad.	
112.	W/1284	Lining of Tungabhadra Main Canal Mile 84 to 100.	
113.	W/1304	Explanation of G.K.Rajan,E.E.,P.W.D. Adilabad Division Head Quarters Nirmal Reg. E.W.of Tungabhadra Project,Main Canal ~~Mile~~ 15 to 18.	
114.	W/1316	Constructing P.W.D.cement shed in I.B. premises at Raichur.	
115.	W/1324	Publication of the Standard specification of the PWD.	
116.	W/1342	Damages to works distributaries of Tungabhadra Main Canal.	
117.	W/1342	Claims of Sri.N.R.Lingaiah,Contractor, towards Manufacture of supply bricks, of Tungabhadra Reservoir.	
118.	W/1395	Allotment of quarters to the Co-operative forming staff at dam site Munirabad.	

.. ..6

Sl.No.R	file No.	Aubjects.	Remarks.
119.	W/1309	Inspection Notes of Sri.D.V. Rao C.E.IP.	
120.	W/1401	Quarterly progress report of Development of Ayacut in connection with Tungabhadra.	
121.	1406	Special Committee for revoling uniform mthods execution of works in the PWD.	
122.	W/1412	Types Desigs for D.E.S.D.O's and supervisors uarters for project works.	
123.	*p1830	Power of attorney of S ri.N.R.Lingaiah, contractor.	
124.	W/1457	Revised estimates for the erection of Missicipi Hanger T.B.P.Munirabad.	
125.	W/1609	Monthly progress report of Canal Construction Division,Sirvar Tungabhadra Project.	
126.	W/1614	Purchase of 60' N.Girdar Centring to R.C.C. Bridge T.B.P.	
127.	W/1625	Construction of sheds for the Agricultural experiemental station at Gangawathi.	
128.	W/1641	Data for consolidation and correspondence pertaining to it.	
129.	W/1664	Banking of Main canal from Ch.232 to Ch.625	
130.	W/1668	Excavation of R.D.S.Canal Ch.922 to 950 and 1135 to 1172 correspondence pertaining to the contract.	
131.	W/1670	Excavation of R.D.S.canal Ch.1286 to 1590 and 1430 to 1500 correspondence pertaining to the contract.	
132.	Wp1697	Details of the project as per S.R.C.Report.	
133.	W/~~1702~~ 705	Meeting of the Pannel Econimists,New Delhi.	
134.	W/1709	Model and protot type conformity.	
135.	W/1721	Draft mannual on collection of ~~xxx~~ field date for design of canal structures.	

:COPY:

LIST 'C'

List of files which were not received at the time of S.R.C.form Hyderabad and whose subjects are not known.

Sl.No.	File No.	Sl.No.	File No.
1.	W/4	30	W/309
2.	W/5 G	31	W/310
3.	W/5 H	32	W/311
4.	W/5 I	33	W/312
5.	W/5 K	34	W/313
6.	W/23	35	W/314
7.	W/46	36	W/315
8.	W/52	37	W/317
9.	W/64	38	W/318
10.	W/66	39	W/319
11.	W/67	40	W/319
12.	W/68	41	W/320
13.	W/85	42	W/321
14.	W/90	43	W/322
15/	W/93	44	W/323
16.	W/102	45	W/330
17.	W/105	46	W/360
18.	W/123	47	W/361
19.	W/124	48	W/362
20.	W/131	49	W/363
21.	W/196	50	W/364
22.	W/281	51	W/364
23.	W/297	52	W/366
24.	W/303	53	W/367
25.	W/304	54	W/369
26.	W/305	55	W/369
27.	W/306	56	W/370
28.	W/307	57	Wp371
29.	W/308	58	W/372

...

92

59. *W/373
60. W/374
61. W/375
62. W/376
63. W/377
64. W/378
65. W/379
66. W/380
67. W/381
68. W/382
69. W/383
70. W/384
71. W/385
72. W/386
73. Wp387
74. W/388
75. W/389
76. W/390
77. W/391
78. W/392
79. W/393
80. W/394
81. W/395
82. W/396
83. W/410
84. W/742
85. W/835
86. W/838
87. W/848
88. W/906
89. W/974
90. W/1385
91 to W/1730 to
212. W/1852,
213 to W/1854 to
220 W/1861

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

MEMORANDUM ON ANDHRA PRADESH CLAIMS ON POWER GENERATED ON THE LEFT BANK CANAL OF TUNGABHADRA PROJECT

MYSORE GOVERNMENT'S MEMORANDUM ON ANDHRA PRADESH CLAIMS ON POWER GENERATED ON THE LEFT BANK CANAL OF TUNGABHADRA PROJECT
****

The sanctioned project for generation of power has 27 M.W. of installed capacity capable of yielding 18 M.W. of firm power. Allowing for the line losses, etc., net power available for industrial use is only 16 M.W. The allotment thereof as envisaged in the sanctioned project is mainly within the present Mysore territory and a fraction in the neighbouring Telengana villages. As per the provisions of 1956 States Re-organisation Act, Mysore is prepared to allow Andhra Pradesh the use of this power, provided the problems of transmission and cost apportionment can be solved to mutual satisfaction.

2. However, the Andhra Pradesh have based their claims to a much larger quantum of power to be utilised in Hyderabad and North Eastern Part of Telengana, in accordance with some alleged past intentions of Hyderabad Government during 1938-51. It is however pointed out that the total generation of power at Tungabhadra Project as envisaged by Hyderabad during the period 1938-51, was fantastically high and has no relationship to the final possibilities. In those days, they were planning on large scale flows to the tune of 90 T.M.C.ft. of Krishna Contribution from Tungabhadra for power production. Ultimately the Krishna contribution was fixed at 26 T.M.C.ft. out of which a part only could be utilised by Hyderabad on the left side for power production. The state of affairs with regard to Hyderabad's original intentions of power generation is aptly described in part V of letter No.3044-48-P-10 dated 18th January 1949 from the Chief Engineer (Special), Madras to P.W.D. Secretary, Madras, which reads as below:-

contd.....2

"Attention is now drawn to paragraph 4 of my letter No.36/47-P-7 dated 10th March 1947. Hyderabad have not so far given details of their scheme. They gave a figure of 6.5 lakhs acres as irrigation on their side in "Hyderabad Information" of February 1946. This 6.5 lakhs acres should require at least 90 T.M.C.ft. It is also given in the same "Hyderabad Information" that 1.0 lakhs units of firm power would be developed. The Tungabhadra waters after developing electricity have to come back to the river valley and I cannot see how within a distance of 15 or 20 miles they could gain any more head than about 150 feet which is the head that we gain on our side. With an average head of 150 feet, the continuous flow required for developing 100,000 K.W. will be 8,000 cusecs. A firm power of the order of 100,000 K.W. throughout the year would mean 240 T.M.C.ft. draw off for power alone. I therefore feel that there must be some confusion in the various figure of irrigation power etc., that Hyderabad are expected to develop.

Hyderabad however have been reluctant to give me their canal report that I asked for, though I feel that they have got most of our figures".

The above extract confirms the fact that their original intention was fantastically high.

3. But after 1951 the Krishna contribution was more or less came to be regarded as 26 T.M.C.ft., a fraction of which Hyderabad was to utilise for power generation. This is the main reason for provision of much lower power potential in the finally sanctioned project. Therefore, it is seen that the claims of Andhra Pradesh are based on intentions which could never be fulfilled in actual practice.

4. Andhra Pradesh has also been claiming power from the auxilliary power stations on the left Bank Canal. This auxilliary power production has not been surveyed or determined. Discussion or consideration of this matter will be only academic. Besides, Andhra Pradesh cannot have any claim or say in the matter on these canal stations as these are not part of the sanctioned scheme at the time of re-organisation of States nor can they have any say in any subsequent development that Mysore may take up.

Contd.....3

5. The inadmissibility of the claims made by Andhra Pradesh Chief Engineer for share in power at Tungabhadra Left Bank Canal has already been established in detail in the reply given by the Chief Engineer, Hydro-Electric Construction Projects, Mysore State, to the Chief Engineer, Electricity (Andhra Pradesh). The Gadkary Committee appointed by the Ministry of Irrigation and Power, to go into the question of power production at the Tungabhadra Left Bank and its utilisation, have clearly reported that the power produced at Tungabhadra should be utilised in that area and not transmitted to Hyderabad, etc., and that the latter should get their power from Ramagundam Thermal Station.

6. Andhra Pradesh also wants that the operation and maintenance of the power houses on the Left Bank Canal of the Tungabhadra Project should vest with the Tungabhadra Board. Mysore cannot agree to this proposal as all these power houses lie in Mysore and it is feasible to sell and buy power by mutual agreement.

****

(S.G.Balekundry),
Officer on Special Duty,
Public Works Department.

Copy of letter D.O.No.487-89 dated 14th August 1958 from Sri H.V.Narayana Rao, Chief Engineer, Hydro Electric Construction Projects, Bangalore to Shri S.A.Quadar, Chief Engineer, Electricity, Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad-Deccan.

Subject: Munirabad Power Station - sharing of power between Mysore and Andhra Pradesh and placing under the control of the Tungabhadra Board, as common works.

I wish to invite your kind x reference to copy of D.O. letter No.SET/E5/A3/JI/22-4/1196 dated 28-2-1958 (Annexure 1) from Shri B.N.Somayajulu, Chief Engineer, Electricity, Andhra Pradesh, and to the correspondence ending with your D.O.letter No.SET/E6/A2/22-4/553 dated 22-5-1958 (Annexure 2) and to state as follows:-

I have carefully gone through the note (Annexure 3) prepared by Shri B.R.Somayajulu, claining a share of the power generated on the Left Bank side of the Tungabhadra Dam and in the future development of the canal Stations by Andhra I am herewith noting below my reply to the letters mentioned above.

The two main arguments that have been forwarded by the Chief Engineer, Electricity, Andhra Pradesh, for a share of power are:

1. States Re-organisation Act 1956;
2. Plans of the Government of Hyderabad for the development of power in the Tungabhadra Left Bank.

With regard to item 1, it may be stated that it is not intended by us that there should be any change effected after States Re-organisation in the allocation of power ~~xxx~~ made in the sanctioned estimate and as contemplated in any subsequent decisions of Hyderabad Government after sanction of estimates.

With regard to item 2, viz, the plans of the Government of Hyderabad for the development of power on the Tungabhadra Left Bank, he has produced two reports, (i) prepared by Shri K.Azimuddin in 1938, and (ii) memorandum on Electrical development in Hyderabad State prepared by Mr. Webb and Shri S.A.Quader after discussions with Dr. K.P.P.Menon, in October 1951. It may be stated, in this connection, that these notes and memoranda have been superceded by subsequent reports. In fact, the Planning Commission did not approve of the proposals contained in these two memoranda and did not sanction the Project on this basis. When the Hyderabad Government further pressed for the sanctionof the estimates, a team consisting of Shri S.V.Gadkari and Shri K.P.P.Menon, Members, Ministry of Irrigation and Power, Central Water and Power Commission, were sent by Shri V.T.Krishnamachari of the Planning Commission for a report on the various proposals for power development in Hyderabad. After detailed discussions Shri Gadkari and Shri Menon, have a written a letter (letter No.Z.181[illegible]/2 dated 17th February 1953) to Shri H.Ramlal, Secretary to Government Public Works Department, Hyderabad, a copy of which is enclosed (Annexure 4). The terms of reference as can be seen from that letter to the visiting team were as follows:-

1. Load surveys, with priority on areas proposed to be supplied under the Ramagundum Scheme and Tungabhadra Hydro Scheme and a study of rates;

2. Examination of the Technical, economic and financial aspects of Tungabhadra Hydro Scheme:

.....2

28

3. Examination of the technical, economic and financial aspects of Ramagundam Scheme and its being linked up with the Hyderabad system;

4. Examination of the possibility and extent of supply likely to be available from Madras for the Raichur and Gulbarga Districts with particular reference to capital expenditure, and other economic aspects;

5. To examine future load prospects and to recommend a programme of development for the next 10 years; &

6. Whether Hyderabad Government should proceed side by side with the implementation of Ramagundam Thermal Project and Tungabhadra Hydro Electric Project, or suspend one of them for the time being and proceed with the other.

On the basis of this, the Team examined the Tungabhadra and Ramagundam Projects and have stated as follows:-

"Tungabhadra Hydro Electric Power Station and Ramagundam Thermal Station are situated about 350 miles apart. The power out from the two stations, will, therefore, be utilised for the development of different areas altogether, the former serving the load at Raichur, Gulbarga, Hatti, etc., in the South Western areas of the State, while the latter will serve the collieries at Tandur, Karimnagar, Warrangal, Hyderabad, etc, in the North Eastern areas of the State. We are, therefore, of the opinion that construction of both the Power Stations should be proceeded with simultaneously, if funds permit."

It is also stated in the report as follows:-

" We are aware of the fact, that as an alternative to the above proposal, Hyderabad Chief Engineer has proposed a double circuit 132 KV line from Tungabhadra to Hyderabad for delivering surplus power from Tungabhadra. The economic study of this proposal will be submitted in our final report. Prima-facie, this proposal is not likely to meet with our approval because of the following reasons:-

1) The Tungabhadra Power Project is not yet included in the Five Year Plan and to secure finances for the same will take some time. Load in Hyderabad area need not be held back on this account for the next five years or so;

2) The firm capacity available at Tungabhadra is about 20,000 KW maximum demand, and it may be possible to utilise all these power in the South and South-Western areas of the State during the first 10 years. This would leave extremely little, if any, surplus power to be transmitted to much a long distance with the addition of substantial capital investment.

3) The Ramagundam Thermal Station is almost ready and all the major investment has already been made. There will be a heavy loss if this investment is not utilised as early as a date as possible by selling power from this Station."

This was addressed to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Hyderabad, in February 1953 and therefore supercedes all previous proposals. In fact, it is on this basis that the sanction was communicated for the Tungabhadra Left Bank Hydro Electric Project, both First and

29

Second Stages and transmission of power from Tungabhadra Left Bank Station to Hyderabad was not approved by the Central Water and Power Commission and the Planning Commission. The sanctioned estimate therefore, only contemplates power supply to South-Western portion of the Hyderabad States and this position has been accepted by the Hyderabad State. This is substantiated by the note of Shri S.A.Quader, dated 11-12-1953 (Annexure 5) on the Load Survey Report conducted by Shri Balani, a representative of the Central Water and Power Commission. It is stated by Shri S.A.Quader in his note as follows:

"The total load forecase under the first stage comes to 16,085 kW and is broken up as follows:-

1.	Maximum demand of 30 towns vide Appendix I (Annexure 6) of Second Stage report.	6,735 kW
2.	Maximum demand for cement and sugar factories expected in the Raichur and Gulbarga Districts.	3,000 "
3.	Maximum demand for Lift Irrigation	5,000 "
4.	Additional demand expected for agricultural processes due to increased irrigation facilities in the areas.	1,000 "
5.	Maximum demand under community Project area	300 "
	Total	16,085 "

We will in detail examine all the items mentioned under the load forecase one by one;

1) Maximum demand of 30 towns vide Appendix I enclosed: An examination of this shows that the list contains 6 villages in Andhra area viz, Tandur, Kodangal, Kosigi, Narayanapet, Bashirabad and Maktal (Annexure 7) for which power was contemplated to be supplied when both first and second stage were completed aggregating to 1080 kW. In the first stage, however, only a sub-station at Narayanapet is indicated, which shows that only Naranapet is included in the first stage for a demand of 475 kW. Subsequently, this question was examined when Mysore required the voltage of transmission to be changed from 132 to 110 KV and it was decided that the power generated from Tungabhadra Left Bank was purely meant for the Hyderabad-Karnatak region and therefore, Mysore could be permitted to adopt its own voltage suitable for linking with Mysore system. In view of this, it is clear that even this 475 kW to be supplied to Narayanapet and to the other towns in the second stage does not arise in the circumstances, copy of the Govt. order No.10167/E.K./56/CSP of 19th September 1956, changing the voltage is enclosed (Annexure 8)

2) Maximum demand for cement and Sugar Factories expected in Raichur and Gulbarga Districts.

Shri Quader in para 3 of the note enclosed, has stated "The Hyderabad Construction Company has applied for permission to start a Sugar Factory of 1000 tons capacity near Gangavati. Similarly Shri Walchand Hirachand of Bombay sent a representative to Hyderabad to enquire as to whether power to the extent of about 3,000 kW can be supplied from the Tungabhadra Hydro Electric Project to start a Cement Factory and a Sugar Factory."

It is further substantiated by the statement in para 4 of the same note stating: " About 20,000 acres has been allotted for cultivation of Sugarcane in the above area under the Tungabhadra Project as already mentioned in the report, and now there is a proposal to further increase this acreage. It is, therefore, likely that two Sugar Factories will be started near Gangawati in the future, but for the present it is safe to assume that there will be one Factory. With regard to the Cement Factory Shri Quader has stated: " A thorough investigation was made with regard to the Cement Factory near the site to meet the cement requirements of the Project and it was found that the necessary raw materials were available in adquate quantities in the Munirabad-Gangawati area".

During the discussion with the representative of Shri Walchand Hirachand, it transpired that Shri Walchand is in possession of all relevant date and intends to start a cement factory in this area, in a case power is made available. Their estimated requirements for the Cement and Sugar Factories is 3,000 kW maximum demand."

It is also stated by him as follows:-

"In the load survey report the proposal to start a Textile mill at Chicksugar was taken into account and a maximum demand of 300 kW was included for this purpose. There is now a similar proposal to start another Textile mill of about the same size near Sindhnoor is under consideration and this would represent an additional demand of 300 kW."

From this it is abundantly clear that all the power of 3,000 kW allocated under item 2 is meant purely to be utilised in the area which now forms part of Mysore.

3) Maximum demand for Lift Irrigation: In the note of Shri Quader, it is stated as follows:-

"About 18,000 persons belonging to village submerged under the Tungabhadra Reservoir have been rehabilitated along the fore-shore of the Reservoir. These persons belong mostly to the agricultural class and the Development Department is allotting about 20,000 acres for wet cultivation in the rehabilitated village. Since the area is outside the command of the Canal, arrangements have to be made for Lift Irrigation of the 20,000 acres. On the basis of 1 kW per 3acres, as assumed on page 39 of load survey report for the Ramagundam area, the power requirements for this Lift Irrigation would be about 6,000 kW.

In the Raichur District, certain areas were under wet cultivation from old anicuts on the Krishna River a long time back. These irrigation works are in an abandoned condition and it is now proposed to provide water for irrigation by pumping ~~and it is~~ directly from the River, when power is available from the Tungabhadra Project. Further, there are some tracts along the banks of the Bhima river in the Gulbarga District, which are suitable for wet cultivation, and Lift Irrigation can be provided in the area, once power is available from the Tungabhadra Project".

From this it can be seen that the maximum demand for Lift Irrigation of 5,000 kW is to be utilised entirely in the present Mysore area.

4) Additional demand expected for agricultural processes due to increased irrigation facilities in the area: This is a corollary to item No.2 and therefore, has to be utilised in Mysore area only.

5) Maximum demand under Community Project area: The maximum demand under Community Project area of 300 kW is meant for the two community projects, now situated entirely in Mysore State."

It can be seen, therefore that from a detailed examination of the proposals, which led to the sanction of the Tungabhadra First and Second Stages, indicate that no power is to be allocated to areas which are now in Andhra State, and all the power has to be utilised in the present Mysore State. Therefore, the question of sharing of power between Mysore and Andhra and placing the Munirabad Power Station under the control of the Tungabhadra Board does not arise.

The second issue raised is with regard to the future development of power on the sites of Shivapur Drop and Thirumalapur. The estimates for these are not sanctioned nor are detailed investigations yet made. The claim for a share of power on the basis of a mere survey when the Projects have not been sanctioned is rather a strange one. Under this analogy even Madras State can now claim a share of power from all investigations done by them in the present Andhra area prior to the formation of the Andhra State. Any power potential within the present Mysore area for which estimates are yet to be sanctioned, after detailed investigations is the sole property of Mysore State and no other State can lay a claim on this on mere grounds of a survey having been made by them.

In conclusion, I am noting below the final position after examining the sanctioned estimates, Project reports and the relative records:

An examination of the relative records clearly show that Andhra can claim no share of the power from the sanctioned First and Second Stage Schemes of the Tungabhadra Left Bank and the sole right of generating and utilising further power from the schemes, yet to be sanctioned, rests entirely with Mysore.

Sd/-H.V.Narayana Rao,
Chief Engineer, Hydro Electric
Construction Projects.

COPY

(S.G.Balekundry)
Officer on Special Duty,
Public Works Department.

Copy of letter No.Z-1811(2)dated 17th February 1953 from Syts S.A.Gadkary and K.P.P.Menon, Members, Ministry of Irrigation and Power Central Water and Power Commission (Power Wing) New Delhi to Shri H.Ram Lall, Secretary to Government, P.W.D. Hyderabad Dn.

==

Subject: Power Development in Hyderabad.

In response to the request contained in your Chief Minister's letter dated the 15th December 1953, to Shri V.T. Krishnamachari of the Planning Commission, we visited Hyderabad in January and during our stay there from the 3rd till the 13th January we inspected the power system in the Hyderabad city and the works in progress at Tungabhadra Nizamsagar and Ramagundam. We also held important technical discussions with the Chief Elec. Engineer and the Hydro Electric Adviser. We also had discussion with the Chief Minister the Minister for Development, the Minister for Public Works and the Minister for Finance. During the course of our stay, we were able to gather a great deal of information on the technical features of the various projects now under consideration and implementation. We also requested the Chief Engineer concerned to let us have further date to enable us to complete our studies with a view to advise the Hyderabad Government on the following matters, which were covered by the terms of reference mentioned in the Ministers letter referred to above.

TERMS OF REFERENCE.

1. Load Surveys, with priority on areas proposed to be supplied under the Ramagundam Scheme & Tungabhadra Hydro Scheme and a study of rate.

2. Examination of the technical, economic and financial aspects of Tungabhadra Hydro Scheme;

3. Examination of the Technical, economic and Financial aspects of Ramagundam Scheme and its being linked up with the Hyderabad system;

4. Examination of the possibility and extent of supply likely to be available from Madras for the Raichur and Gulbarga Districts with particular reference to capital expenditure, and other economic aspects.

5. To examine future load prospects and to recommend a programme of development for the next 10 years; &

6. Whether Hyderabad Government should proceed, side by side with the implementation of Ramagundam Thermal Project and Tungabhadra Hydro Elec. Project, or suspend one of them for the time being and proceed with the other.

You will appreciate that we will have to spend some considerable time before we are in a position to forward our detailed reports on each of the items referred to above. However, we feel that some interim report is called for, to enable the Hyderabad Government to take some policy decisions in regard to the power development projects in the State. We are therefore recording below our preliminary recommendations.

TUNGABHADRA AND RAMAGUNDAM PROJECTS

Tungabhadra Hydro Electric Power Station and Ramagundam Station are situated about 350 miles apart. The power out from the two power stations will, therefore, be utilised for the development of different areas altogether, the former serving the load at Raichur, Gulbarga, Hatti etc, in the South-Western areas of the State, while the later will serve the collieries at Tandur, Karimnagar, Warrangal, Hyderabad etc. in the North Eastern areas of the State. We are, therefore, of the opinion that construction of both the power stations should be proceeded with simultaneously, if funds permit. In arriving at this conclusion, we have taken note of the fact that the Madras Government will not be able to spare any power from their side of the Tungabhadra Project and for the supply to the important centres like Raichur, Gulbarga and Hatti and their neighbouring areas. Hyderabad will have to depend on its own development. The position was somewhat different some months ago, when it was our understanding that for the development of these areas in Hyderabad, a start could be made by taking a bulk supply from the Madras Station. But recently, in the course of our discussions with Madras Engineers we were definitely made to understand that Madras will require all that power which they are going to generate for use in development of the backward areas noteably Rayalseema and this has now been confirmed by them in writing in reply to our specific enquiry arising out of item (4) of the terms of reference mentioned above. The development of power at Tungabhadra on the Hyderabad also has, therefore, become very imminent now.

TUNGABHADRA PROJECT.

The Tungabhadra Dam with necessary appurtenent works is already in a very advanced stage of construction. Till such time as power is not generated the dam, all the working expenses (including interest and depreciation) will have to be borne by 'Irrigation'. When power is generated, it should pay for the cost of water used on certain basis. One ~~per kW~~ such recognised basis would be to fix water charges per kW of maximum demand per year, to be borne by power development and to credit to 'Irrigation'. This would make the irrigation project also more productive and ensure the maximum return on the investment already made. The dam having been already constructed, the only cost needed for power generation now will be on account of the power house, power plant etc.

The firm power available at the dam site at 100% load factor is 12000 kW at an average head of 60 ft. corresponding to a continuous draw off of 3000 cusecs for irrigation requirement of about 20,000 KW on a daily load factor of 60%. The maximum out put at the dam site is limited by the capacity of the tailrace channel which has been designed and constructed for 7000 cusecs. With the limitation the very maximum peak demand, that can be met with a maximum head of 75 ft. will be about 36,000 kW and on normal minimum head about 18,000 KW.

Before we proceed to indicate the size of the generating unit, it is desirable to discuss certain relevant points raised by the Chief Eng. Hyder Elec. Projects. We are told that the most economical was to bye-pass water for irrigation would be to use valves and energy dissipaters discharging water from the spiral casing of each unit. This synchronous bye-pass arrangement has been introduced in the drawings prepared by the Hydro Elec. Dept. This proposal has been made on the assumption that

all the openings provided in the dam will be required for power generation, and therefore no bye-passing of water for irrigation is possible when all the units are installed. There is however, a clear possibility of the units from 7500 KW to 9000 KW the space available for installing each unit is shown on the drawings as 39 ft. This space is considered enough for 9000 KW unit with a design head of 30 ft. as required. This propos l would avoid complications enacted with the foundations due to vibration on the operation of the snyachronous bye pass would save expenditure and would also release two sluice openings in the main dam for a permanent bye passing annually operated as and when required. Each such opening is designed for 3800 cusecs discharge at minimum head, and therefore not more than two such openings will ever be required for 7000 cusecs discharge, the maximum designed capacity of tailrace channel.

The remaining 8 openings will serve four generating units. Three such units would give 27,000 kW maximum demand, and therefore would be quite ample for using all the primary power at the usual anticipated load factor and would still provide a margin of the order of 7000 to 10,000 KW for secondary power when additional water is available. The fourth unit will serve as spare.

As for secondary power to be generated at the dam site, the firm power capacity being limited to 20,000 kW at 6-2/3% factor or 17,000 KW at 70% load factor, the maximum secondary power out put capacity that would thus be available would be of the order of 16,000 maximum demand. It is not seriously contended that there would be any demand for secondary power for several years to come. Its advantages will only appear when there is an interconnection with some Thermal station. Even in this case, the cost of interconnection and transmission losses etc, will have to be taken into account, to evaluate correctly the advantage gained in saving any coal. It is only when the water is in excess during the wet season and some demand for seconday power for saving coal costs is felt, that the spare unit would have to be put into commission during such a short interval and it is only then that there would be no spare machine available at the dam site. Considering the limitation on its use, additional spare capacity is not necessary at the dam site. As such future developments can be taken care of, at some power house, by providing excess spare capacity if and when required. Such additional cost can then be justified by the load already developed.

Though the intial generation will have some direct bearing on the load survey that we are undertaing, the preliminary indication is that only two sets of 9000 KW need be ordered initially, while further additions could be made dependant on future load requirements.

It is very necessary to make a systematic study of the potential load in the area that can be immediately converted by the Project. For this purpose we have sent one of our experienced senior officer to Hyderabad with instructions to complete the study based on the information already collected by the Hyderabad Eng. to be supplemented by his own investigation and judgment arising out of his previous experience. In order to indicate next ten years development such a load survey study for all the areas covered by the different projects will be necessary. This is being attended to as indicated above.

As for transmission line details, they could only be finalised when the load survey study is completed.

.....4

Technical details and designs for generating station and transmission system can be taken in hand by the Hyderabad Eng. in collaboration and consultation with us.

RAMAGUNDAM THERMAL STATION.

The effective capacity of this station is 25,000 kW against the total installed capacity of three sets, each of 12,500 KW. Some power from this station will be utilised at Tandur collieries, and other places like Karimnagar, Warrangal, etc. It appears that even after feeding all these areas, surplus power to the extent of 12,000 to 15,000 KW would be available. This we proposed to utilise in Hyderabad area.

The present maximum demand of Husainsagar (Hyderabad) Thermal station is about 11,000 KW and the effective capacity of this station is about 13,000 KW. The annual rate of load growth in Hyderabad Secunderabad area has been forecast by the Hyderabad Engineers at about 1500 KW. The Husainsagar /Nizamsagar Hydro Station which is already under construction. A 66 KW double circuit line from Nizamsagar to Hyderabad has also been erected. As the firm capacity available at Nizamsagar is only 3000 KW or even less this interconnection would hardly afford any relief for the period in mind. The main advantage of this interconnection will be the saving of coal at the Husainsagar thermal station. It is, therefore apparent that some other source of power is required for meeting the power demands at Hyderabad, for the next ten years. This should be done by delivering the Ramagundam power in this area by providing 66 KV/ The alignment of the line with its capacity etc. will be indicated after the result of the load survey studies are known.

/Thermal station is now proposed to be interconnected with the

/lines

We are aware of the fact that as an alternative to the above proposal, Hyderabad Chief Engineer has proposed a double circuit 132 KW line from Tungabhadra to Hyderabad for delivering surplus power from Tungabhadra. The economic study of this proposal will be submitted in our final report. Prima facie, this proposal is not likely to meet with our approval because of the following reasons.

1) The Tungabhadra power project is not yet included in 5 year plan and to secure finances for the same will take some time. Load in Hyderabad area need not be held back on this account for the next 5 years or so.

2) The firm capacity available at Tungabhadra is about 20,000 KW maximum demand, and it may be possible to utilise all this power in the South and South Western areas of the State during the first 10 years . This would leave extremely little , if any, surplus power to be transmitted to such a long distance, with the addition of substantial capital investment.

3) The Ramagundam Thermal station is almost ready and all the major investment has already been made. There will be a heavy loss if this investment is not utilised at an as early date as possible by selling power from this Station.

The technical details about the various transmission systems interconnections etc, can be finalised when the load survey report is ready, and the Hyderabad Eng. should undertake the same in consultation with us.

One important point to which, however, we would like to draw your specific attention is that arrangements for supply of cooling water to the Ramagundam Thermal Station have not yet been taken in hand, though the other works are nearing completion. It is important that this work, which is estimated by the Hyderabad Eng. to cost Rs.15.86 lakhs, should be started without any further delay and completed well in time, so that commissioning of the generating sets is not delayed on this account. Unless this is done, all the investments made on other works will not earn any revenue, while at the same time, it will add to the financial burden in the form of accumulated interest charges etc. The transmission line and grid substations associated with this power house can be completed within the period available and we shall be pleased to expedite our recommendation on the same.

LOAD TARIFF

One of the important items that was referred during our discussions with the Hyderabad Engineer, was in regard to the studies of the tariff both in the Hyderabad City and in the system served by the District Power Schemes. In view of its importance we has already deputed an officer who has now completed his studies on the subject. His report is with us now, and after our scrutiny we shall be able to advice the Hyderabad Govt. during the next fortnight on the revision of the existing tariff by formulating proposals for a rate structure which will be both rational and at the same time will take into account the promotional and financial aspects.

Though the above projects would cover considerable portion of the State, the only area where there will be no immediate prospects of power supply on a reasonable scale will be the north west region. We are told that there are known possibilities for power development in this area. It might be desirable to take early steps for making detailed investigations of such projects so that this vast area of the State may not be completely left out.

We are sending this note for your interim information and for such action as the Hyderabad Government thinks best. Before we conclude, we would like to convey to the Hyderabad Government our sincere thanks for the facilities that were made available to us for our studies and for the courtesies extended to us by every one with whom we came in contact in connection with our visit.

Copy to :- 1) Planning commission (Member ER) in constitution to our U.O.No.S-1866(2) dated 17-12-52.
2) Ministry of Irrigation & Power with a spare copy for transmission to the Ministry of States in continuation of our U.O.Z.1866(2) dated 17-12-1952

'Copy'

(S.G.Balekundry)
Officer on Special Duty,
Public Works Department.

App. F. IX—4 195 . File No.

( )

Previous References. Serial Nos.

Later References.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos.

Part B for

SUBJECT

WD 0142—GPB—50,000—10-6-57

38

DEPARTMENT OF INFORMATION.

Name of Paper: Hindu

Date of Publication: 22.3.58

Date of Submission:

1)

TUNGABHADRA CANAL RECORDS

HYDERABAD, March 19.

Mr. J. V. Narasing Rao, Minister for Irrigation, said in the Andhra Pradesh Assembly to-day at question time that the records concerning the low-level canal on the left side of the Tungabhadra Project were transferred to Mysore State.

Replying to supplementaries arising out of this interpellation put by Mr. Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya (Ind.) the Minister said that at the time of the integration, the records were in the custody of a Superintending Engineer, who was allotted to Mysore and the records had gone with him. The point was raised when he and the Chief Minister met the Mysore Chief Minister in October last at Tungabhadra.

The Government had been able to trace the record so far as Andhra's share of power was concerned in the Planning Commission and he was told that Andhra had a right to get 35 per cent of the power. In regard to irrigation, about 70,000 acres were to be irrigated on the Andhra side in Alampur and Gadwal. But, the concerned record had not been found so far.

The Irrigation Minister disclosed that the Government of India was examining the general question of the set-up of controlling the construction of various major projects and any decision on the subject would be taken on an all-India level.

The Minister replied in the negative to a question by Mr. S. Kasi Reddi (Communist) whether Government proposed to abolish the project control boards. He added that no such advice was received from the Government of India.—FOC.

A.

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR PUBLIC WORKS
No. F429
24 MAR 1958
BANGALORE

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
No 65664
24 MAR 1958
PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTT.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
No
25 MAR 1958
PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTT.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO. 2573
26 MAR 1958
PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH

2) The relevant ruling is published

24/3

3191.

3) for perusal. It is not known on what material the statement said at A above is said to have been made by the AP Minister in the Assembly. Was actually made. The actual position will be ascertained from CE MIN and CE WRP.

Min: PW 25/3 24/3/58 25/3

1) Secretary to Hon'ble Minister

2) Secretary to Hon'ble Minister

4) Secretary to Hon'ble Minister

5) Secretary to Hon'ble Minister

6) Attention of MIN & CE WRP

News item re: TBP Records 2573/MI

39

8) Ref: paras 6-7 overleaf. Draft and fair of a letter submd. for appr.

27/3/58 28/3/58

9) Issue

29/3

10) Issued NC 29/3/58

Letter No. D.O.44 dated 8.4.58 from the Ch. Engr. HECP forwards a report.

11) Order on the letter:-

"References"

(Initials) D.K.S. 8/4
" D.S.R 9.4
"xxx" " K.B.N 9.4.

12) With reference to the above orders the relevant file is submitted. The CE's report at pages 3 to 18 C.F. is for perusal with reference to the notes in paras 1-5 overleaf. The report of the CE HECP clearly indicates why the claims of Andhra for control over or for a share of power from the T.B.P. Hydro Electric Scheme (Munirabad side) have to be resisted. A similar report in respect of the irrigation portion of the T.B.P. Munirabad side, called for from the CE MIW is awaited. This may

TBP (Municipal Side) — Records relating to the — News Item

Mysore Government Secretariat | 2573/2947 File No. 3101. of 195 | [App. F. III 2

Serial No.

be submitted to the Secy. PWD. for perusal and orders.

9/4/58. 10/4/58

13) For perusal

10/4

14) 12/4/58

15) CE(N)'s report yet awaited. Probably there is already material here as to journey benefits under irrigation as otherwise side. verify & if it is available, put up. otherwise, remind CE

15/4

16) 15/4

17) 16/4

Letter No. W/307/343/1/75 dated 11.4.58 from the CE(N) forwards a report.

18) With reference to para 15 it is submitted that the report of the CE(N) has since been received and placed below at pages 19-21 C.F. This may now be resubmitted to the Secy PWD for perusal and orders.

16/4/58 17/4/58

23/4

No action to be taken 25/4

24/4/58

26/4 28/4

Note.—Contn. Sheet

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT No. 779-5/1 14 APR 1958 PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTT.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT No. 25 APR 1958 PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPTT.

TBP (Munirabad Side) — Records relating to the — News Item.

File No.	of 195 .	Mysore Government Secretariat	
		Serial No.	

WD 01774—GPB—PZS—300 000—26-10-57

2573
MI

1

K.B.MURARAPPA, B.E.,
Under Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

Mysore Government Secretariat,
Vidhanasoudha,
Bangalore dated 29th ~~March~~ April 58.

D.O.No PWMI - 3496-95

Immediate

Dear Sir,

SUB: Tungabhadra Project - Munirabad side,
Records relating to the.

====

I am desired to forward herewith an extract from a news item appearing in the 'HINDU' of the 22nd March 1958 regarding the above subject. In this connection I am state that it is not known on what material the statement contained in para 3 of the news item, ~~is~~ said to have been made by the Minister for Irrigation & Power, of the Government of Andhra Pradesh, in the Andhrapradesh Assembly, was actually made. I am therefore to request you kindly to examine the actual position and to furnish a clear report for the information of ~~the~~ Government immediately.

This may please be treated as most urgent.

Yours sincerely,

O/c. 29/3/58

To

1) Shri T.S.Murthy, C.E.(Mad) B.Sc.(Queens) MIE (Ind).
Chief Engineer, Major Irrigation (North),
Munirabad.

2) Chief Engineer, H.E.C.P. (by name)

27/3/58

27/3/58. 28/3/58

EXTRACT FROM 'HINDU' DATED 22-3-1958.

========

Tungabhadra Canal Records:

Hyderabad, March 19.

Mr. J.V. Narasing Rao, Minister for Irrigati n, said in the Andhra Pradesh Assembly to-day at question time that the records concerning the low-level canal on the left side of the Tungabhadra Project were transferred to Mysore State.

Replying to supplementaries arising out of this interpellation put by Mr. Vavilala Gopalakrishnayya (Ind.) the Minister said that at the time of the integration the records were in the custody of a Superintending Engineer who was allotted to Mysore and the records had gone with him. The point was raised when he and the Chief Minister met the Mysore Chief Minister in October last at Tungabhadra.

The Government had been able to trace the record so far as Andhra's share of power was concerned ~~and~~ in the Planning Commission and he was told that Andhra had a right to get 35 per cent of the power. In regard to irrigation, about 70,000 acres were to irrigated on the Andhra side in Alampur and Gadwal. But the concerned record had not been found so far.

The Irrigation Minister disclosed that the Government of India was examining the general question of the set-up of controlling the construction of various major projects and any decision on the subject would be taken on an all India level.

The Minister replied in the negative to a question by Mr. S.Kasi Reddi (Communist) whether Government proposed to abolish the project control boards. He added that no such advice was received from the Government of India.

"Copy"

29/3

Telegrams: "GOMED"

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

Telephone No. {Office: 3 5457 / Residence: 5641

SHRI H. V. NARAYANA RAO, B.SC. (Mys.), B.SC. (Lond.), M.I.E.,
Chief Engineer.

OFFICE OF THE
CHIEF ENGINEER, HYDRO-ELECTRIC
CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS,
POST BAG NO. 15,
Bangalore-1, (S. India)

SECRETARIAT TO GOVT. NO. 9 APR 1958 PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC. DEPT.

D.O. No. 44

7th April 1958.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT NO. 2947 9 APR 1958 PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH

Dear Shri Srinivasachar,

Sub: Tungabhadra Project - Munirabad side
Records relating to the.

With reference to D.O. letter No. PWMI 3494-95 dated 2nd April 1958 of the Under Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, on the above subject, I write to state that the concerned records have been examined and I am noting below the actual position:

The generation of power on the Left Bank of Tungabhadra at Munirabad was contemplated by the erstwhile Hyderabad Government. There was considerable pressure from Hyderabad that this Project should be included in the First Five Year Plan. After a great deal of correspondence, the Central Water and Power Commission, deputed Shri S.A. Gadkary and Shri K.P.P. Menon to examine this question under the following terms of reference:

1. Load surveys, with priority on areas proposed to be supplied under the Ramagundam Scheme and Tungabhadra Hydro Scheme and a study of rates;

2. Examination of the technical, economic and financial aspects of Tungabhadra Hydro Scheme;

3. Examination of the Technical, economic and financial aspects of Ramagundam Scheme and its being linked up with the Hyderabad system;

4. Examination of the possibility and extent of supply likely to be available from Madras for the Raichur and Gulbarga Districts with particular reference to capital expenditure, and other economic aspects;

5. To examine future load prospects and to recommend a programme of development for the next 10 years; and

6. Whether Hyderabad Government should proceed, side by side with the implementation of Ramagundam Thermal Project and Tungabhadra Hydro-Electric Project, or suspend one of them for the time being and proceed with the other.

They examined the position in detail and finally stated that "Tungabhadra Hydro-Electric Power Station and Ramagundam Thermal Station are situated about 350 miles apart. The power out from the two power stations will, therefore, be utilised for the development of different areas

24

altogether, the former serving the load at Raichur, Gulbarga, Hatti, etc., in the South and the South-Western areas of the State, while the latter will serve the collieries at Tandur, Karimanagar, Warrangal, Hyderabad, etc., in the North-Eastern areas of the State". Copy of the letter to Shri H. Ram Lal, Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, Hyderabad - Deccan, addressed from Shri S.A.Gadkary and Shri K.P.P. Menon, is enclosed herewith as Annexure I.

Also, it has been definitely stated by them in the above letter that the firm capacity available at Tungabhadra is about 20,000 KW. demand, and it may be possible to utilise all this power in the South and South-Western areas of the State during the first 10 years and this would leave extremely little surplus power to be transmitted to such a long distance to Hyderabad with such substantial capital investment.

From the above it can be seen that the question of transmitting power from Tungabhadra Left Bank Station to Hyderabad was not approved by the Central Water and Power Commission and the Planning Commission. The sanctioned estimate, therefore, only contemplated power supply to the South-Western portion of the Hyderabad State and this position has been accepted by the Hyderabad State. This is substantiated by the note of Shri S.A. Quader, on the load survey report conducted by a representative of the Central Water and Power Commission, Shri Balani (Annexure II).

The total load forecast under the First Stage comes to 16,085 KW and is broken up as follows:-

1. Maximum demand of 30 tons vide Appendix I of Second Stage Report.	..	6,785 KW
2. Maximum demand for Cement and Sugar Factories expected in the Raichur and Gulburga Districts.	..	3,000 KW
3. Maximum demand for Lift Irrigation	..	5,000 KW
4. Additional Demand expected for agricultural processes due to increased irrigation facilities in the area.	..	1,000 KW
5. Maximum demand under Community Project area	..	300 KW
Total	..	16,085 KW

contd.,

An examination of item 1 shows that the list contains 5 villages in Andhra area, viz., Tandur, Kodangal, Kosigi, Narayanapet and Maktal, for which power was contemplated to be supplied when both first and second stages were completed aggregating to 975 KW. However, in the first stage only a sub-station at Narayanapet is indicated, which shows that only Narayanapet is included in the First Stage for a demand of 475 KW. Subsequently, the Government of Hyderabad examined this question when Mysore required the voltage of transmission to be changed from 132 to 110 KV and decided that power generated from Tungabhadra Left Bank Project was purely meant for the Hyderabad Karnatak region and therefore Mysore could be permitted to adopt its own voltage suitable for linking with Mysore system, vide Minister's note enclosed (Annexure III). It is therefore clear that even this 475 KW to be supplied to Narayanapet does not arise under the circumstances.

Regarding item 2, it is clearly stated that Shri Quader's note (Annexure II) that the Cement and Sugar Factories are expected to come near Gangavathi which is now in Mysore.

Regarding item 3, it is also stated in his note that "about 18,000 persons belonging to villages submerged under the Tungabhadra Reservoir have been rehabilitated along the fore-shore of the Reservoir. These persons belong mostly to the agricultural class and the Development Department is allotting about 20,000 acres for wet cultivation in the rehabilitated villages. Since the area is outside the command of the canal, arrangements have to be made for lift irrigation of the 20,000 acres. On the basis of 1 KW per 3 acres, as assumed on Page 39 of the load survey report for the Ramagundam area, the power requirements for this lift irrigation would be about 6,000 KW." It can be seen, therefore, from the above note of the Chief Engineer of Hyderabad himself that all these power earmarked for Lift Irrigation is to be allotted to the above persons, who are now situated in Mysore area.

Item 4:- This is a corollary of items 2 and 3 and has to be used therefore in Mysore area only.

contd..

6

Item 5: The maximum demand for 300 KW under Community Project area is also meant for the two Community Projects now situated entirely in Mysore State. It can therefore be seen that all the power that is generated under the First Stage of the Tungabhadra Left Bank Hydro-Electric Scheme is to be utilised in Mysore and no power can be claimed by Andhra.

In the Second Stage when an additional capacity of 9000 KW is added also, the claim of Andhra for 975 KW of power for the 5 villages including Narayanapet and Maktal cannot be accepted as the Government of Hyderabad decided that power should be utilised purely in Hyderabad-Karnatak area.

There is also a claim from Hyderabad that when the third stage of development, viz., the development of the Canal Stations have to be taken up, Andhra is entitled to a share of power as it was contemplated that these Stations would be connected to Hyderabad.. The estimate is not sanctioned nor are detailed investigations yet made. The claim of power on a mere survey without any sanction is rather a strange one. Under this analogy, Madras would claim a share of power from all investigations done by them in the Andhra area prior to the formation of the Andhra State. Any development that has not been sanctioned in the area that is transferred is the sole right of Mysore Government unless the Project has already been sanctioned and a portion of the power specifically to an area decided ~~with the region~~.

In this connection, I may also state that I have received a communication from the Chief Engineer, Andhra Pradesh (Annexure IV) claiming not only the power but also a control in the works on Tungabhadra Left Bank. I am studying his note and I will answer his note point by point and a draft will be sent to Government before the issue of a reply to the Chief Engineer, Andhra Pradesh as this question would inevitably crop up at higher levels like the Secretaries Conference and the Chief Ministers Conference at a later date.

Yours sincerely,

H Narayanadas
8/4

Shri D.K. Srinivasachar, I.A.S.,
Secretary to Government,
Public Works & Electrical Department
BANGALORE.

ANNEXURE I.

7

COPY of letter No.Z-1811(2) dated 17th February 1953 from Syts: S.A.Gadkary & K.P.P.Menon, Members, Ministry of Irrigation & Power, Central Water & Power Commission(Power Wing) New Delhi to Shri H. Ram Lall, Secretary to Government, PWD, Hyderabad-Dn.

Sub: Power Development in Hyderabad.

In response to the request contained in your Chief Minister's letter dated the 15th Dec.1953, to Shri V.T.Krishnamachari of the Planning Commission, we visited Hyderabad in Jany, and during our stay there from the 3rd till the 13th Jan., we inspected the power system in the Hyderabad city and the works in progress at Tungabhadra, Nizamsagar and Ramagundam. We also held important technical discussions with the Chief Elec.Engineer and the Hydro-Electric Adviser,. We also had discussion with the Chief Minister, the Minister for Development, the Minister for Public Works and the Minister for Finance. During the course of our stay, we were able to gather a great deal of information on the technical features of the various projects now under consideration and implementation. We also requested the Chief Eng. concerned to let us have further date to enable us to complete our studies with a view to advise the Hyderabad Govt on the following matters, which were covered by the terms of reference mentioned in the Ministers letter referred to above.

TERMS OF REFERENCE:

1 Load surveys, with priority on areas proposed to be supplied under the Ramagundam Scheme & Tungabhadra Hydro Scheme and a study of rates

2 Examination of the technical, economic and financial aspects of Tungabhadra Hydro Scheme;

3 Examination of the Tehcnical, economic and financial aspects of Ramagundam Scheme and its being linked up with the Hyderabad system;

4 Examination of the possibility and extent of supply likely to be available from Madras for the Raichur and Gulbarga Districts with particular reference to capital expenditure, and other economic aspects.

5 To examine future load prospects and to recommend a programme of devebpment for the next 10 years; and

6 Whether Hyderabad Govt. should proceed, side by side with the implementation of Ramagundam Thermal Project~~s~~ and Tungabhadra-Hydro Elec. Project, or suspend one of them for the time being and proceed with the other.

You will appreciate that we will have to spend some considerable time before we are in a position to forward our detailed reports on each of the items referred to above. However, we feel that some interim report is called for, to enable the Hyderabad Govt. to take some policy decisions in regard to the power development projects in the State. We there, recording below our preliminary recommendations.

TUNGABHADRA & RAMAGUNDAM PROJECTS:

Tungabhadra Hydro-Electric Power Station and Ramagundam Thermal station are situated about 350 miles apart. The power out from the two power stations will, therefore, be utilised for the development of different areas altogether, the former serving the load at Raichur, Gulbarga, Hatti etc; in the South-Western areas of the State, while the later will serve the collieries at Tandur, Karimangar, Warrangal, Hyderabad, etc; in the North-Eastern areas of the State. We are, therefore, of the opinion that construction of both the power stations should be proceeded with simultaneously, if funds permit. In arriving at this conclusion, we have taken note of the fact that the Madras Govt. will not be able to spare any power from their side of the Tungabhadra

Contd.

Enclosure 1.

DO.F of letter No.I-1811(C) dated 17th February, 1953 from Lt.
Col.A.Gadkary G.....Mason, Member, Ministry of Irrigation & Power,
Central Water & Power Commission(Power Wing) New Delhi to Shri V.
Ram Lall, Secretary to Government, P.D. Hyderabad-Dn.

Hydro Power Development in Hyderabad.

In response to the request contained in Your Chief Minister's letter dated the 15th Dec.1952, to Shri K.T.Krishnamachari of the Planning Commission, we visited Hyderabad in July, and during our stay there from the 3rd till the 13th Aug., we inspected the power system in the Hyderabad city and the works in progress at Tungabhadra, Nizamsagar and Ramagunda. We also held important technical discussions with the Chief Engineer and the Hydro-Electric Adviser. We also had discussion with the Chief Minister, the Minister for Development, the Minister for Public Works and the Minister for Finance. During the course of our stay, we were able to gather a great deal of information on the technical features of the various projects now under consideration and implementation. We also received the Chief Engg. concerned to let us have further data to enable us to complete our studies with a view to advise the Hyderabad Govt on the following matters, which were covered by the terms of reference mentioned in the Hyderabad letter referred to above.

TERMS OF REFERENCE:

1 Load survey, with priority on areas proposed to be supplied under the Ramagundam scheme & Tungabhadra H.E. Scheme and a study of rate

2 Examination of the technical, economic and financial aspects of Tungabhadra Hydro Scheme;

3 Examination of the Technical, economic and financial aspects of Ramagundam Scheme and its being linked up with the Hyderabad system;

4 Examination of the possibility and extent of any Power likely to be available from Nagarjuna Sagar and Tungabhadra Projects with particular reference to capital expenditure, and other economic aspects.

5 To examine future load prospects and to recommend a programme of development for the next 10 years; and

6 Whether Hyderabad Govt. should proceed, side by side with the implementation of Ramagundam Thermal project and Tungabhadra-Hydro Elec. Project, or suspend one of them for the time being and proceed with the other.

You will appreciate that we will have to spend some considerable time before we are in a position to forward our detailed reports on each of the items referred to above. However, we feel that some interim report is called for, to enable the Hyderabad Govt. to take some policy decisions in regard to the power development projects in the State. We here, recording below our preliminary recommendations.

TUNGABHADRA & NIZAMSAGAR PROJECTS:

Tungabhadra Hydro-Electric Power Station and Ramagundam Thermal station are situated about 250 miles apart. The power out from the two power stations will, therefore, be utilised for the development of different areas although, the former serving the local at Kalburgi, Raichur, Bellary in the South-Eastern areas of the State, while the later will serve the collieries at Tandur, Kothagudem, Karangal, Hyderabad, etc: in the North-Eastern areas of the State. We are, therefore, of the opinion that construct on of both the power stations should be proceeded with as expeditiously in funds permit. Arriving at this conclusion, we have taken note of the fact that the Govt. will now be able to spare any power from their share of the Tungabhadra

Contd.

Project and for the supply to the important centres like Raichur, Gulbarga and Hatti and their neighbouring areas. Hyderabad will have to depend on its own development. The ~~pse~~ position was somewhat different some months ago, when it was our understanding that for the development of these areas in Hyderabad, a start could be made by taking a bulk supply from the Madras Station. But, recently, in the course of our discussions with Madras Eng. we were definitely made to understand that Madras will require all that power which they are going to generate for use in development the backward areas notably ~~r~~ Rayalaseema, and this has now been confirmed by them in writing in reply to our specific enquiry arising out of Item (4) of the terms of reference mentioned above. The development of power at Tungabhadra on the Hyderabad also has, therefore, become very immiment now.

TUNGABHADRA PROJECT:

The Tungabhadra Dam with necessary appurtenanet works is already in a very advanced stage of construction. Till such time as power is not generated the dam, all the working expenses (~~ei~~including interest and depreciation) will have to be borne by 'Irrigation'. When power is generated, it should pay for the cost of water used on certain basis,. One such recognised basis would be to fix water charges, per Kw of maximum demand per year, to be borne by power development and to credited to 'Irrigation'. This would make the irrigation Project also more productive and ensure the maximum return on the investment already made. The dam having been already constructed, the only cost needed for power generation now will be on account of the power house, power plant etc.

The firm power available at the dam site at 100% load factor is 12000 Kw at an average head of 60 ft, corresponding to a continuous draw-off of 3000 cusecs for irrigation requirement or about 20,000 Kw on a daily load factor of 60%. The maximum output at the dam site is limited by the capacity of the tailrace channel which has been designed and constructed for 7000 cusecs. With the limitation, the very maximum peak demand, that can be met with a maximum head of 75 ft, will be about 36000 Kw and on normal minimum head, about 18,000 kw.

Before we proceed to indicate the size of the generating unit, it is desirable to discuss certain relevant points raised by the Chief. Eng. Hydro-Elec. Projects. We are told that the most economical way to bye-pass water for irrigation would be to use valves and energy dissipaters discharging water from the spiral casing of each unit. This synchronous bye-pass arrangement has been introduced in the drawings prepared by the Hydro-Elec; Deptt. This proposal has been made on the assumption that all the openings provided in the dam will be required for power generation, and therefore no bye-passing of water for irrigation is possible when all the units are installed. There is however, a clear possibility of the units from 7500 Kw to 9000 Kw. the space available for installing each unit is shown on the drawings ~~at~~ as 39 ft. This space is considered enough for a 9000 Kw unit with a design head of 60 ft, as required. This proposal would avoid complications enacted with the foundations due to vibration on the operation of the synchronous bye pass would save expenditure, and would also release two sluice openings in the main dam for a permanent bye pass manually operated as and when required. Each such opening is designed for 3800 cusecs discharge at minimum head, and therefore not more than two such openings will ever be required for 7000 cusecs discharge. The maximum designed capacity of tailrace channel.

The remaining 8 openings will then serve four generating units. Three such units would give 27000 Kw maximum demand, and therefore would be quite ample ~~o~~ for using all the primary power at the usual anticipated load factor and would still provide a margin of the order of 7000 to 10000 Kw for secondary power when additional water is available. The fourth unit will serve as spare.

As for secondary power to be generated at the dam site, the firm power capacity being limited to 20000 Kw at 6-2/3% factor or 17000 Kw at 70% load factor, the maximum secondary power out put capacity that would thus be available would be of the order of 16000 Kw maximum demand. It is not seriously contended that there would be any demand for secondary power for several years to come. Its advantage will only appear when there is an interconnection* and transmission ~~lines~~ losses etc; will have to be taken into account, to evaluate correctly the advantage gained, in saving any coal. It is only when the water is in excess during the wet season and some demand for secondary power for saving ~~coia~~ coal costs is felt, that the spare unit would have to be put into commission during such a short interval, and it is only then that there would be no spare machine available at the dam site. Considering the limitation on its use, additonal

* with some thermal station. Even in this case, the cost of interconnection

spare capacity is not necessary at the dam site. As such future developments can be taken care of, at some other power house, by providing excess spare capacity if and when required. Such additional cost can then be justified by the load already developed.

Though the initial generation will have some direct bearing on the load survey that we are undertaking, the preliminary indication is that only two sets of 9000 Kw need be ordered initially, while further additions could be made dependant on future load requirements.

It is very necessary to make a systematic study of the potential load in the area that can be immediately covered by the Project. For this purpose we have sent one of our experienced senior officer to Hyderabad, with instructions to complete the study based on the information already collected by the Hyderabad Govt-Eng. to be supplemented by his own investigation and judgement arising out of his previous experience. In order to indicate next ten years development such a load survey study for all the areas covered by the different projects will be necessary. This is being attended to as indicated above.

As for transmission line details, they could only be finalised when the load survey study is completed.

Technical details and designs for generating station and transmission system can be taken in hand by the Hyderabad Eng. in collaboration and consultation with us.

RAMAGUNDAM THERMAL STATION:

The effective capacity of this station is 25000 Kw against the total installed capacity of three sets, each of 12500 kw. Some power from this station will be utilised at Tandur collieries, and other places like Karimnagar, Warrangal, etc. It appears that even after feeding all these areas, surplus power to the extent of 12000 to 15000 Kw would be available. This we propose to utilise in Hyderabad area.

The present maximum demand of Husainsagar (Hyderabad) Thermal Station is about 11000 Kw and the effective capacity of this station is about 13,000 Kw The annual rate of load growth in Hyderabd-Secunderabad area has been forecast by the Hyderabad Engineers at about 1500 Kw. The Husainsagar Thermal station is now proposed to be interconnected with the Nizamsagar Hydro Station which is already under construction. A 66 kv double circuit line from Nizamsagar to Hyderabad has also been erected. As the firm capacity available at Nizamsagar is only 3000 Kw or even less this interconnection would hardly afford ~~only~~ any relief for the period in mind. The main advantage of this interconnection will be the saving of coal at the Husainsagar thermal station. It is, therefore apparent that some other source of power is required for meeting the power demands at Hyderabad, for the next ten years. This should be done by delivering the Ramagundam power in this area by asing 66 kv lines. The alignment of the line with its capacity etc; will be indicated after the results of the load survey studies are known.

We are aware of the fact, that as an alternative to the above proposal, Hyderabd Chief Eng. has proposed a double circuit 132 kv line from Tungabhadra to Hyderabad for delivering surplus power from Tungabhadra. The economic study of this proposal will be submitted in our final report. Prima facie, this proposal is not likely to meet with our approval becasue of the following reasons:-

1) The Tungabhadra power project is not yet included in in the 5 year plan, and to secure finances for the same will take some time. Load in Hyderabad area need not be held back on this account for the next 5 years or so

2) The firm capacity available at Tungabhadra is about 20,000 kw maximum demand, and it may be possible to utilise all this power in the south and south western areas of the State during the first 10, years. This would leave extemely little, if any, surplus power to be transmitted to such a long distance, with the addition of substantial capital investment.

spare capacity is not necessary at the dam site. As such future developments can be taken care of, at some other power house, by providing some spare capacity if and when required. Such additional cost can be justified by the load already developed.

Though the initial generation will have some direct bearing on the load survey that we are undertaking, the preliminary indication is that only two sets of 9000 Kw need be ordered initially, while further additions could be made dependent on future load requirements.

It is very necessary to make a systematic study of the potential load in the area that can be immediately covered by the project. For this purpose we have sent one of our experienced senior officer to Hyderabad, with instructions to complete the study based on the information already collected by the Hyderabad Govt-Eng. to be supplemented by his own investigation and judgement arising out of his previous experience. In order to indicate ten years development such a load survey study for all the areas covered by the different projects will be necessary. This is being attended to as indicated above.

As for transmission line details, they could only be finalised when the load survey study is completed.

Technical details and designs for generating station and transmission system can be taken in hand by the Hyderabad Eng. in collaboration and consultation with us.

RAMAGUNDAM THERMAL STATION:

The effective capacity of this station is 25000 Kw against the total installed capacity of three sets, each of 1500 Kw. Some power from this station will be utilised at Tandur collieries, and other places like Karimnagar, Warangal, etc. It appears that even after feeding all these areas, surplus power to the extent of 12000 to 15000 Kw would be available. This we propose to utilise in Hyderabad area.

The present maximum demand of Hussainsagar (Hyderabad) Thermal Station is about 11000 Kw and the effective capacity of this station is about 13,000 Kw The annual rate of load growth in Hyderabad-Secunderabad area has been forecast by the Hyderabad Engineers as about 1500 Kw. The Hussainsagar Thermal station is now proposed to be interconnected with the Nizamsagar Hydro Station which is already under construction. A 66 Kv double circuit line from Nizamsagar to Hyderabad has also been erected. As the firm capacity available at Nizamsagar is only 3000 Kw or even less this interconnection would hardly afford any relief for the period in mind. The main advantage of this interconnection will be the saving of coal at the Hussainsagar thermal station. It is, therefore apparent that some other source of power is required for meeting the power demands at Hyderabad, for the next ten years. This should be done by delivering the Ramagundam power in this area by using 66 Kv line. The alignment of the line with its capacity etc; will be indicated after the results of the load survey studies are known.

We are aware of the fact, that as an alternative to the above proposal, Hyderabad Chief Eng. has proposed a double circuit 132 Kv line from Tungabhadra to Hyderabad for delivering surplus power from Tungabhadra. The economic study of this proposal will be submitted in our final report. Prima facie, this proposal is not likely to meet with our approval because of the following reasons:-

1) The Tungabhadra power project is not yet included in in the 5 year plan, and to secure finances for the same will take some time. Load in Hyderabad area need not be held back on this account for the next 5 years or so

2) The firm capacity available at Tungabhadra is about 20,000 Kw maximum demand, and it may be possible to utilise all this power in the south and south western areas of the Ra e during the first 10 years. This would leave extremely little, if any, surplus power to be transmitted to such a long distance, with the addition of substantial capital investment.

3) The Ramagumdam Thermal Station is almost ready, and all the major investment has already been made. There will be a heavy loss if this investment is not utilised at as early a date as possible by selling power from this station.

The technical details about the various transmission systems interconnections etc; can be finalised when the load survey report is ready, and the Hyderabd Eng. should undertake the same in consultation with us.

One important point to which, however, we would like to draw your specific attention, is that arrangements for supply of cooling water to the Ramagundam Thermal station have not yet been taken in hand, though the other works are nearing completion. It is important that this work, which is estimated by the Hyderabad eng. to cost Rs.15.86 lacs, should be started without any further delay and completed well in time, so that commissioning of the generating sets is not delayed on this account. Unless this is done, all the investments made on other works will not earn any revenue, while at the same time, it will add to the financial burden in the form of accumulated interest charges etc. The transmission line and grid substations associated with this power house can be completed within the period available, a d we shall be pleased to expedite our recommendations on the same.

LOAD TARIFF:

One of the important items that was referred during our discussions with the Hyderabad engineer, was in regard to the studies of the tariff both in the Hyd. city and in the system served by the District Power Schemes. In view of ~~th~~ its importance, we had already deputed an officer who has now completed his studies on the subject, His report is with us, now, and after our scrutiny we shall be able to advice the Hyderabad Govt. during the next fortnight on the revision of the existing tariff by formulating proposals for a rate structure which will be both rational and at the sametime will take into account the promotional and finan-cial aspects.

Though the above projects would cover considerable portion of the state, the only area where there will be no immediate prospects of power supply on a reasonable scale will be the north west region. We are told that there are known possibilities for power development in this area. It might be desirable to take early steps for making detailed investigations of such projects so that this vast area of the state may not be completely left out.

We are sending this note for your interim information and for such action as the Hyderabad Government thinks best. Before we conclude, we would like to convey to the Hyderabad Government our sincere thanks for the facilities that were made available to us for our studies and for the courtesies extended to us by every one with whom we came in contact in connection with our visit.

COPY TO:-

1) Planning Commission (Member NR), in continuation to our U.O. No. Z-1866(2) dated 17.12.52.

2) Ministry of Irrigation & Power, with a spare copy for transmission to the Ministry of States, in continuation of our U.O. Z-1866(2) dated 17.12.52.

- - - - - -

H Narayanaiah 8/4

CHIEF ENGINEER, H.E.C.P.

ANNEXURE II

A NOTE ON THE LOAD SURVEY REPORT AND PROPOSALS FOR THE INITIAL DEVELOPMENT OF LOAD, TUNGABHADRA HYDRO ELECTRIC PROJECT BY -- SHRI N.J. BALANI, PROJECT OFFICER, CENTRAL WATER POWER COMMISSION.

1. The Load survey report by Shri N.J. Balani Project Officer, CWPC (Power Wing) has been carefully studied and the load forecast and the proposals are accepted in general. However, subsequent to the load survey by Shri. Balani, additional information has been gathered by the Department and in the light of this, additional prospective loads have to be included in the forecasts as given in the report. Further, it is proposed to extend the supply under Tungabhadra system to some more towns and villages in the vicinity of the substations and along the route of the High Tension distribution lines, as there is prospective load in these places according to the information now available.

2. Details of prospective loads to be considered for incorporation in the final load survey figures are given below.

3. The Hyderabad Construction Company has applied for permission to start a Sugar Factory of 1000 tons Capacity, near Gangawati. Similarly Shri Walchand Hirachand of Bombay sent a representative to Hyderabad to enquire as to whether power to the extent of about 3,000 Kw can be supplied from the Tungabhadra Hydro-Electric Project to start a Cement Factory and a Sugar Factory.

4. About 20,000 acres has been allotted for cultivation of Sugarcane in the above area under the Tungabhadra Project as already mentioned in the Report. Now there is a proposal to further increase this acreage. It is, therefore, likely that two Sugar Factories will be started near Gangawati in the near future, but for the present it is safe to assume that there will be one Factory.

With regard to the proposal for a Cement Factory it may be mentioned that while the Tungabhadra irrigation Project was under consideration, a thorough investigation was made with regard to the feasibility of starting a Cement Factory near the site to meet the cement requirements of the project and it was found that the necessary raw materials were available in adequate quantities in the Munirabad - Gangawati area. During the discussion with the representative of Shri Walchand Hirachand it transpired that Shri Walchand is ~~the~~ in the possession of all relevant data, intends to start a Cement Factory in this area in case power is made available. Their estimated requirements for the cement and sugar factories is 3000 Kw. M.D.

5. In the load survey report the proposal to start a textile mill at Chicksugur was taken into account and a maximum demand of 300 kW was included for this purpose. There is now a similar proposal to start another textile mill of about the same size, near Sindhanoor is under considerationand this would represent an additonal demand of 300 kW. The total acreage allotted for cotton under the Tungabhadra Project is 1,20,000.

6. About 18,000 persons belonging to villages submerged under the Tungabhadra Reservoir have been rehabilitated along the fore-shore of the Reservoir. These persons belong mostly to the agricultural class and the Development Department is allotting about 20,000 acres for wet cultivation in the rehabilitated villages. Since the area is out side the command of the canal, arrangements have to be made for lift irrigation of the 20,000 acres. On the basis of 1 Kw per 3 acres, as assumed on Page 39 of the load survey report for the Ramagundam area, the power requirements for this lift irrigation would be about 6,000 Kw.

7. In the Raichur District, certain areas were under wet cultivation from old anicuts on the Krishna River a long time back. These irrigation works are in an abandoned conditions and it is now proposed to provide water for irrigation by pumping directly from the river, when power is available from the Tungabhadra Project. Further, there are some tracts along the banks of the Bhima River in the Gulberga district which are suitable for wet cultivation, and, lift irrigation can be provided on this area, once power is available from the Tungabhadra Project.

8. The actual acreage to be brought under lift irrigation along the banks of the Krishna and the Bhima is under investigation but in the meantime it is roughly assumed that this would be between 20,000 and 40,000.

9. Although the above proposal for lift irrigation indicates a prospective load of about 10,000 to 15,000 kW, pending final investigation and considering that part of the area may come under mixed irrigation, it may be safely assumed ~~that the demand~~ that the demand would be at least 5,000 kW, in the initial stage.

10. In the load survey report it is estimated that the equivalent demand for the agricultural proceessing industries in the area, based on the installed Horse Power, is 3,800 kW but the possibility of future development in this load has not been taken cognizance of in arriving at the demand on the station. In

5. In the land survey report it was proposed to start a textile mill at Chinsurah with a lift irrigation scheme in a maximum demand of 300 kw was included for this purpose. There is now a similar proposal to start another textile mill of about the same size, near Chandernagore in Hooghly. Taking this would requires an additional demand of 300 kw. The total demand allotted for cotton under the Hooghly Project is 1,500 kw.

6. About 18,000 persons belonging to villages submerged under the Tungabhadra reservoir have been rehabilitated along the fore-shore of the reservoir. These persons belong mostly to the Agricultural class and the Development Department is allotting about 2,000 acres for wet cultivation in the rehabilitated villages. Since the area in our side the command of the canal, arrangements have to be made for lift irrigation of 40,000 acres. On the basis of 1 kw per 3 acres, as assumed on p. 49 of the land survey report for the Hungabhadra area, the power requirements for this lift irrigation would be about 6,000 kw.

7. In the Kolar District, certain areas were under wet cultivation from old anicuts on the Palaru River for long time past. These irrigation works are in an abandoned condition and it is now proposed to provide water for irrigation by pumping directly from the river, when power is available from the Tungabhadra Project. There are, there are some areas along the banks of the Eli River in the Cuddapah district which are suitable for wet cultivation, and, lift irrigation can be provided on this area, once power is available from the Hungabhadra Project.

8. The actual acreage to be brought under lift irrigation along the banks of the Palaru and the Eli is under investigation but in the past it is generally assumed that this would be between 10,000 and 20,000.

9. Although the above proposal for lift irrigation indicates a prospect of load of about 5,000 to 12,000 kw, pending final investigation and consideration of the scheme may come under mixed land, it may be safely assumed that the ultimate demand would be at least 6,000 kw, in a fairly large.

10. In the land survey report it is estimated that the additional demand for the agricultural processing industries in the area, both on the Krishna Hoogly lower, is 2,500 kw. The availability of surplus power in this load has not been taken cognizance of in arriving at the demand on the station. In

this connection it is to be noted that at least 50,000 acres will be brought under irrigation from the Tungabhadra canals during the next 3-4 years and that during the same period the Anicut under the Rajolibunda Scheme is likely to be fully developed. This combined with the acreage to be brought under lift irrigation would considerably increase the output of irrigated crops thereby affecting a corresponding increase in the equivalent power demand of the agricultural processing industries. It is therefore estimated that the equivalent power demand during the next 4-5 years would increase at least by 25 per cent of the present 3,800 kW given on page 29 of the load survey report. The additional demand would therefore come to about 1,000 kW.

11. In Appendix '3' of the report the load expectation are given under two columns, viz.

(a) Load, One year after commencement,

(b) Load, after 4 - 5 years after the commencement,

Assuming that the power project is taken up immediately, it would be completed by about 1958 and the load ~~ex~~ fore-cast under column 'A' should therefore correspond to the load that would be available in 1958-59, whereas it is found that the figures are based on the demand as it exists today. This is particularly important in the case of towns already having power supply, as the demand in these places would go on increasing during the next 4 years. It would therefore appear more reasonable to assume the figures given under column (B) of appendix '3' for the purpose of arriving at the load expectations under 'A' i.e. one year after the commencement of the project (1958). Accordingly the following demands have to be taken into consideration for arriving at the load on the stations in the 1st year of operation. In addition to the forecast under column 'B' about 50 kW would be required for water supply for Koppal Town as the Scheme for the same has recently been sanctioned. In the case of Hutti, the present peak demand, according to latest information, is 765 kW as they have installed the 210 H.P. air compressor and it is stated by their Chief Engineer that by next year the peak-demand would increase to 1,000 kW.

this correlation it is to be noted that the [illegible] will be [illegible] under 1 [illegible] from the [illegible] [illegible] 3-4 years and that [illegible] the zero period has [illegible] [illegible] is likely to be fully developed. [illegible] with the average the [illegible] of irrigated crops [illegible]. [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] power [illegible] of the [illegible] [illegible]. [illegible] is therefore evident that the [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 4.5 [illegible] would [illegible] [illegible] 70 per cent of the present [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] 98 [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

Name of Town.		Day load as per report. 1 year after Commencement.	End of 5th yr.	Revised forecast for '58	Increase Col. 4 - Col.2
1		2	3	4	5
Munirabad.	kW	160	275	275	165
Koppal	kW	150	300	300	150
Gangawati	kW	100	250	250	150
Raichur	kW	700	1,000	1,000	300
Hutti	kW	600	1,000	1,000	400
Gulbarga	kW	450	600	600	150
Yadgir	kW	350	500	500	150
Shahabad.	kW	100	150	150	50
Narayanapet.	kW	150	275	275	125
				Total:	1,635

12. In addition to towns and villages included in the load survey report it is proposed to extend supply to the following towns and villages as these places are within economic distance of the transmission system proposed. There are factories and mills in some of these and there is likely to be rapid development in these areas, consequent upon the impelmentation of the plans of the development department. It is estimated that the maximum demand in these towns and villages would become to about 575 kW as detailed below:-

Place.	Present installed HP	Load Forecast.
1. Chittapur	30 HP	75 kW
2. Seram.	100 HP	75 kW
3. Wadi	-	50 kW
4. Ferezabad.	-	25 kW
5. Maski.	72 HP	75 kW
6. Balganur	-	25 kW
7. Turbihal.	72 HP	75 kW
8. Kawital.	44 HP	50 kW
9. Maddur	-	25 kW
10. Khanapur	-	25 kW
11. Gurgunta.	-	25 kW
12. Koratgi.	30 HP	50 kW
		575 kW

Name of Town.		Daily need in per reports. 1 year after end of Our recent. 38% yr.		Water 6 months 10% 150	Increase July. 4 - July.
1		2	3	4	5
Kandahad.	K.	160	275	275	165
Lopgil	M.	150	300	320	150
Mogrotti	K.	100	150	250	150
Lalobur	K.	700	1,000	1,000	300
Hotti	K.	800	1,000	1,000	200
Gelmiya	K.	400	600	600	150
Kodgir	K.	350	500	500	150
Kerered.	K.	100	150	150	50
Kerejewo.	K.	150	275	275	125
			Total:		1,555

12. In addition to towns, we will, as indicated in the long survey report, be required to extend supply to the following towns and villages in these places in which economic influence of the irrigation system proceed. Here are the critical villages in each of these and there is likely to be rapid development in these areas, a response upon the implementation of the plans of the development department. It is estimated that the demand in these towns and villages would amount 575 M. ge daily and [illegible]

Place.	Present inst. and K.	Local crea-ase.
1. Chittagur	30 K.	75 K.
2. Gorra.	100 K.	[illegible] K.
3. Kadi	-	50 K.
4. Farewlke.	-	[illegible]
5. [illegible].	75 K.	75 K.
6. Balgaum	-	[illegible] K.
7. Kadabal.	75 K.	75 K.
8. [illegible].	[illegible]	50 K.
9. Ujdi.	-	75 K.
10. Haraqur	-	25 K.
11. Sangunte.	-	25 K.
12. [illegible].	[illegible]	50 K
		575 K.

13. Arrangements have been made to supply power to the community Project areas near Ginigera and Koppal. The present estimated load in this area is about 150 to 200 kW. It is estimated that the load in 1958 would be about 300 kW.

14. In view of the foregoing, the demand as given under Appendix 2 will increase as follows:-

1.	Maximum demand as per Appendix 2	4575 kW
2.	Maximum demand for cement and sugar factories.	3000 kW
3.	Maximum demand for lift irrigation	5000 kW
4.	Additional demand for agricultural processing industries.	1000 kW
5.	Additional demand as per para 11 above.	1635 kW
6,	Maximum demand under Community Project areas.	300 kW
7.	Additional demand due to inclusion of more towns as per para 12.	575 kW
		16,085 kW

Thus it is expected that the load in the area would be about 16,085 kW.

DEVELOPMENT:

15. It was suggested in the report that the Project be developed in two stages; viz.,

1) FIRST STAGE:- Initial load development by purchasing power (a) from Mysore for the Munirabad area (b) from Andhra (from Adoni) for Raichur and (c) from the Shahabad Cement Factory, for the Gulbarga, Yadgir, Tandur area.

2) SECOND STAGE:- Damsite generation station and 66 kV Transmission Lines to Raichur and Gulberga.

16. The suggestions under (1) (a) & (b) above have recently been discussed informally with the Chief Electrical Engineers of Mysore and Andhra.

17. The Mysore Chief Electrical Engineer, indicated that there is very little possibility of their sparing any more power than what they are giving at present. It appears that the position will not be altered even after commissioning the Tungabhadra Hydro Electric Station, as their own demand is increasing rapidly. Further they are experiencing acute shortage of transformer capacity.

15. [illegible] to supply power to the [illegible] and [illegible] Korat. The present capacity of 1 [illegible] is about 100 to 200 kW. It is estimated that the load in 1958 would be about [illegible] kW.

16. In view of the foregoing, the demand as given under Appendix 8 will appear as follows:-

1. Peak demand as per Appendix 2	4875 kW
2. Minimum demand for cooling and engine factories.	3000 kW
3. Minimum demand for life preservation	3000 kW
4. Additional demand for agricultural processing industries.	1000 kW
5. Additional demand as per para 11 above.	1655 kW
6. Minimum demand under Section 11 (reject work).	300 kW
7. Additional demand due to industrialisation of Korat to the [illegible] per para 12.	315 kW
	10,545 kW

It is in accordance with the load in the [illegible] above 10,000 kW.

Electrification

17. It is suggested in the report that the projects be developed in the [illegible] way:-

1) [illegible] Initial load development by [illegible] power (a) from [illegible] for the [illegible] area (b) from [illegible] (from [illegible]) for [illegible] (c) from the [illegible] [illegible] for the [illegible] and [illegible] areas.

2) [illegible] Mobile generation [illegible] of [illegible] [illegible] before [illegible] [illegible].

18. The [illegible] under (1) (a) to (b) [illegible] [illegible] [illegible] with the [illegible] electrical [illegible] of [illegible] [illegible].

19. [illegible] [illegible] [illegible]

18. The possibility of ob aining power from Andhra appears to be equally remote as they themselves are negotiating for more power from Mysore. The Chief Electrical Engineer, Andhra, expressed and view that far from being able to supply power to Hyderabad., they would actually welcome any possibility of getting some power from the Hyderabad. Side by feeding at Adoni from Raichur.

19. The possibility of supply from the Shahabad Cement Factory has been informally discussed during the load survey with the General Manager, but he appeared reluctant to make any commitment regarding giving power to Government for distribution and supply to the public. This understandable considering that the effective capacity of their station would be reduced to 3000 kW in the event that the 7500 kW set is taken out for repairs or overhaul. There also appears to be a proposal to shift all the old sets to some other Factory. This part of the suggestions also does not, therefore, appear to be feasible.

20. Apart from the above, the whole question has now to be re-examined in the light of the load expectation given under para (14). The suggestions in the report were based on an equivalent demand of only 4575 kW, whereas the present figure is 16,085 kW which completely alters the picture. Accordingly it would appear necessary that implementation of the proposals under both the FIRST and SECOND STAGE development be started immediately.

Sd/ S.A. QUADER.
CHIEF ENGINEER,
Hydro Electric Projects, Department.

/COPY/

H Narayanan 8/4
CHIEF ENGINEER, H.E.C.P.

ANNEXURE III.

Extract from File No. K/14/Hydl/55 of the Hyderabad Government.

Power from Tungabhadra was meant for use essentially in Karnatak. At the time it was envisaged to bring it upto Hyderabad, with the reorganisation of States this has been dropped except to connect it to Hyderabad to form the National Grid System. It was at one time feared whether we would be able to use all the power that would be generated in the Karnatak area itself. That fear no longer exists. At the instance of C.S. the construction of Narayanpet has also been dropped the only place that would have been included under Telengana. As such the scheme is essentially meant for the Karnatak region. Referring the matter, therefore, to the Andhra Government has no meaning. If we have to do this, by analogy, we might have to refer the matters pertaining to Ramagundam or Nizam Sagar to Mysore as with the contemplated grid Tungabhadra would be connected up to these generating stations. No such reference is therefore necessary.

I had a talk on phone today with Secretary, P.W.D. Mysore. I think it would be advisable if the Chief Electrical Engineer came over here with relevant papers, so that discussion may take place in my presence.

P.S. will please fix up a date and time and intimate Mysore.

Sd/- xx xx

Minister, PWD.

"EXTRACT"

H Narayanaiah 8/4

CHIEF ENGINEER, H.E.C.P.

ANNEXURE IV.

Copy of D.O. letter No. SET/E5/A3/J1/22-4/1196 dated 28-2-1958 from Shri B.R. Somayajulu, Chief Engineer (Electricity), Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad (Deccan), to Shri H.V. Narayana Rao, Chief Engineer, Hydro-Electric Construction Projects, Bangalore.

Sub: T.B.H.E.S.--Munirabad Power Station sharing of power between Mysore and Andhra Pradesh placing under the Control of the T.B. Board as common works.

In continuation of the discussion we had at T.B. Dam along with Mr. D'Sa from 6th October to 8th October 1957 on the above subject and in pursuance of the item No.15 of the minutes of the proceedings of the conference of the Chief Ministers and Ministers P.W.D., of the Andhra Pradesh and Mysore States held at T.B.Dam on 5th and 6th October 1957, I am enclosing herewith a note on the lines discussed with you regarding the sharing of power benefits from Munirabad Power House - 1st stage (comprising 2 Nos. 9000 KW. units), based on the load forecast as provided in the sanctioned scheme report.

2. The 1st stage scheme report was designed to serve the needs of Telengana and Karnatak areas of the former Hyderabad State which now form parts of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore (the area of supply covering parts of Raichur and Gulbarga Districts in Karnatak and parts of Mahabubnagar and Hyderabad in Telengana).

3. It is thus a "Common Work" serving both the states of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore and has to come under the Tungabhadra Board, which is already functioning for the Dam and Hampi Hydro Power Schemes in the common works on the right bank of T.B. Dam.

4. Regarding the sharing of power benefits from Munirabad Power House 1st stage (comprising 2 Nos. 9000 KW. units), based on the load forecast as provided in the sanctioned scheme report the allocation between Mysore and Andhra Pradesh is 82:18. Based on the substation capacities also as provided in the estimate the ratio is 83:17.

5. The allocation of the full capacity of 36,000 KW from the Munirabad Power Station will be 62:38 between Mysore and Andhra Pradesh.

6. With regard to the future power development at the power sites Sivapur, Sivapur drop and Tirumalapur on the left bank which were created by virtue of the T.B. Dam, the potential power has also to be shared between Mysore and Andhra as per the schemes envisaged by former Hyderabad Government. The ratio can be discussed at a later stage.

7. I request you to kindly communicate early your views.

"COPY"

H.V. Narayana Rao 8/4

CHIEF ENGINEER, H.E.C.P.

C. F. No. 45]

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Tele phone

No. W/30/343/1/TS
Encls.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT NO. 9 14 APR 1958 PUBLIC WORKS BRANCH

OFFICE OF
THE CHIEF ENGINEER, P.W.D.,
MAJOR IRRIGATION(NORTH) MUNIRABAD
RAICHUR DISTRICT.

Date 11-4-58

To

The Secretary to Government of Mysore
P.W.D. Bangalore.

Subject:- Tungabhadra Project Munirabad side Records relating to th

Ref: Your D.O.No.PWMI 3494-95 D/- 2.4.1958.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT NO. 310 15 APR 1958 PUBLIC WORKS MAJOR IRRIGATION BRANCH

141

Sir,

With reference to your D.O.cited above I write to state as follows:-

Para 1 of extract from the Hindu D/- 22.3.1958.

The low level canal on the left side of the Tungabhadra Project lies completely within the present Mysore State and therefore the records of the low level canal were transferred to Mysore State

Para 2 of the extract.

As the entire length of low level canal on the left side lies in the new Mysore State the Superintending Engineer in-charge of this canal has been allotted to Mysore State and therefore the records had gone with him.

In the Inter State Conference of the Chief Ministers and the Ministers incharge of Irrigation and Power of the two States of Andhra Pradesh and Mysore held at the Tungabhadra Dam on 5th: and 6th: October 1957, it was agreed that information and records pertaining to these projects be furnished by either State to the other and that discussions will take place between the Chief Engineers(Civil and Hydro Electric) of the two States with a view to putting up proposals as soon as possible for the consideration of the two State Governments vide item 15 of the minutes of the proceedings page 8.

In pursuance of this decision all the concerned files of this office were sent to the Chief Engineer, Andhra Pradesh with this office letter No.444/P D/- 16.10.57. These files were received

back after a great deal of correspondence on 31.12.57 i.e. after 2½ months.

Para 3 of the extract.

As regards the Andhra share of power to which reference has been made the Electrical Department will have to clarify the matter.

The Statement made by the Minister in the Andhra Assembly to this effect that the irrigation proposal on the Andhra side in Alampur and Gadval is 70,000 acres under the left side Low level canal is not based on facts. All the available records have been sent to the Chief Engineer, Andhra Pradesh and nowhere in the records of this office it is mentioned as above. In this connection I invite your kind attention to the report sent with this office letter No.1232/3/TS D/- 26.2.1957(copy enclosed for ready reference) to the effect that areas in Alampur and Gadwal cannot be irrigated under T.B.P. Low Level Canal.

K. Krishnamoorthy
10/4/58
for CHIEF ENGINEER, P.W.D.,
MAJOR IRRIGATION (NORTH)
MUNIRABAD RAICHUR DISTRICT.

M.A.G.
10/4/58

Copy of letter No.1232/3/TS D/- 26.2.1957 from the office of the Chief Engineer,P.W.D.Major Irrigation(North Munirabad,S.Rly. to the Secretary to Govt.P.W.& Electrical Bangalore reg: Left side canal - Common Works relating to Tungabhadra Left side Canal - Transfer of control of Tungabhadra Board consequent on the re-organisation of States.
Ref: Your D.O.letter No.PWD 18 TBP-57 dated 16.2.1957.

...

I enclose herein a report in this regard for your disposal.

Particulars have been given therein to show that the Tungabhadra Left Side Canal, which serves irrigation in the Mysore State only, cannot be kept under the Tungabhadra Board.

Sd/- T.S.Moorthi
Chief Engineer,P.W.D.
Major Irrign.North.

"True copy".

M.A.G.
10/4/58

App. F. IX-4

Previous References.

195 .
( )

File No.

Serial Nos.

Later References.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos.

Part B for

SUBJECT

WD 03555—GPB—25,000—2-7-53.

1086

8262
17/11/53

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF IRRIGATION AND POWER.

No. DW.III-4(3). NEW DELHI, the 12th November, 1953.

From

Shri S. Venkataraman,
Under Secretary to the Government of India.

To

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore,
Public Works Department,
Bangalore.

Subject:- Establishment of Tungabhadra Board.

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith copy of Notification No. DW-II-22(129), dated the 6th November, 1953, on the above subject and to request that it may kindly be published in the State Gazette for general information.

Yours faithfully,

(S. Venkataraman)
Under Secretary to the Government of India.

Submitted for perusal of the enclosed notification of 6.11.53 which states that the Tungabhadra Board shall have overall control in respect of all matters relating to works connected with the Tungabhadra project which are common to the States of Andhra, Hyderabad & Mysore and that the Hyderabad Govt. shall continue to

Syal. 11/11.

8262
P.

Overall control of the Tungabhadra Board
— Notification of the Govt. of India.

Construct, operate and maintain the project in so far as it falls within the State of Hyderabad subject to the overall control of the Board.

2. Submit for orders whether the said Notification may be published in the Mysore Gazette as requested by the Govt. of India. A dft. notification ~~order~~ for publication in the Gazette is put up.

17/11/53

Sri. Sivasankar, Ministry of Irrigation & Power has sent for re-notification in the Mysore Gazette a copy of the notification issued by the Government of India embodying the resolution about the agreement to include Hyderabad in the Tungabhadra Board. This notification is the same as that to which we communicated our concurrence. Please see flags B & D (linked file)

The notification will be republished as desired by the Government of India.

21 NOV 1953
OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR AGRICULTURE
674
No 5159
26 NOV 1953
OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR PUBLIC WORKS

26/11 Min. Agri
Min. Rev & PW
Chief Min.
Min. Chief Secy

4.12.53

27/4

3

Establishment of Thungabhadra Board.

8262
PN

Issued in P.W. 8419 from 48-53-13 dt 5-12-53.
To The Compiler
C. E.

(To be published in the Gazette of India
Part I Section 1)

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF IRRIGATION AND POWER.

RESOLUTION.

Dated at New Delhi the 6th November 1953.

DW.II-22(129). - It has been agreed between the Governments of Andhra and Mysore on the one hand and the Government of Hyderabad on the other that the Tungabhadra Board established by the directions of the President under sub-section (4) of section of the Andhra State Act, 1953 (30 of 1953) shall have overall control in respect of all matters relating to works on or connected with the Tungabhadra Project which are common to all the States of Andhra, Hyderabad and Mysore. The Hyderabad Government shall continue to construct, operate and maintain the Project in so far as it falls within the State of Hyderabad, subject to the overall control of the Board ref rred to above. Nothing in this Resolution shall be deemed to be an agreement entered into under sub-section (1) of section 66 of the Andhra State Act, 1953.

ORDER - Ordered that the Resolution be communic ted to the State Governments of Andhra, Hyderabad Mysore.

Ordered also that the Resolution be published i the Gazette of India and that the State Governments concerned be requested to publish it in the State Gazettes for general information.

(T.Sivasankar)
Secretary to the Government of India.

The Manager,
Government of India Press,
New Delhi.

(TO BE PUBLISHED IN THE GAZETTE OF INDIA PART I SECTION I).

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF IRRIGATION AND POWER.

New Delhi, the 6th November, 1953.

RESOLUTION.

DW-II-22(129). - It has been agreed between the Governments of Andhra and Mysore on the one hand and the Government of Hyderabad on the other that the Tungabhadra Board established by the directions of the President under sub-section (4) of section 66 of the Andhra State Act, 1953 (30 of 1953) shall have overall control in respect of all matters relating to works on or connected with the Tungabhadra Project which are common to all the States of Andhra, Hyderabad and Mysore. The Hyderabad Government shall continue to construct, operate and maintain the Project in so far as it falls within the State of Hyderabad, subject to the overall control of the Board referred to above. Nothing in this Resolution shall be deemed to be an agreement entered into under sub-section (1) of section 66 of the Andhra State Act, 1953.

ORDER - Ordered that the Resolution be communicated to the State Governments of Andhra, Hyderabad and Mysore.

Ordered also that the Resolution be published in the Gazette of India and that the State Governments concerned be requested to publish it in the State Gazettes for general information.

(T.Sivasankar)
Secretary to the Govt. of India.

The Manager,
Government of India Press,
New Delhi.

Soni
9/11

6

Copy forwarded to:-

1. Secretary to the Government of Hyderabad, P.W.D., Hyderabad (Dn.).

2. Secretary to the Government of Mysore, P.W.D., Bangalore.

3. Secretary to the Government of Andhra, P.W.D., Kurnool.

4. Secretary, Tungabhadra Board, P.O.Tungabhadra Dam, Hospet Taluk, Mysore.

5. All Ministries of the Government of India.

(S. Venkataraman)
Under Secretary to the Government of India.

Notification

No. PW. . . . . 8 . . . .

Resolution

~~Notification~~ No. PW 22

II — 22 (129) 8 6.11.1953

of the Govt. of India, Ministry of Irrigation and Power (as referred to herein) regarding the overall control of the Tungabhadra Board ~~over~~ in respect of all matters relating to works connected with the Tungabhadra project which are common to all the States of Andhra, Hyderabad & Mysore, is published in the Mysore Gazette for general information.

By order and in the name of the Rajapramukh

Sd/-

Secy. to Govt., PWD

TO

The Compiler Mysore Gazette, with copy of notification for publication in the Gazette.

" C.E. (with copy of notification) for information

" C.E.E. for information.

Pro. 8419-21 and ors.

Pro 48-5-3-13

M. 5.12.53

Published Mysore gazette 10/12/53

Bill

Sms 17/11/53

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Mysore Government
~~General and~~ Revenue Secretariat,

No. PW. 8419-21-Drn 48-53-13

Dated Bangalore, 5 th Dec *1953.*

FROM
~~XXXXX~~

~~*Secretary to the Government of Mysore.*~~

NOTIFICATION.

Resolution No.DW.II-22(129) dated 6-11-1953 of the Government of India, Ministry of ~~Informati~~ Irrigation and Power (~~as reproduced hereunder~~), regarding the overall control of the Tungabhadra Board in respect of all matters relating to works connected with the Tungabhadra Project which are common to all the States of Andhra, Hyderabad and Mysore, is published in the Mysore Gazette for general information.

By order and
in the name of the Raj pramukh

5/12/53

(H.Channiah),
For Secretary to Government
Public Works Department.

bws:5.12.

To

The Compiler, Mysore Gazette with copy of notification for publication in the Gazette

The Chief Engineer of Mysore, Bangalore (with copy of notification)

The Chief Electrical Engineer, Bangalore, (with copy of notification) for information.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA
MINISTRY OF IRRIGATION AND POWER

New Delhi, the 6th November 1953.

RESOLUTION.

DW-II-20(129). - It has been agreed between the Governments of Andhra and Mysore on the one hand and the Government of Hyderabad on the other that the Tungabhadra Board established by the directions of the President under sub-section (4) of section 66 of the Andhra State Act, 1953 (30 of 1953) shall have overall control in respects of all matters relating to works on or connected with the Tungabhadra Project which are common to all the States of Andhra, Hyderabad and Mysore. The Hyderabad Government shall continue to construct, operate and maintain the Project in so far as it falls within the State of Hyderabad, subject to the overall control of the Board referred to above. Nothing in this Resolution shall be deemed to be an agreement entered into under sub-section (1) of section 66 of the Andhra State Act, 1953.

ORDER.- Ordered that the Resolution be communicated to the State Governments of Andhra, Hyderabad and Mysore.

Ordered also that the Resolution be published in the Gazette of India and that the State Governments concerned be requested to publish it in the State Gazettes for general information.

(T.N.Sivasankar)
Secretary to the Govt. of India.

5/12/53

Copy.

(H.Channiah),
For Secretary to Government,
Public Works Department.

DWS: 5.12.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

10

Mysore Government
General and Revenue Secretariat,

NO.

Dated Bangalore,.....195

FROM
~~XXXXX~~

~~*Secretary to the Government of Mysore,*~~

NOTIFICATION.

Resolution No.D'4.WI-22(129) dated 6-11-1953 of the Government of India, Ministry of ~~Informati~~ Irrigation and Power ~~(as reproduced hereunder)~~, regarding the overall control of the Tungabhadra Board in respect of all matters relating to works connected with the Tungabhadra Project which are common to all the States of Andhra, Hyderabad and Mysore, is published in the Mysore Gazette for general information.

By order and
in the name of the Rajapramukh

5/1/60

(H.Channiah),
For Secretary to Government
Public Works Department.

bws:5.12.

To

The Compiler, Mysore Gazette with copy of notification for publication in the Gazette

The Chief Engineer of Mysore, Bangalore (with copy of notification)

The Chief Electrical Engineer, Bangalore, with a copy notification for information.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

Mysore Government
~~General and Revenue~~ Secretariat,

No. Dated Bangalore, 5 th Dec 1953.

FROM ~~XXXXX~~ Pub. 82/9-21-Jrn 4853-13

~~Secretary to the Government of Mysore.~~

NOTIFICATION.

Resolution No.DW.II-22(129) dated 6-11-1953 of the Government of India, Ministry of ~~Informati~~ Irrigation and P (~~as reproduced hereunder~~), regarding the overall control of the Tungabhadra Board in respect of all matters relating to works connected with the Tungabhadra Project which are common to all the States of Andhra, Hyderabad and Mysore, is published in the Mysore Gazette for general information.

By order and
in the name of the Raj pram

5/12/53

(H.Channiah),
For Secretary to Govern..t
Public Works Department.

bws:5.12.

To

The Compiler, Mysore Gazette with copy of notification for publication in the Gazette

The Chief Engineer of Mysore, Bangalore (with copy of notification)

The Chief Electrical Engineer, Bangalore, with copy of notification for information.

11

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

MEMORANDUM ON THE ALLOCATION OF COST ON THE TUNGABHADRA LOW LEVEL CANAL (BELLARY SIDE) BETWEEN MYSORE AND ANDHRA PRADESH STATES

MYSORE GOVERNMENT'S MEMORANDUM ON THE ALLOCATION OF COST ON THE TUNGABHADRA LOW LEVEL CANAL (BELLARY SIDE) BETWEEN MYSORE AND ANDHRA PRADESH STATES.

****

Section 66 (1) of the Andhra State Act provides for an Agreement being reached between the States of Andhra and Mysore within a period of two years from 1-10-1953, in regard to their rights and liabilities in connection with Tungabhadra Project. Agreement has been reached between the Governments of Mysore and Andhra Pradesh on some of the outstanding issues relating to Tungabhadra Project at the two Inter-State Conferences that were held at Ministerial level in October 1957 and February 1959, and discussions are going on in respect of others at different levels. However, no agreement on the allocation of cost of the Tungabhadra Low Level Canal on the Bellary Side between the two States has been reached.

2. At present, the expenditure on the irrigation side of the Tungabhadra Project including the Low Level Canal has been allocated provisionally in the proportion of 4:5 between Mysore and Andhra Pradesh States. This is on the basis of the issues of water in the Low Level Canal for the two States as worked out by the former (Composite) Madras State in 1953, just before the merger of Bellary with Mysore. An extent of 92,359 acres has been localised for Irrigation in the Bellary District and about 1,58,000 acres in Andhra Pradesh State. In view of the difference in the crop pattern in the two States, neither the discharges in the Canal for the two States nor the extent of the areas localised in the two States could obviously be the proportion in which expenditure on the common portion of the Low Level Canal could be shared between them. It has been suggested by Andhra State that the allocation should be on the "Equivalent Wet Ayacut" i.e., in the proportion of the areas after converting the entire localised ayacut in each State into an equivalent wet areas

contd..... 2

wet areas on the basis of agreed duties for the different kinds of crops. The suggestion made on behalf of Mysore State is that the allocation of cost should be on the cusec-miles or the water-volume basis. This suggestion is based not only on the fact that it has been accepted in the case of the High Level Canal Scheme but also because it is considered to be the most rational and scientific method of apportionment of conveyance costs. This method is already in vogue in the Bhakra Canal System between Punjab and Rajasthan States.

3. During the course of the discussions, the representatives of Andhra Pradesh State have objected to this on the following pleas:-

a) This method cannot be applied to the Low Level Canal Scheme, which had been conceived as a unitary scheme by the composite Madras State, even though it would be applied to the High Level Canal which is a new project.

b) Andhra Pradesh Government have already agreed to bear the entire cost of the length of the main canal beyond the common reach serving both the States.

c) In as much as the same quantity of water would be carried throughout the common reach for the lower riparian State of Andhra, while progressively diminishing quantities would be carried for the Upper riparian State of Mysore in the reach, the adoption of the cusec-mile basis will be an undue burden on the Andhra State.

The Government of Mysore would observe as follows:-

Plea (a) of Andhra Pradesh Government - Whether a scheme is new or old, has nothing to do with the method for apportionment of costs. The basis of approach to the determination of the method has no relation to the oldness or newness of the scheme. When an unitary scheme is split the cost apportionment has to be made between the split units on a rational basis. The cusec mile is the most rational one where the two irrigated areas are distinct and separate and the water is delivered at the boundary of the upper unit.

Plea (b) - The fact that the Andhra Government has to bear the cost of the portion which is entirely in her territory does not absolve her from her liability to bear a portion of the cost of the common reach. Mysore also has to bear the cost of distributaries and branches that lie entirely in its territory.

Contd.....3.

Plea (c) - The very fact that the capacity progressively dwindles, necessitates the adoption of the cusec-mile basis as the most scientific and rational basis of approach for distribution of costs.

4. This is reiterated by the Special Chief Engineer (Irrigation), Andhra Pradesh, himself as recorded in the discussions on various subjects held in April 1956, which is extracted below:-

" (5) Apportionment of costs:-

" It was agreed that the costs may be divided in proportion to water volumes including losses carried for either Government in the reaches."

Therefore, the present reluctance on the part of Andhra Pradesh Government to agree to share the costs of the common reach on cusec-mile basis cannot be understood. Mysore Government presses for an early settlement of cost apportionment of the Low Level Canal on the cusec-mile basis for the reasons stated supra.

****

(S.G.Balekundry),
Officer on Special Duty,
Public Works Department.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

MEMORANDUM ON THE USE OF TUNGABHADRA WATERS AT MALLAPUR (TUNGABHADRA DAM)

MEMORANDUM ON THE USE OF TUNGABHADRA WATERS AT MALLAPUR (TUNGABHADRAM DAM)

*****

After protracted negotiations between the erstwhile States of Hyderabad and Madras, the dependable yields at Mallapur (Tungabhadra Dam Site) came to be tentatively assumed as 336 T.M.C.ft.

The upper requirements were assumed by Hyderabad and Madras as 79.5 T.M.C.ft. (vide.1944 Agreements between the States and the 1951 Planning Commission allocation of Krishna waters) as detailed below:-

		T.M.C.Ft.
a) Ex-Bombay (now part of Mysore)	..	1.0
b) Lakkavalli	..	57.0
c) Tunga Anicut	..	11.5
d) Proposed new works in (Old)Mysore	..	10.0
Total	...	79.5

Therefore the net quantity of waters available at Mallapur has been taken as 336-79.5 =256.5.

The main utilisations at Tungabhadra agreed to between Hyderabad and Madras without prejudice to final apportionment of flows are given in the 1944 agreement as follows:-

a) Each State to utilise 65 T.M.C.ft. but design the canals for higher utilisations each State taking its own risk to use additional waters that may fall due in the event of final apportionment. The support required by Pre-Moghul channels, Kurnool Kadapah channel of Madras and Rajolibunda canal of Hyderabad to be debited to the 65 T.M.C.ft., the share of each State.

b) The contribution to the Kistna will be met by regulated flows from the reservoir and such waters may be used to develop power by the respective Governments and will not be debited to the quantity of 65 T.M.C.ft. mentioned at (a) above.

c) Among other things, the determination of the exact quantity of Krishna contribution as well as amount of weightage that should be given to Hyderabad until a Krishna Reservoir comes to function, was left for further negotiation.

With the above partial agreement the construction of the work was taken up in 1947-48 and negotiations on the unsettled questions were continued between the

Contd....2

the Hyderabad and Madras States. The up-to-date irrigation planning on the two banks is as under:-

(a) Hyderabad decided to utilise 100 T.M.C.ft. on the Left Bank Canal and undertook the construction of the channel accordingly (now wholly in Mysore).

(b) On the Madras side the low level channel has been planned to utilise 43 T.M.C.ft. (now partly in Mysore and partly in Andhra Pradesh).

(c) The evaporation at the reservoir is estimated at 18 T.M.C.ft.

(d) The Krishna contribution has come to be taken as 26 T.M.C.ft. tentatively.

(e) In 1956, the High Level Canal has been sanctioned for 50 T.M.C.ft. (In Mysore and Andhra Pradesh).

The balance of water presently available at Mallapur are 256.5 - (100 plus 43 plus 50 plus 18 plus 26) = 256.5 - 237 = 19.5 T.M.C.ft. Say, 20 T.M.C.ft.

It has also to be noted that the 26 T.M.C.ft. of water earmarked for Krishna contribution is meant to be supplied to Bezwada only upto the time Krishna Reservoir comes to function. Nagarjunasagar is planned to store enough water to supply waters to Bezwada delta from 1961 monsoons onwards. Therefore these 26 T.M.C.ft. will revert to Tungabhadra after June 1961. Therefore the total available supplies at Mallapur over and above the requirements of Tungabhadra Project would be 20 plus 26 = 46 T.M.C.ft.

It is essential that (a) all possibilities of utilisation within a river basin are exhausted before diverting surplus waters to an outside basin and (b) all the possible regenerated flows are utilised.

Accordingly, Mysore proposes to utilise this 46 T.M.C.ft. of water belonging to them along with regeneration from existing works within Tungabhadra

Contd.... 3.

Tungabhadra Basin. Mysore has enough possibilities to do so in Dharwar, Raichur and Bellary Districts, within the Tungabhadra Basin area.

Andhra Pradesh appears to claim certain waters of Tungabhadra for utilisation by them. They are:-

(a) 26 T.M.C.ft. which is known as Krishna contribution from Tungabhadra which gets released after Krishna reservoir comes into being. Krishna contribution being only a temporary accommodation until Krishna Reservoir was to come into being, these waters must revert for utilisation in the basin above Tungabhadra reservoir and particularly in the areas that have now come into Mysore after re-organisation of States.

(b) Limiting utilisation of waters on the Left Bank Canal to 85 T.M.C.ft. instead of 100 T.M.C.ft. as decided by Hyderabad Government. The Ex-Hyderabad Government definitely sanctioned in 1952 the utilisation of 100 T.M.C.ft. under the Left Bank Canal comprising the areas which now are entirely within the Mysore Territory and Mysore presses to stick to that scope. The question of limiting the utilisation to 85 T.M.C.ft. does not therefore arise.

(c) Utilise all the surplus flows totalling to 46 plus 19.5 = 65.5 T.M.C.ft. below the Tungabhadra Dam, mostly on Kurnool Cadapah Canal etc. Andhra Pradesh wants that Tungabhadra should supplement the Kurnool Cudapah Canal, Rajolibunda Canal and other Pre-Moghul Channels to the extents indicated below:-

	T.M.C.ft.
1. Assistance to Kurnool Cudapah Canal ..	18.0
2. Assistance to Rajolibunda ..	8.5
3. Pre-Moghul Channels (right) ..	6.0
4. Pre-Moghul Channels (left) ..	2.0
	34.5

Contd.....4

Government of Mysore have to observe the following in respect of each of the above:-

(i) Kurnool Cudapah Canal's utilisation was 10 T.M.C.ft. (Vide page 6 of 1951 Planning Commission Award). Assistance to Kurnool Cudapah Canal cannot therefore be contemplated from the Tungabhadra waters. It may also be pointed out that the exact extent of such an assistance needed by Kurnool Cudapah from Tungabhadra is 7.3 T.M.C.ft. only vide Chief Engineer (Special),Madras, letter No.122/46-P-8 of 29-11-1947 addressed to the Secretary to Government of Madras, Public Works Department (Vide para 3 of the letter given at page 188 of published correspondence regarding Tungabhadra Project Volume II for 1946-51). Therefore the present demand of 18 T.M.C.ft. of assistance put up by Andhra at Mallapur is highly inflated and cannot therefore be admitted to any extent. Further any legitimate assistance that the Kurnool Cudapah Canal may require for sustaining their old irrigation under that canal can easily be met from the regenerated flow in the Tungabhadra below Mallapur. We are bringing on both sides of the river below Mallapur an extent of nearly a million acres of irrigation.

(ii), (iii) and (iv):- These small quantities required for pre-moghul channels on either side and for the Rajolibunda Canal can easily be obtained from the ample yield of the river below Mallapur which will include steady regenerated flows.

Mysore Government, therefore, contends with full justification that there is no case either to curtail the utilisation on Tungabhadra Left Bank Canal or to allocate part of the 46 T.M.C.ft. to the Andhra Channels below Mallapur.

Finally Mysore Government wishes to point out that this concerns the use of 336 T.M.C.ft. of waters hitherto assumed as dependable flows at Mallapur by Ex-Madras and Ex-Hyderabad and that any additional flows over and above 336 T.M.C.ft. belongs to Mysore in its entirety.

*****

(S.G.Balekundry),
Officer on Special Duty,
Public Works Department.

21

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

MEMORANDUM ON IRRIGATION UNDER LEFT BANK CANAL OF TUNGABHADRA PROJECT

MYSORE GOVERNMENT'S MEMORANDUM ON IRRIGATION UNDER LEFT BANK CANAL OF TUNGABHADRA PROJECT

****

The description of the command of the Left Bank Canal as per the sanctioned Project is available at para 9 of the Project Report. The same is reproduced below:-

" 9.Commanded area & Irrigation

" The total area commanded by the Canal on Hyderabad side is 15,02,000 acres, but as the supply available from the Tungabhadra reservoir will not be sufficient for such a big command it is proposed to restrict the irrigation under the Tungabhadra canal upto a point a little beyond the bifurcation of the North and South Gadwal Branches which will give a net command of 10,80,000 acres. The remaining area of 4,22,000 acres will be served by the Upper Krishna Canal which, when constructed, will join the Tungabhadra canal at mile 107 before the bifurcation of north and south Gadwal branches.

" Out of the net command of 10,80,000 acres allotted to the Tungabhadra Canal it is proposed to irrigate 4,50,000 acres. The cropping scheme is fixed keeping in view the nature of the soil and the crops grown at present. The tract comprise mostly of B.C.Soil and as such, crops requiring light waterings such as cotton, Rabi and Khariff are proposed. In red soils mostly paddy will be grown. Sugarcane, light perennials and garden crops will also be grown in both black and red soil.

" In the Raichur District which is commanded by the Canal there is great scarcity of forest fuel and pasture. It is therefore, proposed to grow fuel reserves and pasture on 1,35,000 acres out of the land left out from Irrigation."

From the above it is clear that:-

a) Even though the canal could command 15.02 lakh acres, irrigation potential is limited to a command of 10.8 lakhs.

b) Out of 10.8 lakhs acres, it is proposed to irrigate 5.85 lakh acres - 4.85 lakh acres of food grains etc., and 1.35 lakh acres of fuels and pastures, thus limiting the intensity of irrigation to a little over 50%.

2. Further, in order to limit the command referred to above, the sanctioned project Report mentions the following at page 1 (Ist para):-

" The South Gadwal Branch will be constructed upto about 14 miles and the north Gadwal branch will be altogether deleted."

Contd.....2.

From the above it is clear that the total length of the Canal according to the sanctioned Project was 127 plus 14 = 141 miles which is well within the boundaries of the present Mysore State. As per States Re-organisation Act only the sanctioned intentions and obligations are to be respected.

3. Inspite of the above facts, the Andhra Government have been frequently claiming irrigation benefits beyond 141st Mile, on the plea that 35 T.M.C.ft. of water meant for extension over and above 65 T.M.C.ft. agreed to under 1944 Agreement between Madras and Hyderabad are for Gadwal and Alampur area. However, this is not quite correct as the sanctioned project itself envisaged utilisation of 100 T.M.C.ft. against an original intention of 65 T.M.C.ft. This fact is confirmed in very clear terms by the Chief Secretary, Government of Hyderabad, in his letter No.2257 dated 25-7-1953 addressed to the Secretary, Public Works Department, Government of Madras extract of which is reproduced below:-

> " The Tungabhadra Project on the Hyderabad side for an eventual utilisation of 100 T.M.C.ft. has been fully investigated, estimated and approved by the Government of Hyderabad and the works is proceeding accordingly."

And, this sanctioned project being only upto 141st mile which is wholly within the present Mysore limits, no irrigation in Gadwal and Alampur was ever intended as now being contended by Andhra State.

4. During the course of discussion, in the past, the Andhra representatives have stated that the original scheme prepared by Shri M.Gopalan in 1934 provided for irrigation in Gadwal and Alampur and therefore regardless of subsequently sanctioned project, water should be made available to this area. Mysore would point out that they can give no weight to the unsanctioned report of 1934. Apart from this even that report of 1934 did

conted....3.

did not provide for the irrigation of Gadwal and Alampur area from the Tungabhadra Canal. (Vide extract enclosed).

5. The 1952 project also, as already stated, did not provide for these areas. There is thus no case for the Andhra State's claim. This subject is one figuring in the Inter-State Conferences between the two States. In the Conference held at Bangalore on the 5th and 6th June 1959, Mysore reiterated her stand that there could be no basis for the claim made by the Andhra Pradesh for supply of water from the Tungabhadra Project to areas in Gadwal and Alampur Taluks. It was, however, decided that this matter would be further considered, if so indicated by any fresh data. This subject was discussed again in the Inter-State Conference during November 1959. No fresh data was furnished by Andhra Pradesh Government to substantiate their claims.

****

(S.G.Balekundry),
Officer on Special Duty,
Public Works Department.

EXTRACT FROM 1934 - TUNGABHADRA PROJECT

H Y D E R A B A D

(Prepared by Shri M.Gopalan)

===

Part I of 1934 Report.

13. COMMANDED AREA

The main canal will be 139½ miles long upto Rampur, a village two miles to the west of Raichur. At this point, it bifurcates into two, called the North Gadwal and the South Gadwal branches. These join again at Man-gampet in the Gadwal samasthan and run as the tail channel passing through a part of the Gadwal samasthan and the Allampur Taluk. The total length of these branches will be 115½ miles. A canal from the proposed Upper Krishna Reservoir will join the Tungabhadra canal at Morat. From this point of junction, the canal will be common to both the projects. The Construction of the North Gadwal channel will be restricted to the Gadwal frontier as enough land will be available in that reach. The tail portion of this branch, South Gadwal and Alampur channels will not be taken up in this estimate and will be a charge on the Upper Krishna Scheme.

x x x

The total area commanded by the canal, including that in Koppal and Anagudi, will be 12,12,741 acres (gross command) Deducting from these the high lands, the net command will be 11,71,410. acres.

The areas commanded are tabulated below by taluqs:-

Distribution of areas commanded.

Taluqs	Gross Command	High lands	Net command
Koppal Taluq	16,953	4,480	12,473
Anagundi Samasthan	9,500	7,078	2,422
Gangawathi Taluq	1,61,584	8,013	1,53,571
Sindhunur	2,81,670	6,682	2,74,988
Lingsugur	2,170	Nil	2,170
Manvi	3,25,969	12,876	3,13,093
Deodrug	1,83,910	2,202	1,81,708
Raichur	2,30,985	Nil	2,30,985
Total	12,12,741	41,331	11,71,410

27. Description of the canal

The canal enters the plain country beyond mile 26. There are in all 9 minor ridges to be cut through between miles 27 and 108 and, though the depths of cut vary between 25 and 34½ feet, their lengths are not great. At mile 109 it enters the Morat valley where it is joined by the canal from the Upper Krishna Reservoir. There will be a common canal from here carrying a discharge of 8,098 cusecs, of which 5,240 cusecs will be from Upper Krishna. At mile 120 the canal gets on to the parting ridge between the Tungabhadra and Krishna. The canal then runs on the water-shed line for a distance of 19½ miles and at Rampur 2 miles to the West of Raichur, it bifurcates into two branches one running towards the North and the other towards the South of Raichur. These branches will not be excavated to their full lengths till the Upper Krishna Reservoir Scheme is taken up. For the present only the north branch will be excavated for a length of about 26 miles terminating at Gadwal frontier.

Part II of 1934 Report.

38. Alignment.

The main canal will be 139½ miles long, of which the

27

last 30½ miles from Moret, where the Upper Krishna Right Bank Canal joins it, will be a common canal. The total area commanded will be 18,71,749 acres and, for reasons to be stated later in para 6 Part III, only 11,71,410 acres have been allocated under the Tungabhadra Scheme. This area comprises the Koppal Jaghir, Gangavathi, Sindhnur, Manvi, Deodrug and Raichur taluqs. In all, lands belong to 546 villages will be irrigated.

Part III of 1934 Report

6. Irrigation and how fixed.

The District of Raichur, situated as it is between the two great rivers Krishna and Tungabhadra, is wholly commanded by them. If the whole of this tract is to be brought under irrigation it will be necessary to construct two resdrvoirs one across each of the rivers. The question of apportioning the extent of irrigation under each of the two schemes, is however a matter depending upon the capacities of the reservoirs, river supply and other features.

For purpose of irrigation under the Tungabhadra, an area of 11,71,410 acres has been apportioned to it, consistent with the supply, economical length of the canal and minimum transmission losses. This area comprises lands situated in Koppal Jaghir, Anagundi Samasthan, Gangawathi, Sindhnur, Deodrug, Manvi and Raichur Taluqs.

==

(S.G.Balekundry)
Officer on Special Duty,
Public Works Department.

BmS:

Copy of letter No.Ø PWD 32 BRA 59 dated 8th Sept.1960, from the Secretary to Govt.P.W.& Elec.,Bangalore,to the Secretary to the Government of India,Ministry of Irrigation & Power,New Delhi.

Sub:-Tungabhadra Project-Action required to be taken in consequence of the States Reorganisation Act 1956.

Ref:-1.This Govt.letter No.PWD 11 MTB 59 dt.4.6.59.
2.This Government's letter No.PWD 34 MTB 59 dt.20-10-59.
3.Your letter No.34(10)/57-DWVI/DWIV dt.23.6.60.

I am directed to invite a reference to the communication cited above and to forward herewith ten copies of the Memoranda prepared on behalf of this Government on the following four subjects:

1) Allocation of cost on the Tungabhadra Low Level Canal (Bellary side)between Mysore and Andhra Pradesh States.

2) Claims of Andhra Pradesh Government on Power generated on the Left Bank Canal of Tungabhadra Project.

3) Sharing of waters of Tungabhadra at Mallapur.

4) Irrigation under Left Bank Canal of Tungabhadra Project.

The receipt of the same may kindly be acknowledged.

I am to request that ~~same may kindly be acknowledged~~ copies of the Memorandum prepared by the Government of Andhra Pradesh may kindly be sent to this Government. I am also to request that copies of our memoranda should not be passed on to the Government of Andhra Pradesh till copies of their memoranda are sent to us.

:COPY:

ncj/d.141161.

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

MEMORANDUM ON THE USE OF TUNGABHADRA WATERS AT MALLAPUR (TUNGABHADRA DAM)

MEMORANDUM ON THE USE OF TUNGABHADRA WATERS AT MALLAPUR (TUNGABHADRAM DAM)

*****

After protracted negotiations between the erstwhile States of Hyderabad and Madras, the dependable yields at Mallapur (Tungabhadra Dam Site) came to be tentatively assumed as 336 T.M.C.ft.

The upper requirements were assumed by Hyderabad and Madras as 79.5 T.M.C.ft. (vide.1944 Agreements between the States and the 1951 Planning Commission allocation of Krishna waters) as detailed below:-

		T.M.C.Ft.
a) Ex-Bombay (now part of Mysore)	..	1.0
b) Lakkavalli	..	57.0
c) Tunga Anicut	..	11.5
d) Proposed new works in (Old)Mysore	..	10.0
Total	..	79.5

Therefore the net quantity of waters available at Mallapur has been taken as 336-79.5 =256.5.

The main utilisations at Tungabhadra agreed to between Hyderabad and Madras without prejudice to final apportionment of flows are given in the 1944 agreement as follows:-

a) Each State to utilise 65 T.M.C.ft. but design the canals for higher utilisations each State taking its own risk to use additional waters that may fall due in the event of final apportionment. The support required by Pre-Moghul channels, Kurnool Kadapah channel of Madras and Rajolibunda canal of Hyderabad to be debited to the 65 T.M.C.ft., the share of each State.

b) The contribution to the Kistna will be met by regulated flows from the reservoir and such waters may be used to develop power by the respective Governments and will not be debited to the quantity of 65 T.M.C.ft. mentioned at (a) above.

c) Among other things, the determination of the exact quantity of Krishna contribution as well as amount of weightage that should be given to Hyderabad until a Krishna Reservoir comes to function, was left for further negotiation.

With the above partial agreement the construction of the work was taken up in 1947-48 and negotiations on the unsettled questions were continued between the

Contd....2

the Hyderabad and Madras States. The up-to-date irrigation planning on the two banks is as under:-

(a) Hyderabad decided to utilise 100 T.M.C.ft. on the Left Bank Canal and undertook the construction of the channel accordingly (now wholly in Mysore).

(b) On the Madras side the low level channel has been planned to utilise 43 T.M.C.ft. (now partly in Mysore and partly in Andhra Pradesh).

(c) The evaporation at the reservoir is estimated at 18 T.M.C.ft.

(d) The Krishna contribution has come to be taken as 26 T.M.C.ft. tentatively.

(e) In 1956, the High Level Canal has been sanctioned for 50 T.M.C.ft. (In Mysore and Andhra Pradesh).

The balance of water presently available at Mallapur are 256.5 - (100 plus 43 plus 50 plus 18 plus 26) = 256.5 - 237 = 19.5 T.M.C.ft. Say, 20 T.M.C.ft.

It has also to be noted that the 26 T.M.C.ft. of water earmarked for Krishna contribution is meant to be supplied to Bezwada only upto the time Krishna Reservoir comes to function. Nagarjunasagar is planned to store enough water to supply waters to Bezwada delta from 1961 monsoons onwards. Therefore these 26 T.M.C.ft. will revert to Tungabhadra after June 1961. Therefore the total available supplies at Mallapur over and above the requirements of Tungabhadra Project would be 20 plus 26 = 46 T.M.C.ft.

It is essential that (a) all possibilities of utilisation within a river basin are exhausted before diverting surplus waters to an outside basin and (b) all the possible regenerated flows are utilised.

Accordingly, Mysore proposes to utilise this 46 T.M.C.ft. of water belonging to them along with regeneration from existing works within Tungabhadra

Contd.... 3.

Tungabhadra Basin. Mysore has enough possibilities to do so in Dharwar, Raichur and Bellary Districts, within the Tungabhadra Basin area.

Andhra Pradesh appears to claim certain waters of Tungabhadra for utilisation by them. They are:-

(a) 26 T.M.C.ft. which is known as Krishna contribution from Tungabhadra which gets released after Krishna reservoir comes into being. Krishna contribution being only a temporary accommodation until Krishna Reservoir was to come into being, these waters must revert for utilisation in the basin above Tungabhadra reservoir and particularly in the areas that have now come into Mysore after re-organisation of States.

(b) Limiting utilisation of waters on the Left Bank Canal to 85 T.M.C.ft. instead of 100 T.M.C.ft. as decided by Hyderabad Government. The Ex-Hyderabad Government definitely sanctioned in 1952 the utilisation of 100 T.M.C.ft. under the Left Bank Canal comprising the areas which now are entirely within the Mysore Territory and Mysore presses to stick to that scope. The question of limiting the utilisation to 85 T.M.C.ft. does not therefore arise.

(c) Utilise all the surplus flows totalling to 46 plus 19.5 = 65.5 T.M.C.ft. below the Tungabhadra Dam, mostly on Kurnool Cadapah Canal etc. Andhra Pradesh wants that Tungabhadra should supplement the Kurnool Cudapah Canal, Rajolibunda Canal and other Pre-Moghul Channels to the extents indicated below:-

	T.M.C.ft.
1. Assistance to Kurnool Cudapah Canal ..	18.0
2. Assistance to Rajolibunda ..	8.5
3. Pre-Moghul Channels (right) ..	6.0
4. Pre-Moghul Channels (left) ..	2.0
	34.5

Contd.....4

Government of Mysore have to observe the following in respect of each of the above:-

(i) Kurnool Cudapah Canal's utilisation was 10 T.M.C.ft. (Vide page 6 of 1951 Planning Commission Award). Assistance to Kurnool Cudapah Canal cannot therefore be contemplated from the Tungabhadra waters. It may also be pointed out that the exact extent of such an assistance needed by Kurnool Cudapah from Tungabhadra is 7.3 T.M.C.ft. only vide Chief Engineer (Special), Madras, letter No.122/46-P-8 of 29-11-1947 addressed to the Secretary to Government of Madras, Public Works Department (Vide para 3 of the letter given at page 188 of published correspondence regarding Tungabhadra Project Volume II for 1946-51). Therefore the present demand of 18 T.M.C.ft. of assistance put up by Andhra at Mallapur is highly inflated and cannot therefore be admitted to any extent. Further any legitimate assistance that the Kurnool Cudapah Canal may require for sustaining their old irrigation under that canal can easily be met from the regenerated flow in the Tungabhadra below Mallapur. We are bringing on both sides of the river below Mallapur an extent of nearly a million acres of irrigation.

<u>(ii), (iii) and (iv):-</u> These small quantities required for pre-moghul channels on either side and for the Rajolibunda Canal can easily be obtained from the ample yield of the river below Mallapur which will include steady regenerated flows.

Mysore Government, therefore, contends with full justification that there is no case either to curtail the utilisation on Tungabhadra Left Bank Canal or to allocate part of the 46 T.M.C.ft. to the Andhra Channels below Mallapur..

Finally Mysore Government wishes to point out that this concerns the use of 336 T.M.C.ft. of waters hitherto assumed as dependable flows at Mallapur by Ex-Madras and Ex-Hyderabad and that any additional flows over and above 336 T.M.C.ft. belongs to Mysore in its entirety.

*****

(S.G.Balekundry),
Officer on Special Duty,
Public Works Department.

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
Date 3/8
PUBLIC WORKS & ELEC DEPTS.

From
Sri.A.R.Venkataraman,
B.A.,B.E., I.S.E.,
Secretary, T.B.Board.,
Tungabhadra ~~Board~~Dam.P.O.,
Via. Hospet.Bellary Dt.

To
The Secretary to the Government of Andhra, P. W.& T. Deptt., Kurnool.

The Secretary to the Government of Mysore, P.W.& Ele. Deptt., Bangalore.

Letter No.Rc.No. 2196/55/M1-2 dated 1-8-55.

Sir,

Sub:-- Note on certain important problems in respect of the Tungabhadra Board.

I am directed to forward herewith a copy of note on certain important problems relating to the works of the Tungabhadra Board and to request you kindly to communicate the views of your State Government on the points raised in the note.

Encl:- 1 Copy of note.

Yours faithfully

(A.R.VENKATARAMAN)
SECRETARY
TUNGABHADRA BOARD.

SR. 30.7.

Note by Chairman, Tungabhadra Board on certain important problems.

The following brief review of the Tungabhadra Project (Andhra-Mysore side) has been attempted in order to serve as a tentative basis for discussion of important problems confronting the Tungabhadra Board. Members are requested to suggest further points which may have been left out in this note. It is not intended that these points should be discussed at the third meeting of the Board from 6th to 8th July, 1955. The time for discussion would seem to be the fourth meeting of the Board likely to be held towards the end of August 1955, or any subsequent meeting.

2). The sanctioned project on the irrigation side is almost complete. The Low Level Canal will be tested to full capacity during next cold weather, and improvements effected where necessary. The irrigation side of the project is thus likely to be completed by June 1956.

3). The organisation of the irrigation side is still intact, and could immediately take up work on the High Level Canal, if so desired, before the existing organisation is broken up. Alternatively Mysore and Andhra Governments could undertake construction of portions of the High Level Canal lying within their own territories.

4). On the Hydro-Electric side, progress seems rather further slow. The sanctioned project includes 8 units of 9,000 KW each. Of these, four units were ordered some 3 years ago. Two of these on the dam power house should be in commission by end of 1956. The other two on the canal power houses may be in commission by middle of 1957.

5) The Project is designed to generate 72,000 K.W- 4 units of 9000 KW at the Damsite and 4 units of 9000 KW at the Canal Power House. Considering the shortage of electricity in this area, it is desirable that the remaining 4 units of 9,000 KW should be ordered and commissioned as soon as possible. These include one unit of 9,000 KW which is part of the sanctioned Project, for which the

the Tungabhadra Board could take the initiative. The other three units of 9,000 KW are not included in the sanctioned project, and the initiative will have to be taken by the Andhra or Mysore State Governments. This must be done before the Second Five Year Plan has been finalised.

6). The agency of construction of the 4 additional units will have to be settled. The 4 units now in hands are being on constructed by a Sub Committee consisting of the Chief Electrical Engineers of Andhra and Mysore working under the Tungabhadra Board. This is hardly satisfactory.

7). There are some other points in connection with the Project which deserve consideration:

i) Ways and means of speeding up construction and keeping down the cost;

ii) Ways and means of speedy utilisation of water and Power.

iii) The allocation of waters as between Andhra & Mysore

iv) Future arrangements for regulation of supplies of water and power ~~xxxx~~ and maintenance of the dam and common works.

v) Utilisation and disposal of construction plant ~~and~~ and equipment.

vi) Future of the Workshops.

vii) The apportionment of cost between Andhra and Mysore on the irrigation and Hydro Electric sides as also before and after 1-10-53.

viii) Publicity and propaganda- Tourism.

8) It may be hoped that the third meeting of the Board from 5th to 8th July 1955 will dispose of pending arrears and leave the Board free to tackle the problems indicated in the foregoing paragraphs. Proper consideration of these matters will necessarily depend on accurate factual information which all have to be prepared in the Board's office and supplemented by reference to the State Governments. It is only when all the facts are known that the Board may proceed to discuss the points at issue and formulate suitable recommendations for final decision.

/True copy/.

Superintendent.

A note prepared by the Chairman, Tungabhadra Board on certain important problems to be dealt with by the Board at its ensuing meeting has been circulated among the Members and among the Governments concerned for opinion. The subjects dealt with in the note and a brief review of the position with regard to each are given below:-

1. Tungabhadra High Level Canal:

The point raised in the note is whether this project which has been proposed by Andhra, should be executed by the Board whose organisation on the irrigation side is still intact or whether the execution in each State may be left to the agency to be set up by the Governments concerned.

According to the project prepared by Andhra, the scheme costs Rs.18.96 crores and is intended to irrigate 3,80,000 acres, of which 80,000 acres will be in Mysore area. This project was prepared without reference to the Mysore Government. The Planning Commission having forwarded the project to this Government for comments, a note was sent to them in July 1955 making the following points:

i) The information furnished by Andhra on the scheme is insufficient for a full technical appraisal of the project and for enabling this Government to furnish final comments;

ii) Hyderabad is also concerned in the sharing of waters and negotiations will have to be conducted with that State for the sharing of surplus waters in order to determine what quantity will be available for Mysore and Andhra;

iii) Once the surplus is determined as above, the shares to be allocated to Mysore and Andhra will have to be fixed by negotiation;

iv) The scheme actually authorised by the Government of Madras in regard to the High Level Canal contemplated the excavation of that Canal up to Chinnahagari, i.e., up to a point close to the Mysore border. The reasons for not only extending the scheme far beyond that point but also for providing for the bulk of irrigation in Andhra not been given;

v) There are possibilities of utilisation of surplus waters either by means of the present Low Level Canal itself, or by a High Level Canal up to a certain point and by the Low Level Canal together, after improving the capacity of the latter;

vi) It is a well-recognised principle in regard to any canal project that the possibility of irrigation in the earlier regions should be given priority over irrigation in the farther reaches. This will avoid scattering of irrigation as under the Low Level Canal and also secured economy;

vii) Further comments, mainly technical in nature, will be furnished on receipt of fuller information.

The stand to be taken by the Government of Mysore when the subject comes up for discussion at the Board meeting is for consideration.

2. <u>Execution of the Hydro-Electric side of the Tungabhadra Project</u>:

At present the common works on the Hydro-Electric side which come under the control of the Board are being executed under the supervision of a Sub-Committee consisting of the Chief Electrical Engineers of Andhra and Mysore, the former being the convenor. The main offices are located at the Headquarters of the Andhra Chief Electrical Engineer, and there is only a Superintending Engineer (Civil), borne on the Andhra cadre, in charge of execution at the dam site. From the commencement, Mysore has been urging, in the Board and outside, that one of the two senior executive officers under the Board should be a Mysorean. Laterly, it has been suggested that on the electrical side, an Electrical Superintending Engineer should be put in charge and that he should be a Mysorean as the other officer, viz., the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, is from Andhra. More recently still, it has been proposed that in order to secure greater

supervision and quicker execution there should be an organisation at the spot under a Chief Electrical Engineer with ~~xxx~~ full powers, who will replace the existing Sub-Committee and who will be responsible directly to the Board. A note prepared in this behalf by the Chief Electrical Engineer of Mysore has been circulated among the Members of the Board. The cost works out to about 2.97 per cent of the estimate for the balance of works, which will be about Rs.7 crores. This is far less than the cost provided in the estimate viz., 12½ per cent for establishment. The note circulated by the Chairman concedes that the present arrangement under which Sub-Committee is in charge of execution is unsatisfactory. It is proposed to press the latest suggestion made on behalf of Mysore and also the appointment of a Mysore Officer as Chief Electrical Engineer if the proposal should be accepted.

3. <u>Advancing of the execution of Hydro-Electric Project:</u>

The project at present is designed to generate 72,000 K.W. The installation of generating machinery to produce another 36,000 K.W. is contemplated in the scheme but has not been sanctioned. The proposal is that in view of the power shortage not only in that area but elsewhere, the installation of 4 more units to generate additional power may be advanced and may be taken up in the early part of the Second Five Year Plan. Mysore will have to indicate its concurrence to the inclusion of the balance of electrical works in the Second Plan and for its execution within the next 2 or 3 years, and to meet its share of the expenditure in this behalf. Technical opinion is in favour of this as it would secure economy and additional revenues within a short time. As in the case of the sanctioned project My re will get ly 20% of any additional power generated.

4. Future of Tungabhadra Workshop:

This work-shop was set up as an auxilliary to Tungabhadra Project and has been engaged not only in the manufacture of crest gates for this and other projects in India, but also in other repair and manufacturing work. Currently, it is also fabricating large low pressure pen-stock pipes for the project itself. The capit l investment on the workshop is understood to be about Rs.28 lakhs, and it is stated that the workshop is one of the best equipped in India with operating machinery obtained from Germany as part of war reparations. The workshop is now the property of both Andhra and My re. The proportion in which they share this asset has yet to be determined. The project itself does not need this workshop much longer. Mysore would stand to gain by retaining this asset for itself, after the workshop ceases to be an adjunct to the project. In that event, Mysore will have to pay the Andhra share of the investment the project. Andhra may, however, object to this, and may want a physical partition of the workshop.

5. Regulation of supplies of water and power maintenance of dam and common works after the project is completed:

It is proposed to suggest that this subject be dealt with by a Technical Committee consisting of the representatives of Andhra and Mysore and that their report be considered by the Board.

6. Over-all control of Board over Hyderabad side of the project.

In April 1955, the Government of India proposed to bring Hyderabad into the Board setup in a more active manner than at present. The position now is that Hyderabad as a Member in the Board though the entire work on that side is being executed by the State's own agency. It is proposed that the Board shall have over-all control in

in respect of the works common to all the 3 States including regulation of supplies of water from the reservoir though the execution on the Hyderabad side would continue to vest with that State subject to such control. The Government of India have prepared a draft resolution on the subject and forwarded it to the Board for its comments. The consent of the Hyderabad Government has been secured to the proposed draft with certain modifications. Mysore's views in this behalf have to be made known at the Board meeting.

7. Other subjects, such as, allocation of waters, utilisation of constructions, plant and equipment etc.,

These will form the subject-matter of talks between Andhra and Mysore at the proposed Inter-State Conference to be held next month.

TSRN.

COUNCIL NOTE.

With the enactment of Part [illegible] States Laws Act by Parliament, the Mysore Electricity Act of 1944 stood repealed and the Indian Electricity Act, 1910 and the Electricity (Supply) Act, 1948 have been in force in the State from 1st April 1951. Both these Acts provide constitution of Electricity Boards in the States. Under section 5 of the Electricity (Supply) Act, the State Governments are required to constitute Electricity Boards consisting of not less than three and not more than seven members. Membership may be on a part-time basis, but it is laid down that one member should be of proved administrative ability and commercial experience, ~~and~~ one an electrical Engineer of wide experience, and one an accountant with experience of electricity supply industry or other public utility. One of the members is to be the Chairman of the Board. The Board is charged with the general duty of promoting the co-ordinated development of generation, supply and distribution of electricity in a most efficient and economical manner with particular reference to the under-developed areas and to prepare and carry out schemes of generation and supply. The Board is empowered to acquire existing electricity undertakings by purchase or transfer and any loan guaranteed by Government for this purpose will bear interest which would be a first charge the Board's revenues after meeting operation, maintenance and management expenses. The Board is required as far as practicable to carry on its operations without incurring loss and it could therefore adjust its tariffs accordingly. After its operating expenses and interest on loans are met, the Board will have to ~~be~~ meet its liabilities in the following order:

(1) Credits to the depreciation reserve;

(2) Repayment of principal and interest on sums paid by the State Government in guarantee;

(continued)

(3) Contribution to general reserve not exceeding 1% of the assets;

(4) One half of the balance, if any, towards reduction of tariffs or for electrical development and the other half for repayment of interest-free loans and to State revenues.

It will thus be seen that apart from the return of principal and interest on sums paid by the State Governments, any credit to State revenues will receive the last priority in the scheme of allocation of the Board's liabilities. The Board's revenues are also made specifically subject to income-tax.

The Government of India have for the last three years been urging the several State Governments to constitute Electricity Boards as required under the Electricity (Supply) Act. Most of the State Governments have been opposing the formation of such Boards although a few like, Delhi, Madhya Pradesh and Saurashtra have formed these Boards and the States of West Bengal, U.P. and Bombay have also joined them latterly. The main arguments advanced by the Central Government in favour of the formation of Boards are:

(1) Parliament has after due deliberation enacted a law which makes it obligatory on the part of States to form Electricity Boards.

(2) Sufficient action has not been taken to supply power to rural parts and the States do not possess suitable personnel to manage the undertaking in a co-ordinated way.

(3) There are several advantages in the formation of autonomous Boards, such as quick decision on matters of day to day administration and general policy. Governmental methods are found to be cumbersome and delayed.

(4) The Boards are endowed with large powers in the management subject to the overall control of Government and on the advise of State Electricity Councils and Local Advisory Committees

(5) The formation of Boards secures uniform control, Procedure and rational development of electricity in co-ordination with State Boards all over India.

The arguments advanced against the formation of Boards are:

(1) In States where the entire electricity undertaking is managed by the States, there are well organised Electricity Departments which have been carrying on the task of generation and supply of electricity smoothly and with a view to the development of under-developed and undeveloped areas.

(2) It would be difficult in several cases to secure suitable personnel for selection as members of the Board in view of the fact that members are vested with very wide powers and require men of high attainments and qualifications.

(3) It would be difficult to secure an effective liaison between the State Government and the Board and the Board may not always respond to public needs and opinion in the manner that an Electricity Department directly controll by Government would do.

(4) The formation of Boards would unnecessarily involve additional expenditure and hence an undesirable increase in tariffs, particularly as Boards are required to work without any l s.

(5) The Board's revenues would be subject to ~~income~~ income tax and any balance of the revenues that could be utilised towards the electricity development, even if the Board shows profits, would be very meagre.

A Conference of the State Ministers for Electricity was held in February 1955 in Delhi under the Chairmanship of the Union Minister for Irrigation and Power to discuss this question. The arguments referred to above were canvas. once again at the meeting. Mysore, Madras, Travancore-C i Cochin, Punjab and Bihar were in the forefront of the States that opposed the formation of Electricity Boards and desired the Act should be so amended as to enable the Central Government to grant complete exemption to States from the relevant provisions of the Act. The Union Minister, however, said in winding up that he did not find sufficient reasons to approach Parliament to amend the basic scheme of the Act and the utmost that could be done was to continue the exempti now granted from year to year up to the end of 31st March 1956. He suggested that all the remaining States should fall in line with the scheme of the Act and make arrangements for the formation of Boards by the deadline fixed.

(continued)

1885
Elect.

Since then the Union Minister also addressed a letter to the Chief Minister of Mysore desiring to know what steps have been taken in the matter of constitution of the Board. The Chief Minister replied urging that in the light of the arguments put forward by the State, the law be amended so as to make the constitution of Boards optional. No reply has been received to this letter, but the Government of India have been officially addressing this Government asking about the plans this Government have for the constitution of the Board. More recently the Union Deputy Minister for Irrigation and Power has written to the Chief Minister suggesting a re-consideration of the State's stand in the light of the personal talks stated to have been held in July at Delhi.

The Chief Minister has ordered that the matter be discussed at a meeting of the Council. Hence this note.

(D.H.Reddy)
For Secretary to Government
Electrical Department

mat.10)

A note prepared by the Chairman, Tungabhadra Board on certain important problems to be dealt with by the Board at its ensuing meeting has been circulated among the Members and among the Governments concerned for opinion. The subjects dealt with in the note and a brief review of the position with regard to each are given below:-

1. Tungabhadra High Level Canal:

The point raised in the note is whether this project which has been proposed by Andhra, should be executed by the Board whose organisation on the irrigation side is still intact or whether the execution in each State may be left to the agency to be set up by the Governments concerned.

According to the project prepared by Andhra, the scheme costs Rs.18.96 crores and is intended to irrigate 3,80,000 acres, of which 80,000 acres will be in Mysore area. This project was prepared without reference to the Mysore Government. The Planning Commission having forwarded the project to this Government for comments, a note was sent to them in July 1955 making the following points:

i) The information furnished by Andhra on the scheme is insufficient for a full technical appraisal of the project and for enabling this Government to furnish final comments;

ii) Hyderabad is also concerned in the sharing of waters and negotiations will have to be conducted with that State for the sharing of surplus waters in order to determine what quantity will be available for Mysore and Andhra;

iii) Once the surplus is determined as above, the shares to be allocated to Mysore and Andhra will have to be fixed by negotiation;

iv) The scheme actually authorised by the Government of Madras in regard to the High Level Canal contemplated the excavation of that Canal up to Chinnahagari, i.e., up to a point close to the Mysore border. The reasons for not only extending the scheme far beyond that point but also for providing for the bulk of irrigation in Andhra not been given;

v) There are possibilities of utilisation of surplus waters either by means of the present Low Level Canal itself, or by a High Level Canal up to a certain point and by the Low Level Canal together, after improving the capacity of the latter;

vi) It is a well-recognised principle in regard to any canal project that the possibility of irrigation in the earlier regions should be given priority over irrigation in the farther reaches. This will avoid scattering of irrigation as under the Low Level Canal and also secured economy;

vii) Further comments, mainly technical in nature, will be furnished on receipt of fuller information.

The stand to be taken by the Government of Mysore when the subject comes up for discussion at the Board meeting is for consideration.

2. Execution of the Hydro-Electric side of the Tungabhadra Project:

At present the common works on the Hydro-Electric side which come under the control of the Board are being executed under the supervision of a Sub-Committee consisting of the Chief Electrical Engineers of Andhra and Mysore, the former being the convenor. The main offices are located at the Head quarters of the Andhra Chief Electrical Engineer, and there is only a Superintending Engineer (Civil), borne on the Andhra cadre, in charge of execution at the dam site. From the commencement, Mysore has been urging, in the Board and outside, that one of the two senior executive officers under the Board should be a Mysorean. Laterly, it has been suggested that on the electrical side, an Electrical Superintending Engineer should be put in charge and that he should be a Mysorean as the other officer, viz., the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, is from Andhra. More recently still, it has been proposed that in order to secure greater

supervision and quicker execution there should be an organisation at the spot under a Chief Electrical Engineer with ~~xxx~~ full powers, who will replace the existing Sub-Committee and who will be responsible directly to the Board. A note prepared in this behalf by the Chief Electrical Engineer of Mysore has been circulated among the Members of the Board. The cost works out to about 2.97 per cent of the estimate for the balance of works, which will be about Rs.7 crores. This is far less than the cost provided in the estimate viz., 12½ per cent for establishment. The note circulated by the Chairman concedes that the present arrangement under which a Sub-Committee is in charge of execution is unsatisfactory. It is proposed to press the latest suggestion made on behalf of Mysore and also the appointment of a Mysore Officer as Chief Electrical Engineer if the proposal should be accepted.

3. <u>Advancing of the execution of Hydro-Electric Project:</u>

The project at present is designed to generate 72,000 K.W. The installation of generating machinery to produce another 36,000 K.W. is contemplated in the scheme but has not been sanctioned. The proposal is that in view of the power shortage not only in that area but elsewhere, the installation of 4 more units to generate additional power may be advanced and may be taken up in the early part of the Second Five Year Plan. Mysore will have to indicate its concurrence to the inclusion of the balance of electrical works in the Second Plan and for its execution within the next 2 or 3 years, and to meet its share of the expenditure in this behalf. Technical opinion is in favour of this as it would secure economy and additional revenues within a short time. As in the case of the sanctioned project Mysore will get only 20% of any additional power generated.

4. Future of Tungabhadra Workshop:

This work-shop was set up as an auxilliary to Tungabhadra Project and has been engaged not only in the manufacture of crest gates for this and other projects in India, but also in other repair and manufacturing work. Currently, it is also fabricating large low pressure pen-stock pipes for the project itself. The capital investment on the workshop is understood to be about Rs.28 lakhs, and it is stated that the workshop is one of the best equipped in India with operating machinery obtained from Germany as part of war reparations. The workshop is now the property of both Andhra and Mysore. The proportion in which they share this asset has yet to be determined. The project itself does not need this workshop much longer. Mysore would stand to gain by retaining this asset for itself, after the workshop ceases to be an adjunct to the project. In that event, Mysore will have to pay the Andhra share of the investment on the project. Andhra may, however, object to this, and may want a physical partition of the workshop.

5. Regulation of supplies of water and power maintenance of dam and common works after the project is completed:

It is proposed to suggest that this subject be dealt with by a Technical Committee consisting of the representatives of Andhra and Mysore and that their report be considered by the Board.

6. Over-all control of Board over Hyderabad side of the project.

In April 1955, the Government of India proposed to bring Hyderabad into the Board setup in a more active manner than at present. The position now is that Hyderabad as a Member in the Board though the entire work on that side is being executed by the State's own agency. It is proposed that the Board shall have over-all control in

in respect of the works common to all the 3 States including regulation of supplies of water from the reservoir though the execution on the Hyderabad side would continue to vest with that State subject to such control. The Government of India have prepared a draft resolution on the subject and forwarded it to the Board for its comments. The consent of the Hyderabad Government has been secured to the proposed draft with certain modifications. Mysore's views in this behalf have to be made known at the Board meeting.

7. <u>Other subjects, such as, allocation of waters, utilisation of constructions, plant and equipment etc.,</u>

These will form the subject-matter of talks between Andhra and Mysore at the proposed Inter-State Conference to be held next month.

TORN.

Subjects for Tungabhadra Board

The subjects were considered at the Cabinet meeting held at Mysore on the 19th afternoon when the following instructions were given:-

A note prepared by the Chairman, Tungabhadra Board on certain important problems to be dealt with by the Board at its ensuing meeting has been circulated among the Members and among the Governments concerned for opinion. The subjects dealt with in the note and a brief review of the position with regard to each are given below:-

1. Tungabhadra High Level Canal:

We may agree to Board executing the High Level Canal Scheme if and when it is approved. So far as allocation ~~for this is~~ of benefits is concerned, we will have to press our views about equitable share.

The point raised in the note is whether this project which has been proposed by Andhra, should be executed by the Board, whose organisation on the irrigation side is still intact, or whether the execution in each State may be left to the agency to be set up by the Governments concerned.

According to the project prepared by Andhra, the scheme costs Rs.18.96 crores and is intended to irrigate 3,80,000 acres, of which 80,000 acres will be in Mysore area. This project was prepared without reference to the Mysore Government. The Planning Commission having forwarded the project to this Government for comments, a note was sent to them in July 1955 making the following points:

i) The information furnished by Andhra on the scheme is insufficient for a full technical appraisal of the project and for enabling this Government to furnish final comments;

ii) Hyderabad is also concerned in the sharing of waters and negotiations will have to be conducted with that State for the sharing of surplus waters in order to determine what quantity will be available for Mysore and Andhra;

iii) Once the surplus is determined as above, the shares to be allocated to Mysore and Andhra will have to be fixed by negotiation;

iv) The scheme actually authorised by the Government of Madras in regard to the High Level Canal contemplated the excavation of that Canal up to Chinnahagari, i.e., up to a point close to the Mysore border. The reasons for not only extend the scheme far beyond that point but also for providing for the bulk of irrigation in Andhra not been given.

v) There are possibilities of utilisation of surplus waters either by means of the present Low Level Canal itself, or by a High Level Canal up to a certain point and by the Low Level Canal together, after improving the capacity of the latter;

vi) It is a well-recognised principle in regard to any canal project that the possibility of irrigation in the earlier regions should be given priority over irrigation in the farther reaches. This will avoid scattering of irrigation as under the Low Level Canal and also secured economy;

vii) Further comments, mainly technical in nature, will be furnished on receipt of fuller information.

The stand to be taken by the Government of Mysore when the subject comes up for discussion at the Board meeting is for consideration.

2. Execution of the Hydro-Electric side of the Tungabhadra Project:

At present the common works on the Hydro-Electric side which come under the control of the Board are being executed under the supervision of a Sub-Committee consisting of the Chief Electrical Engineers of Andhra and Mysore, the former being the convenor. The main offices are located at the Headquarters of the Andhra Chief Electrical Engineer, and there is only a Superintending Engineer (Civil), borne on the Andhra cadre, in charge of execution at the dam site. From the commencement, Mysore has been urging, in the Board and outside, that one of the two senior executive officers under the Board should be a Mysorean. Laterly, it has been suggested that on the electrical side, an Electrical Superintending Engineer should be put in charge and that he should be a Mysorean as the other officer, viz., the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, is from Andhra. More recently still, it has been proposed that in order to secure greater

supervision and quicker execution there should be an organisation at the spot under a Chief Electrical Engineer with ~~akk~~ full powers, who will replace the existing Sub-Committee and who will be responsible directly to the Board. A note prepared in this behalf by the Chief Electrical Engineer of Mysore has been circulated among the Members of the Board. The cost works out to about 2.97 per cent of the estimate for the balance of works, which will be about Rs.7 crores. This is far less than the cost provided in the estimate viz., 12½ per cent for establishment. The note circulated by the Chairman concedes that the present arrangement under which a Sub-Committee is in charge of execution is unsatisfactory. It is proposed to press the latest suggestion made on behalf of Mysore and also the appointment of a Mysore Officer as Chief Electrical Engineer if the proposal should be accepted.

May be ~~approved~~ pressed

3. <u>Advancing of the execution of Hydro-Electric Project</u>:

It was pointed out that the scheme is costly as it works out to 1600 Rs per K.W. In view of the shortage of power and the need to harness alternative sources of power until the first stage of Sharavati Valley Project is ready, we may agree to the advancing of the programme.

The project at present is designed to generate 72,000 K.W. The installation of generating machinery to produce another 36,000 K.W. is contemplated in the scheme but has not been sanctioned. The proposal is that in view of the power shortage not only in that area but elsewhere, the installation of 4 more units to generate additional power may be advanced and may be taken up in the early part of the Second Five Year Plan. Mysore will have to indicate its concurrence to the inclusion of the balance of electrical works in the Second Plan and for its execution within the next 2 or 3 years, and to meet its share of the expenditure in this behalf. Technical opinion is in favour of this as it would secure economy and additional revenues within a short time. As in the case of the sanctioned project Mysore will get only 20% of any additional power generated.

4. Future of Tungabhadra Workshop:

We may take over the workshop after paying Andhra its share of cost in the asset.

This work-shop was set up as an auxiliary to Tungabhadra Project and has been engaged not only in the manufacture of crest gates for this and other projects in India, but also in other repair and manufacturing work. Currently, it is also fabricating large low pressure pen-stock pipes for the project itself. The capital investment on the workshop is understood to be about Rs.28 lakhs, and it is stated that the workshop is one of the best-equipped in India with operating machinery obtained from Germany as part of war reparations. The workshop is now the property of both Andhra and Mysore. The proportion in which they share this asset has yet to be determined. The project itself does not need this workshop much longer. Mysore would stand to gain by retaining this asset for itself, after the workshop ceases to be an adjunct to the project. In that event, Mysore will have to pay the Andhra share of the investment on the project. Andhra may, however, object to this, and may want a physical partition of the workshop.

5. Regulation of supplies of water and power maintenance of dam and common works after the project is completed:

Agreed. ~~The over-all control of the Board over hydro-electricity we may agree to the resolution of the Government of India~~

It is proposed to suggest that this subject be dealt with by a Technical Committee consisting of the representatives of Andhra and Mysore and that their report be considered by the Board.

6. Over-all control of Board over Hyderabad side of the project.

The over-all control of the Board over ~~[illegible]~~ Hyderabad side we may agree. We may agree to the resolution of the Government of India subject to the modifications found necessary.

In April 1955, the Government of India proposed to bring Hyderabad into the Board setup in a more active manner than at present. The position now is that Hyderabad as a Member in the Board though the entire work on that side is being executed by the State's own agency. It is proposed that the Board shall have over-all control in

in respect of the works common to all the 3 States including regulation of supplies of water from the reservoir though the execution on the Hyderabad side would continue to vest with that State subject to such control. The Government of India have prepared a draft resolution on the subject and forwarded it to the Board for its comments. The consent of the Hyderabad Government has been secured to the proposed draft with certain modifications. Mysore's views in this behalf have to be made known at the Board meeting.

Recorded.

7. Other subjects, such as, allocation of waters, utilisation of constructions, plant and equipment etc.,

These will form the subject-matter of talks between Andhra and Mysore at the proposed Inter-State Conference to be held next month.

TSRN.

SUBJECTS FOR TUNGABHADRA BOARD.

The subjects were considered at the Cabinet meeting held at Mysore on the 19th - afternoon when the following instructions were given:-

A note prepared by the Chairman, Tungabhadra Board on certain important problems to be dealt with by the Board at its ensuing meeting has been circulated among the Members and among the Governments concerned for opinion. The subjects dealt with in the note and a brief review of the position with regard to each are given below:-

1. Tungabhadra High Level Canal:

We may agree to Board executing the High Level Canal Scheme if and when it is approved. So far as allocation of benefits ~~for this~~ is concerned, we will have to ~~xxxxxxxx~~ press our views about equitable share.

The point raised in the note is whether this project which has been proposed by Andhra, should be executed by the Board whose organisation on the irrigation side is still intact or whether the execution in each State may be left to the agency to be set up by the Governments concerned.

According to the project prepared by Andhra, the scheme costs Rs.18.96 crores and is intended to irrigate 3,80,000 acres, of which 80,000 acres will be in Mysore area. This project was prepared without reference to the Mysore Government. The Planning Commission having forwarded the project to this Government for comments, a note was sent to them in July 1955 making the following points:

i) The information furnished by Andhra on the scheme is insufficient for a full technical appraisal of the project and for enabling this Government to furnish final comments;

ii) Hyderabad is also concerned in the sharing of waters and negotiations will have to be conducted with that State for the sharing of surplus waters in order to determine what quantity will be available for Mysore and Andhra;

iii) Once the surplus is determined as above, the shares to be allocated to Mysore and Andhra will have to be fixed by negotiation;

iv) The scheme actually authorised by the Government of Madras in regard to the High Level Canal contemplated the excavation of that Canal up to Chinnahagari, i.e., up to a point close to the Mysore border. The reasons for not only extending the scheme far beyond that point but also for providing for the bulk of irrigation in Andhra have not been given;

v) There are possibilities of utilisation of surplus waters either by means of the present Low Level Canal itself, or by a High Level Canal up to a certain point and by the Low Level Canal together, after improving the capacity of the latter;

vi) It is a well-recognised principle in regard to any canal project that the possibility of irrigation in the earlier regions should be given priority over irrigation in the farther reaches. This will avoid scattering of irrigation as under the Low Level Canal and also secured economy;

vii) Further comments, mainly technical in nature, will be furnished on receipt of fuller information.

The stand to be taken by the Government of Mysore when the subject comes up for discussion at the Board meeting is for consideration.

2. Execution of the Hydro-Electric side of the Tungabhadra Project:

At present the common works on the Hydro-Electric side which come under the control of the Board are being executed under the supervision of a Sub-Committee consisting of the Chief Electrical Engineers of Andhra and Mysore, the former being the convenor. The main offices are located at the Headquarters of the Andhra Chief Electrical Engineer, and there is only a Superintending Engineer (Civil), borne on the Andhra cadre, in charge of execution at the dam site. From the commencement, Mysore has been urging, in the Board and outside, that one of the two senior executive officers under the Board should be a Mysorean. Laterly, it has been suggested that on the electrical side, an Electrical Superintending Engineer should be put in charge and that he should be a Mysorean as the other officer, viz., the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, is from Andhra. More recently still, it has been proposed that in order to secure greater

supervision and quicker execution there should be an organisation at the spot under a Chief Electrical Engineer with full powers, who will replace the existing Sub-Committee and who will be responsible directly to the Board. A note prepared in this behalf by the Chief Electrical Engineer of Mysore has been circulated among the Members of the Board. The cost works out to about 2.97 per cent of the estimate for the balance of works, which will be about Rs.7 crores. This is far less than the cost provided in the estimate viz., 12½ per cent for establishment. The note circulated by the Chairman concedes that the present arrangement under which a Sub-Committee is in charge of execution is unsatisfactory. It is proposed to press the latest suggestion made on behalf of Mysore and also the appointment of a Mysore Officer as Chief Electrical Engineer if the proposal should be accepted.

May be pressed.

3. Advancing of the execution of Hydro-Electric Project:

It was pointed out that the scheme is costly as it works out to 1600 Rs per K.W. In view of the shortage of power and the need to harness alternative sources of power until the first stage of Sharavati Valley Project is ready, we may agree to the advancing of the programme.

The project at present is designed to generate 72,000 K.W. The installation of generating machinery to produce another 36,000 K.W. is contemplated in the scheme but has not been sanctioned. The proposal is that in view of the power shortage not only in that area but elsewhere, the installation of 4 more units to generate additional power may be advanced and may be taken up in the early part of the Second Five Year Plan. Mysore will have to indicate its concurrence to the inclusion of the balance of electrical works in the Second Plan and for its execution within the next 2 or 3 years, and to meet its share of the expenditure in this behalf. Technical opinion is in favour of this as it would secure economy and additional revenues within a short time. As in the case of the sanctioned project Mysore will get only 20% of any additional power generated.

4. Future of Tungabhadra Workshop:

We may take over the workshop after paying Andhra its share of cost in the asset.

This work-shop was set up as an auxilliary to Tungabhadra Project and has been engaged not only in the manufacture of crest gates for this and other projects in India, but also in other repair and manufacturing work. Currently, it is also fabricating large low pressure pen-stock pipes for the project itself. The capital investment on the workshop is understood to be about Rs.28 lakhs, and it is stated that the workshop is one of the best equipped in India with operating machinery obtained from Germany as part of war reparations. The workshop is now the property of both Andhra and Mysore. The proportion in which they share this asset has yet to be determined. The project itself does not need this workshop much longer. Mysore would stand to gain by retaining this asset for itself, after the workshop ceases to be an adjunct to the project. In that event, Mysore will have to pay the Andhra share of the investment on the project. Andhra may, however, object to this, and may want a physical partition of the workshop.

5. Regulation of supplies of water and power maintenance of dam and common works after the project is completed:

A g r e e d.

It is proposed to suggest that this subject be dealt with by a Technical Committee consisting of the representatives of Andhra and Mysore and that their report be considered by the Board.

6. Over-all control of Board over Hyderabad side of the project.

The over-all control of the Board over Hyderabad side we may agree. We may agree to the resolution of the Government of India subject to the modifications found necessary.

In April 1955, the Government of India proposed to bring Hyderabad into the Board setup in a more active manner than at present. The position now is that Hyderabad as a Member in the Board though the entire work on the side is being executed by the State's own agency. It is proposed that the Board shall have over-all control in

3806
PWCAS

in respect of the works common to all the 3 States including regulation of supplies of water from the reservoir though the execution on the Hyderabad side would continue to vest with that State subject to such control. The Government of India have prepared a draft resolution on the subject and forwarded it to the Board for its comments. The consent of the Hyderabad Government has been secured to the proposed draft with certain modifications. Mysore's views in this behalf have to be made known at the Board meeting.

7. <u>Other subjects, such as, allocation of waters, utilisation of constructions, plant and equipment etc.,</u>

Recorded.

These will form the subject-matter of talks between Andhra and Mysore at the proposed Inter-State Conference to be held next month.

SSRN.

For perusal
Min: Ind)

14/11

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR INDUSTRIES
No. 1177
2 NOV 55

SUBJECTS FOR TUNGABHADRA BOARD.

The subjects were considered at the Cabinet meeting held at Mysore on the 19th - afternoon when the following instructions were given:-

We may agree to Board executing the High Level Canal Scheme if and when it is approved. So far as allocation of benefits ~~for this~~ is concerned, we will have to ~~xxxxxxx~~ press our views about equitable share.

A note prepared by the Chairman, Tungabhadra Board on certain important problems to be dealt with by the Board at its ensuing meeting has been circulated among the Members and among the Governments concerned for opinion. The subjects dealt with in the note and a brief review of the position with regard to each are given below:-

1. Tungabhadra High Level Canal:

The point raised in the note is whether this project which has been proposed by Andhra, should be executed by the Board whose organisation on the irrigation side is still intact or whether the execution in each State may be left to the agency to be set up by the Governments concerned.

According to the project prepared by Andhra, the scheme costs Rs.18.96 crores and is intended to irrigate 3,80,000 acres, of which 80,000 acres will be in Mysore area. This project was prepared without reference to the Mysore Government. The Planning Commission having forwarded the project to this Government for comments, a note was sent to them in July 1955 making the following points:

i) The information furnished by Andhra on the scheme is insufficient for a full technical appraisal of the project and for enabling this Government to furnish final comments;

ii) Hyderabad is also concerned in the sharing of waters and negotiations will have to be conducted with that State for the sharing of surplus waters in order to determine what quantity will be available for Mysore and Andhra;

iii) Once the surplus is determined as above, the shares to be allocated to Mysore and Andhra will have to be fixed by negotiation;

iv) The scheme actually authorised by the Government of Madras in regard to the High Level Canal contemplated the excavation of that Canal up to Chinnahagari, i.e., up to a point close to the Mysore border. The reasons for not only extending the scheme far beyond that point but also for providing for the bulk of irrigation in Andhra have not been given;

v) There are possibilities of utilisati surplus waters either by means of the p Low Level Canal itself, or by a High Level Canal up to a certain point and by the Low Level Canal together, after improving the capacity of the latter;

vi) It is a well-recognised principle in regard to any canal project that the possibility of irrigation in the earlier regions should be given priority over irrigation in the farther reaches. This will avoid scattering of irrigation as under the Low Level Canal and also secures economy;

vii) Further comments, mainly technical in nature, will be furnished on receipt of fuller information.

The stand to be taken by the Government of Mysore when the subject comes up for discussion at the Board meeting is for consideration.

2. Execution of the Hydro-Electric side of the Tungabhadra Project:

At present the common works on the Hydro-Electric side which come under the control of the Board are being executed under the supervision of a Sub-Committee consisting of the Chief Electrical Engineers of Andhra and Mysore, the former being the convenor. The main offices are located at the Headquarters of the Andhra Chief Electrical Engineer, and there is only a Superintending Engineer (Civil), borne on the Andhra cadre, in charge of execution at the dam site. From the commencement, Mysore has been urging, in the Board and outside, that one of the two senior executive officers under the Board should be a Mysorean. Laterly, it has been suggested that on the electrical side, an Electrical Superintending Engineer should be put in charge and that he should be a Mysorean as the other officer, viz., the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, is from Andhra. More recently still, it has been proposed that in order to secure greater

supervision and quicker execution there should be an organisation at the spot under a Chief Electrical Engineer with ~~xxx~~ full powers, who will replace the existing Sub-Committee and who will be responsible directly to the Board. A note prepared in this behalf by the Chief Electrical Engineer of Mysore has been circulated among the Members of the Board. The cost works out to about 2.97 per cent of the estimate for the balance of works, which will be about Rs.7 crores. This is far less than the cost provided in the estimate viz., 12½ per cent for establishment. The note circulated by the Chairman concedes that the present arrangement under which a Sub-Committee is in charge of execution is unsatisfactory. It is proposed to press the latest suggestion made on behalf of Mysore and also the appointment of a Mysore Officer as Chief Electrical Engineer if the proposal should be accepted.

May be pressed.

3. <u>Advancing of the execution of Hydro-Electric Project</u>:

It was pointed out that the scheme is costly as it works out to 1600 Rs per K.W. In view of the shortage of power and the need to harness alternative sources of power until the first stage of Sharavati Valley Project is ready, we may agree to the advancing of the programme.

The project at present is designed to generate 72,000 K.W. The installation of generating machinery to produce another 36,000 K.W. is contemplated in the scheme but has not been sanctioned. The proposal is that in view of the power shortage not only in that area but elsewhere, the installation of 4 more units to generate additional power may be advanced and may be taken up in the early part of the Second Five Year Plan. Mysore will have to indicate its concurrence to the inclusion of the balance of electrical works in the Second Plan and for its execution within the next 2 or 3 years, and to meet its share of the expenditure in this behalf. Technical opinion is in favour of this as it would secure economy and additional revenues within a short time. As in the case of the sanctioned project Mysore will get only 20% of any additional power generated.

4. Future of Tungabhadra Workshop:

We may take over the workshop after paying Andhra its share of cost in the asset.

This work-shop was set up as an auxilliary to Tungabhadra Project and has been engaged not only in the manufacture of crest gates for this and other projects in India, but also in other repair and manufacturing work. Currently, it is also fabricating large low pressure pen-stock pipes for the project itself. The capital investment on the workshop is understood to be about Rs.28 lakhs, and it is stated that the workshop is one of the best equipped in India with operating machinery obtained from Germany as part of war reparations. The workshop is now the property of both Andhra and Mysore. The proportion in which they share this asset has yet to be determined. The project itself does not need this workshop much longer. Mysore would stand to gain by retaining this asset for itself, after the workshop ceases to be an adjunct to the project. In that event, Mysore will have to pay the Andhra share of the investment on the project. Andhra may, however, object to this, and may want a physical partition of the workshop.

5. Regulation of supplies of water and power maintenance of dam and common works after the project is completed:

Agreed.

It is proposed to suggest that this subject be dealt with by a Technical Committee consisting of the representatives of Andhra and Mysore and that their report be considered by the Board.

6. Over-all control of Board over Hyderabad side of the project.

The over-all control of the Board over Hyderabad side we may agree. We may agree to the resolution of the Government of India subject to the modifications found necessary.

In April 1955, the Government of India proposed to bring Hyderabad into the Board setup in a more active manner than at present. The position now is that Hyderabad as a Member in the Board though the entire work on that side is being executed by the State's own agency. It is proposed that the Board shall have over-all control in

3806
PW(A)S.

in respect of the works common to all the 3 States including regulation of supplies of water from the reservoir though the execution on the Hyderabad side would continue to vest with that State subject to such control. The Government of India have prepared a draft resolution on the subject and forwarded it to the Board for its comments. The consent of the Hyderabad Government has been secured to the proposed draft with certain modifications. Mysore's views in this behalf have to be made known at the Board meeting.

7. <u>Other subjects, such as, allocation of waters, utilisation of constructions, plant and equipment etc.,</u>

These will form the subject-matter of talks between Andhra and Mysore at the proposed Inter-State Conference to be held next month.

Recorded.

OFFICE OF THE MINISTER FOR REVENUE & PUBLIC WORKS
No. 3257
22 AUG 1955

For perusal

TSRN.

Min: Rev (PW)
28/7

SUBJECTS FOR TUNGABHADRA BOARD.

A note prepared by the Chairman, Tungabhadra Board on certain important problems to be dealt with by the Board at its ensuing meeting has been circulated among the Members and among the Governments concerned for opinion. The subjects dealt with in the note and a brief review of the position with regard to each are given below:-

1. Tungabhadra High Level Canal:

The point raised in the note is whether this project which has been proposed by Andhra, should be executed by the Board whose organisation on the irrigation side is still intact or whether the execution in each State may be left to the agency to be set up by the Governments concerned.

According to the project prepared by Andhra, the scheme costs Rs.18.96 crores and is intended to irrigate 3,80,000 acres, of which 80,000 acres [illegible] in Mysore area. This project was prepared without reference to the Government. The Planning Commission having forwarded the project to this Government for comments, a note was sent to them in July 1955 making the following points:

i) The information furnished by Andhra on the scheme is insufficient for a full technical appraisal of the project and for enabling this Government to furnish final comments;

ii) Hyderabad is also concerned in the sharing of waters and negotiations will have to be conducted with that State for the sharing of surplus waters in order to determine what quantity will be available for Mysore and Andhra;

iii) Once the surplus is determined as above, the shares to be allocated to Mysore and Andhra will have to be fixed by negotiation;

iv) The scheme actually authorised by the Government of Madras in regard to the High Level Canal contemplated the excavation of that Canal up to Chinnahagari, i.e., up to a point close to the Mysore border. The reasons for not only extending the scheme far beyond that point but also for

v) There are possibilities of utilisation of surplus waters either by means of the present Low Level Canal itself, or by a High Level Canal up to a certain point and by the Low Level Canal together, after improving the capacity of the latter;

vi) It is a well-recognised principle in regard to any canal project that the possibility of irrigation in the earlier regions should be given priority over irrigation in the farther reaches. This will avoid scattering of irrigation as under the Low Level Canal and also secured economy;

vii) Further comments, mainly technical in nature, will be furnished on receipt of fuller information.

The stand to be taken by the Government of Mysore when the subject comes up for discussion at the Board meeting is for consideration.

2. Execution of the Hydro-Electric side of the Tungabhadra Project:

At present the common works on the Hydro-Electric side which come under the control of the Board are being executed under the supervision of a Sub-Committee consisting of the Chief Electrical Engineers of Andhra and Mysore, the former being the convenor. The main offices are located at the Headquarters of the Andhra Chief Electrical Engineer, and there is only a Superintending Engineer (Civil), borne on the Andhra cadre, in charge of execution at the dam site. From the commencement, Mysore has been urging, in the Board and outside, that one of the two senior executive officers under the Board should be a Mysorean. Laterly, it has been suggested that on the electrical side, an Electrical Superintending Engineer should be put in charge and that he should be a Mysorean as the other officer, viz., the Chief Engineer for Irrigation, is from Andhra. More recently still, it has been proposed that in order to secure greater

supervision and quicker execution there should be an organisation at the spot under a Chief Electrical Engineer with ~~xxx~~ full powers, who will replace the existing Sub-Committee and who will be responsible directly to the Board. A note prepared in this behalf by the Chief Electrical Engineer of Mysore has been circulated ng the Members of the Board. The cost works out to about 2.97 per cent of the estimate for the balance of works, which will be about Rs.7 crores. This is far less than the cost provided in the estimate viz., 12½ per cent for establishment. The note circulated by the Chairman concedes that the present arrangement under which a Sub-Committee is in charge of execution is unsatisfactory. It is proposed to press the latest suggestion made on behalf of Mysore and also the appointment of a Mysore Officer as Chief Electrical Engineer if the propo l should be accepted.

3. <u>Advancing of the execution of Hydro-Electric Project:</u>

The project at present is designed to generate 72,000 K.W. The installation of generating machinery to produce another 36,000 K.W. is contemplated in the scheme but has not been sanctioned. The proposal is that in view of the power shortage not only in that area but elsewhere, the installation of 4 more units to generate additional power may be advanced and may be taken up in the early part of the Second Five Year Plan. Mysore will have to indicate its concurrence to the inclusion of the balance of electrical works in the Second Plan and for its execution within the next 2 or 3 years, and to meet its share of the expenditure in this behalf. Technical opinion is in favour of this as it would secure economy and additional revenues within a short time. As in the ca of the sanctioned project Mysore will get only 20% of any additional power generated.

65

4. Future of Tungabhadra Workshop:

We may take over the workshop after paying Andhra its share of cost in the asset.

This work-shop was set up as an auxilliary to Tungabhadra Project and has been engaged not only in the manufacture of crest gates for this and other projects in India, but also in other repair and manufacturing work. Currently, it is also fabricating large low pressure pen-stock pipes for the project itself. The capital investment on the workshop is understood to be about Rs.28 lakhs, and it is stated that the workshop is one of the best equipped in India with operating machinery obtained from Germany as part of war reparations. The workshop is now the property of both Andhra and Mysore. The proportion in which they share this asset has yet to be determined. The project itself does not need this workshop much longer. Mysore would stand to gain by retaining this asset for itself, after the workshop ceases to be an adjunct to the project. In that event, Mysore will have to pay the Andhra share of the investment on the project. Andhra may, however, object to this, and may want a physical partition of the workshop.

5. Regulation of supplies of water and power maintenance of dam and common works after the project is completed:

It is proposed to suggest that this subject be dealt with by a Technical Committee consisting of the representatives of Andhra and Mysore and that their report be considered by the Board.

6. Over-all control of Board over Hyderabad side of the project.

control over de to

In April 1955, the Government of India proposed to bring Hyderabad into the Board setup in a more active manner than at present. The position now is that Hyderabad as a Member in the Board though the entire work on that side is being executed by the State's own agency. It is proposed that the Board shall have over-all control in

69

in respect of the works common to all the 3 States including regulation of supplies of water from the reservoir though the execution on the Hyderabad side would contin to vest with that State subject to such control. The Government of India have prepared a draft resolution on the subject and forwarded it to the Board for its comme The consent of the Hyderabad Government has been secure to the proposed draft with certain modifications. Mys views in this behalf have to be made known at the Board meeting.

7. <u>Other subjects, such as, allocation of wate utilisation of constructions, plant and equipment etc.,</u>

These will form the subject-matter of talks between Andhra and Mysore at the proposed Inter-State Conference to be held next month.

Recorded.

CHIEF SECRETARY
23 AUG 1955
6869
GOVT OF MADRAS

TSRN.

For perusal

M 22/8

Min of Irg

I remember the P. W. D. Secy obtained consent in all the items referred to at Mysore 19th instt. Perhaps that would be the stand that has to be taken

P. W. D. Secy

30/8

Jik

14/9

14/9

Refer the affs this return from Delhi

71

Sl. No. Papers received from the High Court

1. 53/M/56 ✓
2. 75/M/56 ✓
3. 129/M/53 ✓
4. 15C/9/Cement/55 ✓
5. 21/Cement/56 ✓
6. 1961 ✓
7. 3869 / 13.10.56 ✓
8. 3764 / 10.10.56 ✓
9. K/252/JB/TBP/56 ✓
10. No. 3982 / 18.10.56 ✓
11. E.O. No. 159 P / 19.10.56 ✓
12. No. TPA/GS/VII.51/1011 / 22.10.56
13. No. 30/1/56/E.W/VI ✓ / 22.10.56
14. 147.4 / 26.10.56 ✓
15. Nil 22.10.56 ✓
16. C/52/24.10.56 ✓
17. Nil 25.10.56 ✓
18. 5894/56/M1 / 8.10.56 ✓

Enclosing 6 books

24. K/125/JB/5
25. K/JB/13/SC/
26. K/94/26/ESC
27. K/31/JB/55
28. K/35/JB/882
29. K/40/JB/106/
30. K/32/JB/476
31. K/39/JB/276/
32. K/41/JB/699/
33. K/43/JB/367
34. K/45/JB/385
35. K/49/JB/69/
36. K/50/JB/195/
37. K/51/JB/867
38. K/55/JB/673
39. K/57/JB/919/T
40. K/59/JB/206/T
41. K/61/JB/239/T
42. K/100/JB/418

28/13/54	Application [illegible]
[illegible]	L.A. for [illegible] Legislation [illegible] 47B [illegible]
[illegible]/03/54	[illegible]
[illegible]	L.A. [illegible]
[illegible]	~~[illegible]~~
[illegible]	[illegible]
15/12/51	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]/54	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]/3/56	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible] 47B [illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
[illegible]	Legislative Council [illegible]
[illegible]	[illegible]
1/305/52	L.A. for Morum Quary/Kotkadoi Reserve

[illegible] and found correct

Mitterrand [illegible]
24/8/57

5[illegible]	A3/[illegible]/86	A.L. in Rangapur by Smt. Thomas Raichur for dist No 54 of TB canal.
4[illegible]	A3/1569/86	A.L. for Const of Road Allani to Gubbaya & sub Pagdimani by Smt. Thomas Raichur for dist 54 of TB Canal
[illegible]	881/A3/86	
459	883/A3/86	A.L. in Kuntagi by Smt. Thomas Raichur for dist-No 54 of TB. canal
460	884/A3/88/86	A.L. in Rangapur by Smt. Thomas Raichur in dist. No 54 TB canal
461	885/A3/86	A.L. in Asankal by Smt. Thomas Raichur of dist No 54 of TB. canal
462	887/A3/88/86	A.L. in Amaragol by Smt. Thomas Raichur dist No 54 TB. canal
463	A3/1524/88/86	Temp occupation of land in Sm[illegible] hal by for Slab factory under TB P[illegible]
464	A3/1524/86	A.A. by Rangapur kept for dist 10 T[illegible]
465	A3/1523/86	A.A. by Mustoor Gangavati dist 28/[illegible] T[illegible]
466	A3/1844/84	A.A. by Achaiah Joseph Gangavati Agri land for dist. 6 at Bu[illegible] by Raichur of dist.
	1[illegible] 5/83/	

[illegible]

[illegible] of Talmadge [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] Regulation Plan

in Nungarin [illegible]

[illegible] A-in for [illegible] of New Canal TB

[illegible] A-L in [illegible] Reserve [illegible]

[illegible] of TB [illegible]

[illegible] A-L in [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] A-L in Final [illegible] by [illegible]

Reserve [illegible] TB

[illegible] A-L in [illegible]

Municipal [illegible]

[illegible] A-L in Somerset [illegible]

Reserve [illegible]

[illegible] A-L in [illegible]

Reserve [illegible] of TB [illegible]

[illegible] A-L in Mellanby [illegible]

Reserve [illegible] of TB [illegible]

[illegible] A-L in Mellanby [illegible]

Reserve [illegible] of TB

[illegible] A-L in [illegible]

Reserve [illegible]

[illegible] A-L in [illegible]

[illegible]	A [illegible]	A [illegible] Ganganathi [illegible] for [illegible] No 2117 of TB Canal
145	A3/720/[illegible]	[illegible] in Bagalwad of Manvi taluk [illegible]
226	A3/724/[illegible]/85	[illegible]
117	A3/1347/84	[illegible] for [illegible] No 2147 [illegible] of Ganganathi
24	B2/885/1983	Revised estimate of Rs 3 48 850 for Rehabilitation Southern Injection Road Raichur
149	B2/113/83	Extension of time period to Wadarhatti Div Compensation Officers TBP
150	B2/104/83	Project Investigation Kemmara Gudham Dip of Shri V Ramchandra
191	B2/116/83	Estimate of Rs 2 47 770/- for Manvi Raichur
52	B2/118/83	Cont. [illegible] Raichur
193	A3/48/4/89	A.L. for cont of TBP
[illegible]	60/4/89	A.L. [illegible] for encroachment [illegible] canal [illegible] TBP
135	A/49/4/80	A.L. in Time part Muddi Kundalgi for encroachment canal
401	A3/48/4/89	A.L. in [illegible] for encroachment of [illegible] canal
750	A3/47/4	A.L. for cont. of Rajalbanda BT 405 P Chal Road Manvi Raichur
328	9/A3/50	A.L. for cont. of Raichur Alampur Road [illegible]
389	B2/86/83	Revised estimate of [illegible]
340	B2/[illegible]	Sanction for [illegible]

	Be/76/54	Staff for rehabilitation under TBP
388	Be/87/54	Review of progress report to A.R.P.
	B/[illegible]/85/80	Supply settlement (Rice) to [illegible] under TBP
390	B/[illegible]/61/80	Soil conservation work of [illegible]
	1980	Block of heavy and light machine TBP
391	D/[illegible]/62/80	Question between the Dept of Rev.
	1130	S.N.G. T.V. Agriculture & Central Authority for rehabilitation of Dev. under TBP
392	Aj/48/82	L.A. in Bangalore for Canal
	Aj/[illegible]/82	Acq of lands in Mahon of Raichur
394	Aj/59/82	Land Acq in Bangalore [illegible]
395	Aj/[illegible]/56	A.L. in Mahal of Gajendragad Rachur [illegible] of TB Canal
396	Aj/72/82	L.A for TBP Canal/[illegible]
397	Aj/73/82	" " " " Mudgal Rachur
398	Aj/313/82	Compensation to be issued by L.A TBP for No 206
399	Aj/[illegible]	L.A in checking Canal [illegible]
400	Aj/324/82	" " for PWD Roads [illegible]
401	Aj/324/82	" " " [illegible] in Bentur
402	Aj/370/82	Withdrawal of land in Mudgal Rachur
403	Aj/443/82	L.A in Dhuddur of Rachur
404	Aj/32/82	A.L. finished for TBP Canal Rachur
405	Aj/445/82	" " Kamagapur Rachur
406	Aj/[illegible]	Withdrawal of L.A in Mudgal of [illegible]
407	Aj/81/82	L.A for Gadwal Canal Rachur
408	Aj/31/82	" " in Sindhanur of TBP

2	A3/158/5[illegible]	L.A. in Memory of [illegible] Rahu TDP
[illegible]	[illegible]/230/84	[illegible] TD Canal at Mayahari by [illegible] Rahu [illegible]
355	A3/353/84	L.A. in Kathalidi Kapur Rahu [illegible] development of Rahu TDP
355	B2/74/84	By [illegible] TDP
356	A3/291/84	A.L. for [illegible] Rahu [illegible] by [illegible] Rahu
357	A3/81/83	A.L. for [illegible] Rahu [illegible]
357	A3/[illegible]/83	L.A. in [illegible] of PWD [illegible]
358	407/84	[illegible] Bajanbir Memorial Rahu in TD Canal
359	A3/398/83	L.A. in Memorial [illegible] by Rahu
360	A3/40/84	[illegible] Dambulla by [illegible]
361	[illegible]	[illegible] Kiagari [illegible] by Rahu DPR
362	A3/321/81	[illegible] Kapur Rahu of Anthipur TDP
363	A3/348/81	A.L. in Kathal by Rahu DPR
364	A3/47/81	Certificate [illegible] Rahu [illegible] Kapur [illegible] extension of Road [illegible] TDP Rahu
[illegible]	[illegible]	A.L. in Bunkal by for extension [illegible] TDP Rahu DPR
367	A3/241/81	A.L. in [illegible] for [illegible] TDP Rahu [illegible]
		[illegible]

3	Ag 301/51	Acq of Jaitpur Jagir in Ranchi [illegible]
316	Ag/11/53	L.A. in Mahagama for [illegible]
317	Ag/128/53	L.A. at Begusarai Ranchi
318	Ag/483/53	L.A. at [illegible]
319	Ag/786/53	L.A. at Begusarai [illegible]
320	Ag/781/53	L.A. at Gokulpur Ganj [illegible] Ranchi Dist Nalli TBP
321	Ag/277/54	L.A. at Main Canal in C Barhal Ranchi
322	Ag/[illegible]/54	A.L. in Ganganagar [illegible] Mahagama Ry Ranchi TP
323	Ag/681/54	Cancellation of A/L for [illegible] Begusarai [illegible]
324	Ag/426/55	L.A. at Barhal for [illegible] Ranchi
325	433/A/53	L.A. at Ramgarh Ranchi
326	Ag/770/85/86	Acq of land in [illegible] by Ganganagar Ranchi project 25 of T.B.P
327	Ag/405	Temp. Acq of land in Mahamaghpur Ranchi
328	Ag/718/85/86	Acq of land in Bokaro by [illegible] Canal in TBP
329	Ag/312/60	Acq of land for TBP by Tumbik Sinchai Ry Ranchi Dist
330	Ag/310/61	A.L. for TBP Sinchai Ry Ranchi Dist
331	Ag/[illegible]/61	A.L. [illegible] Rajbaha Canal in Ry Amrita Dist Ranchi

[illegible]

285	A3/440/54	Acquired in Mangpu Range for TB p
286	A3/426/54	Acquired in Gillespie Rg Range TB p
287	A3/424/54	" " " " Pathpa " " "
288	A3/424/54	Acquired land in Ly/Kashmapur - Khasan Watershed TB p
289	A3/32/54	Acquired for Nursery scheme in Mangpu Range Dist
290	A3/34/54	Acquired for endowing Canal in Pathpa Range.
291	A3/32/54	Acquired for endowing Reg Forest Canal by Regd Dty Manni Range
292	A3/33/54	Acquired for endowing land in Mangpu Range
293	A3/37/54	Acquired for the Construction of Bailey Manni Range
294	A3/152/54	Acquired for TB p during 54 at Kuna Sunthmor Range
295	A3/481/54	Acquired for the 20 at Kuna Gangawati Range
296	A3/400/54	Acquired for the 20 at Bullmaya for Gangawati Range
297	A3/390/54	Acquired for the 10 at TB p Chinnar Gangawati Range.
298	A3/385/54	Acquired for the 10 p at Bhatnachal by Gangawati Range
299	A3/	Acquired for PWD Camp in Gol Hill

259 A3/[illegible] [illegible] of Roads in Rangpur of Bangladesh [illegible] TDP Reaches.

260 A2/26/56 Budget for the year [illegible] special [illegible] 2 Telegraph Department is [illegible]

261 [illegible] Budget for Bhimaduma [illegible] Narayanganj Hatiya [illegible]

262 B2/57/54 Adm. approving E.B. for const. of Jamuna Jangi Road Gulley [illegible]

263 B2/47/54 Conclusion of Dev. works TDP power of the Colt Reaches & the new Appr. TDP

264 B2/25/54 Revised estimate of Rs 247,770/- for construction of Manwi Sirina Road Reaches (opening of R.S.)

265 B2/16/54 Rydebanga Diversion Scheme Acq. of land in Mehres Reach.

266 B2/14/54 Comparison of Survey No 94/1. [illegible] of acq. of TDP Canal

267 B2/14/54 Revised estimate of Rs 11150 for Sirthum Kingsgar Road Reach

268 B2/8/54 Minutes of discussion of RMDR by [illegible] Member TDP

269 455/54 5/15 [illegible] Sirthum [illegible] Road [illegible]

229	As/1848/55	LA in Mirdgi vg. Kilpad by Rauchan for sub-agency under TBP
230	As/159/52	Article from Newspapers Pyar & Nyan Jagrit.
231	As/1012/52	Construction of Inspection Bungalow at Hyde of Bidar District.
232	As/1614/55	Construction of Causeway in Deothiri
233	Bq/8.2/105/55	Const. of Culvert in the Cabal Rauchan Const. of Causeway in front of Hyderabad Tank
234	Bz 15/12/52	Telegram from Ryot Sabhanyagar TBP
235	As/1614/52	Withdrawal of L.A notification under section 39 - Somanahal
236	As/200/52	Supply of L.A.A. to the Sec Housing Scheme.
237	As/508/52	Supply of estimates to DC TBP
238	As/845/52	Acq of land in Bijabanda vg Rauchan for R.O.S. Canal
239	As/578/52	Acq of land in Sirsi vg Rauchan
240	As/523/52	L.A. Charges vg Rauchan under TBP for approach Roads.
241	As/527/52	L.A in Hakkiyagunta TBP.
242	As/606/52	Land Acq to Mallangadi vg Ashwal Village Rauchan
243	324/86	Supply of maps for G.O. TBP
244	[illegible]	Sanction for Survey of TBP
245	As/4/59	Acq of land & compensation for Sale deed of Canal of TBP
246	As 44/4/59	Acq. of land in Sirsi vg Manvite for const. of Camp TBP Rauchan
247	53/2/[illegible]	Const. of vg Mallikarjuna Road and extension of the [illegible]

207	A3/[illegible]	LA for [illegible] of [illegible] of Kapsal in Raichur [illegible]
208	A3/931/54	LA in [illegible] Canal at [illegible] in Jangaon of Raichur [illegible]
209	A3/972/54	LA for [illegible] Bungalow at Sangheppa of Afzalpur Tq Gulbarga
210	982/A3/54	LA for TB Canal at Shivanur [illegible] Tq Raichur
211	A3/983/54	LA at Lingsugur Tq Kapsal for [illegible] of Ra[illegible]
212	A3/[illegible]/54	LA in Kapsal Tq Raichur for TB Canal
213	A3/[illegible]	LA in Tq of Mallikarjuna Kapsal Tq
214	A3/1005/54	LA village Lachanur in Kapsal Tq Raichur Dist for TB Sp
215	A3/1006/54	LA Tq Yadgir Tq Kapsal in TBP
216	A3/1008/54	LA Yadgir Narayanpet Tq Kapsal under TB Sp Raichur
217	A3/1511/54	LA in Ayodhia Kapsal Tq for Development Raichur under TBP
218	A3/1512/54	LA in Sanapur Tq Gangavathi for Proj Raichur under TBP
219	A3/1514/54	LA Tq Lachanur Kapsal Tq for Rehabilitation under TBP Raichur
220	A3/1515/54	LA in Gundal Tq Kapsal for rehabilitation under TBP Raichur

185 A3/496/56 Acq of Land in [illegible] (by Gangawati) Tq for dist. No 2 of TB Canal

186 A3/[illegible] Acq of Land in Chellur by Gangawati Tq for distributary No 30 of TB Canal

187 A3/491/56 Acq of Land in Somanahalli by Gangawati Tq for dist. No 80 of TB Canal

188 A3/497/56 Acq of Land in Mirvanahalli by Sindhanur Tq for diversion stream in Mile No 69 of T.B Canal

189 A3/490/56 Acq of Land in Hirdankanahalli by Gangawati Tq for dist. No 29 TB Canal

190 A3/487/56 Acq of Land in Somanahalli by Gangawati Tq for dist. No 29 of T.B. Canal

191 A3/444/56 Acq of Land in Mallat by Manvi Tq for [illegible] Canal

192 A3/486/56 Acq of Land in Hirkani by Gangawati Tq for dist. No 56 of TB. Canal

193 A3/485/56 Acq of Land in Gangawati by Tq for distributary No 17 of T B Canal

194 A3/484/56 Acq of Land in Bagalpur by Gangawati Tq for [illegible] of TB Canal

162 A3/[illegible]/85/86 Acq of land in [illegible] by Gangawati by Raichur Dist for extension of distributary No 22 of T.B Canal

163 A3/414/85/86 Acq of land in Dasanhal by Gangawati Raichur for extension of dist No 21 T.B C

164 A3/115/86 T.B P Gramparchas Gangavathi Koppal

165 A3/410/85/86 Acq of land in Bagapadanu by Gangawati Raichur for extension of dist 18 TB Canal

166 A3/412/85/86 Acq of land in Hiriur by Gangawati by Raichur District

167 A3/411/85/86 Acq of land in Kosk Eriby Gangawati Raichur District.

168 A3/416/85/86 Acq of land in Merkamatti by Gangawati by Raichur District

169 A3/409/85/86 Acq of land in [illegible] by Gangawati by Raichur District

170 A3/1241/85/86 Acq of houses for submergence in TB pet Mallur by Koppal Raichur

171 A3/1241/85/86 Acq of houses for submergence in TB pet Kodaki by Koppal Raichur

172 A3/[illegible] Acq of land in [illegible] Gangawati by Raichur in distributary 25 TB Canal

139	[illegible]	L.A. in [illegible] by Manvi to Raichur Dist for T.B. Main Canal
140	A3/1629/55	L.A. in [illegible] by Manvi to Raichur Dist for T.B. Main Canal
141	A3/1627/55	L.A. in Basapur [illegible] Raichur for T.B. Main Canal
[illegible]	A3/1624/55	L.A. in Mudlagi [illegible] to Raichur for dist. No 25/6 of TB Canal
[illegible]	A3/1623/55	L.A. in Mudlagi [illegible] to Raichur for distributary No 25 of TB Canal
144	A3/[illegible]	L.A. in [illegible] to Raichur Dist for distributary of TB Canal
145	A3/1621/55	L.A. in Nigadi by Manvi to Raichur Dist for T.B. Main Canal
146	A3/1620/55	L.A. in Hitnal by Koppal to Raichur for distributary No 3/12 TB Canal
147	A3/1618/55	L.A. in Devatkal by Manvi to Raichur Dist T.B. Main Canal
148	A3/1617/55	L.A. in Hunkunchadi by Koppal to Raichur Dist for Submergence under T.B.
149	A3/1616/55	L.A. in Baigur by Gangawati to Raichur for [illegible]
150	[illegible]	L.A. in [illegible] by Koppal to Raichur [illegible] for distributary T.B. Canal

129 [illegible]

[illegible] A4/190/50 [illegible]

131 A4/190/50 Agg of land [illegible]

[illegible] 23/5/24/51 Agg. of land [illegible]

Kuphalli [illegible]

132 A4/157/50 [illegible]

Bichali of Raichur of [illegible]

[illegible]

Michali of Mannath [illegible]

A4/177/50 Agg of land for excavation [illegible]

in Mattu of [illegible]

A4/31/51 G/[illegible] of the people of Kuphalli

[illegible]

[illegible] 20/10/51 [illegible]

[illegible]

118	A4/229/50	Acq of lands for extension of Rajolibanda Canal in Rajolibanda vg Manvi tq Raichur District.
119	A4/121/50	Acq of land for construction of Road from Yedgera to Alampur at Peloor tq Raichur Dist
120	A4/189/50	Acq of land for extension of Rajolibanda Project in Timmapur vg Manvi tq Raichur District.
121	A4/185/50	Acq of land for Main Canal Const work in Sankal Nursery of Gangawati tq Raichur Dist.
122	A3/523/51	Acq of lands in Hari Dev Kamal vg for canal extension TBP.
123	A4/154/50	Acq of land for excavation of Rajolibanda Const Rajolibanda vg Manvi tq Raichur
124	A4/157/50	Acq Land for PWD Camp in Mallapur vg Gangawati tq Raichur Dist
125	A4/135/50	Acq of Land for Camp T.B.P. Kagalgira vg Koppal tq Raichur D.
126	A4/122/50	Compensation for the land acquired by PWD for purposes of Aiwani Shah Gulbarga Dist.
127	A4/196/50	Cancellation of the notification of the Acq of the land for Tadaly tank in Gurkal vg Manvi tq Raichur
128	A4/181/50	Acq of land for rehabilitation and with Rajolibanda Amicut in Alchatti vg Manvi tq Raichur

[illegible]

[illegible] 1/11/50 Agreement [illegible]

[illegible] 1/24/50 Agreement [illegible]

[illegible] by Rancher [illegible]

[illegible] 1/14/50 Agreement [illegible]

Bunch Herbert [illegible]

[illegible] 1/25/50 Agreement [illegible]

Rancher [illegible] for Mary [illegible]

112 A4/205/50 Agreement [illegible]

[illegible]

112 1/15/50 [illegible] Hungarian [illegible]

113 A4/204/50 Agreement Capital [illegible]

[illegible] by Rancher [illegible]

114 A4/224/50 [illegible] Entered [illegible]

[illegible] by [illegible]

[illegible] A4/225/50 Agreement [illegible]

[illegible] by Rancher [illegible]

A4/221/50 Agreement Capital [illegible]

[illegible]

117 A4/227/ [illegible]

[illegible]

90	A4/156/50	Acq of land for Model Village T.B.P Hatamnal by Kuppilty Raichur Rural
91	A3/177/85-86	L.A in Bichar Halli by Kuppal Raichur
92	A3/178/85-86	L.A in Bahadur by Kuppilty Raichur.
93	A4/167/50	L.A for excavation of canal T.B.P Raichur
94	A4/168/50	Acq of land for T.B.P in Elligigadde Gandhi Hosahalli etc by Raichur Taluk
95	A4/174/50	Acq of land for PWD Camp at Byal Raichur
96	A3/104/85-86	Acq of land Mital Hamsa Alladur Road Compensation report Bidar
97	A3/158/81	Acq of land for extension of T.B.P Gadur by Gangawati Taluk Raichur Dist
98	A3/516/81	Acq of land in Gandhal by extension of canal
99	B/69/14/51	Revised estimate of construction of Major Masonry work on Raichur Dudhni Road Raichur District
100	A4/221/50	Acq of land for main canal TBP in Basapur by Kuppilty Raichur
101	A4/199/50	Temp. Acq of land for labour Camp PWD in Rajoli by Raichur
102	A4/200/50	Temp Acq of land for PWD labour Camp in Gokar by Raichur
103	A4/102/83	Acq of land in Ashalal by for TB canal
104	A4/105/50	Acq of land in Rajalbanda by for labour Camp in the canal
105	A4/112/50	Acq of land for TB canal Gurkal Raichur

79 A3/[illegible]/51 L.A in [illegible] Kuppichi [illegible]
for allotment to the [illegible] T.D.P

80 A3/1592/51 L.A in Shakapuram [illegible] Rauthar
for [illegible] T.D.P

81 A3/1593/51 L.A in Mandaly [illegible] Rauthar
for distribution No 25 of T.D.P

82 A3/1594/51 L.A in Kaundal [illegible] Mandaly [illegible]
for T.D. Main Canal

83 A3/1595/51 L.A in [illegible] Mandaly [illegible]
Rauthar for distribution No 25/[illegible] T.D.P

84 A3/[illegible]/51 [illegible]
[illegible] T.D. Canal

85 A3/514/52 L.A Acq in Bellapally Rauthar [illegible]
for extension of Canal T.D.P

86 [illegible]/51 Acq of lands in Jeedi Kalgudem
for canal extension

87 A4/162/52 Acq of land for Construction of [illegible]
[illegible] Kuppichi Rauthar

88 A4/165/52 Temp. Acq of land for Labour Camp at
[illegible] Kuppichi Rauthar

A4/164/[illegible] Temp. Acq of land for Labour Camp at
[illegible]

66 A3/384/54 Acq of land for distributary No 19/1 under T.B.P at Hesaraghatta Vg Gangawathy Raichur

67 A3/386/54 Acq of land for distributary No 19/1 under T.B.P at Ashal Vg Gangawathi Rai

68 A3/383/54 Acq of land for distributary No 9 under T.B.P at Gandurvally Raichur Tq

69 A3/1356/54 L.A in Badampa Vg Kuppal Tq Raichur for devilopment Road

70 A3/66/55/56 L.A in Phra Vg Koppal Tq Raichur

71 A3/67/55/56 L.A in Allapur Vg Koppal Tq Raichur

72 A3/1005/54 L.A for T.B Canal at Ayaradhi Vg of Gangawathi Tq Raichur

73 A3/1017/54 L.A at Hasthi Vg of Koppal Tq Raichur dist for distributary Canal 2

74 A3/569/54 L.A for Construction of Canal under T.B.P at Basapur Manvi Raich

75 A3/559/54 Acq of land for rehabilitation at Alwath Vg Koppal Tq Raichur Dist

76 A3/1588/55 L.A in Ganapur Vg Gangawati Tq Raichur for Brick Kiln & Mortar Quarry

77 A3/1589/55 L.A in Hirebagnal Vg Koppal Tq Raichur dist for Sub-magazine under T.B.P.

78 A3/1590/55 L.A in Chick hoganal Koppal Raichur for Rehabilitation Road under T.B.P.

51 A/[illegible]/50 Acq. [illegible] Yeragola Aqueduct in Kallakunda Vg Raichur Dist

52 A4/153/50 Acq. of land for construction of [illegible] T.B at Yeramarus Kyadunda Vg Raichur

53 A4/155/50 Acq. of land for extension of [illegible] Waluti Vg Gangavathi Tq. Raichur Dist

54 A4/156/50 Acq. of land for [illegible] of canal at Hubapur Kuppal Vg Raichur

55 A4/107/50 Acq. of land for Canal Const. work in Eklaspur and Tondihal Vgs Raichur Tq

56 A3/330/85-86 Acq. land in Bankal Kotekal Vg Gangavathi Tq. Raichur Dist for extension of T.B.C

57 A3/332/84 Acq. of land for const. of P.W.D Residential quarters at Mahalingapur Bagalkot

58 A3/341/81 Acq. of land in Buddini Vg Raichur for extension of R.D. Canal

59 A3/335/81 Acq. of land for extension of Rajolbanda Canal Mallapur Vg Gangavathi Tq

60 A3/333/81 A. Land for extension of Rajolbanda Canal Gondurvilli Vg Raichur Tq

61 [illegible]/36/82 Reg. of evacuating houses in submersible villages at T.B project

62 A[illegible]/178/81 Acq. of land for evacuation of T.B.P in village panel Kolgallu Vg Gangavathi Tq

63 A3/157/81 Acq. of land for T.B.P Mallapur Vg Gangavathi Tq Raichur Dist

[illegible] 133-31-59 Sanction of grant in aid to Raichur

[illegible] 382/83/84 Acq. of land for distribution No II [illegible] T.B Part [illegible] Vg Gangavathi [illegible]

37 Ag/257/56 Acq of land in Nuvampatty of Gangawati Raichur Dist. for distributary No 23 of T.B.C

38 Ag/326/56 Acq of land in Tangmarikal and vg Gangawati tq Raichur for dist. No 17 of T.B.C

39 Ag/290/56 Temp. acquisition of land in Munirabad vg for dist. No 2 of T.B. Canal Raichur

40 Ag/291/56 L.A in Gandhal vg Gangawati tq Raichur Dist

41 Ag/410/56 Acq of land for distributary No 2/7 at Hogari of Gangawati tq Raichur

42 Ag/412/56 Acq of land for T.B.P Canal at Mili No 78 at Sankal vg Gangawati tq Raichur Dist

43 Ag/411/56 Acq of land for distributary No 18/2 at Gangawati town tq Raichur District

44 Ag/423/56 Acq of land for diversion stream at Benkal Kallur of Gangawati tq Raichur

45 Ag/424/56 Acq of land for distributary at Hanvad of Gangawati tq Raichur Dist.

46 Ag/428/56 L.A in Rayarampet Kapal tq for development Rodhuda T.B. Project

47 Ag/1633/56 L.A in Alkeri vg Kopal for development Road under T.B.P.

48 Ag/452/56 Acq of land for constructing Alla Drop Road at Vinas vg of Bedar tq & District

49 Ag/462/56 Acq of land for T.B.P Canal at Melyan of Gangawathy tq Raichur District

50 Ag/467/56 Acq of land for distributary No 7 under T.B.P at Budhapur of Raichur tq & District

16 A/4/180/50 Acq Land for [illegible] of K.T.C. [illegible] Reservoir [illegible]

17 A4/202/50 Acq Land [illegible] by Ganganadi [illegible] R.

18 A4/92/50 A.L. for diversion of Stream in Gorur by Ganganadi Rauchuri

19. A3/193/50 Acq L for [illegible] in Bellipady [illegible]

20 A3/1533/55 Acq of Land in Egattur by Kuppel by Rauchuri for Modern Rice [illegible] for Bellipady T.B. Canal

21 A3/1532/55 Acq Land in Bellipady by Kuppel by Rauchuri for distributary Canal No 3 under T.B.

22 A3/408/55 Acq of [illegible] by Rauchuri

23. A3/406/55 Acq of Land in Kishkinda by Ganganadi by Rauchuri

A3/407/55 Acq of Land in Ganganadi by Ganganadi by Rauchuri

A3/1107/55 Acq of Land in [illegible] by Ganganadi by Rauchuri Outlet for extension of dist. No 19 of T.B. Canal

A3/1313/55 Temporary Acq of [illegible] in Kodachi by Rauchuri for distributary No 2 of T.B. Canal.

A3/248/55 Acq of Land in Ganganadi by Ganganadi for distributary No 14 of T.B. Canal

A3/[illegible] Acq of Land in Hosur Nagar Kuppel by Rauchuri

A3/[illegible]/56 Acq of Land in Budhabetta Kuppel by Rauchuri

[illegible] Kuppel by Rauchuri

" " " " Kestapur by " " " "

A3/167/55 " " " " Nelagi by " " " "

" " " " Hanagal by " " " "

A3/347/55 " " " " Kesarhalli by Ganganadi Rauchuri for Arrow pits.

A3/235/55 Acq of Land in Hosur by Ganganadi by Rauchuri for distributary No 21 of T.B. Canal

A3/[illegible] Acq of Land in [illegible] by Ganganadi Rauchuri for distributary No 14 of T.B. Canal

List of the closed Files received from the Rec & Spl Officer Hyderabad State, pertaining to the P.W.D.

S.No	File No	Subject
1	1176/A3/54	Land Acq. for Bricks Khinsat Municipal yr Koppal Raichur District
	A3/307/55-56	L. Acq in Gonthal vg Koppal tq Raichur Dist for village site for Rehabilitation under T.B.P
3.	A3/296/55-56	Acq of land in Belur vg Koppal tq Raichur Dist for Rehabilitation Road under T.B.P
4.	A3/295/55-56	Acq of Land in Budihal vg Koppal tq Raichur for Rehabilitation Road under T.B.P
5	A3/294/55-56	Acq of land in Maldapalli vg Koppal tq Raichur Dist for village site under T.B.P
6.	A3/293/55-56	Acq of land in Mallapalli vg Koppal tq Raichur Dist for rehabilitation Road
7.	A3/292/55-56	L.A in Dombarkelli vg Koppal tq Raichur Dist for rehabilitation Road under T.B.P
8	A/264/1/56	L.A for rehabilitation scheme under T.B.P
9.	A/240/1/56	L.A for power House Gulbarga District.
10	A/[illegible]/56	Cancellation of acquisition of S.No 135 in Gonthal vg Raichur Tq & Dist
11.	A/1/157/56	L.A for Construction of T.B Canal [illegible]
12	A/[illegible]/56	Cancellation of acquisition of Budlapur vg under Tungabhadra Project Raichur
13.	A/4/272/56	Temporary acquisition of land in Hosur vg Raichur
14.	A4/275/50	Acq of L for Rajolibanda Project in Bichal
15	A4/279/50	A.L in Jakar vg Manvi tq Raichur

Rev. Deptt. (Takari) 485

Four hundred and Eighty ~~five~~ closed files, as per enclosed list, received from the erstwhile Hyderabad State pertaining to the P.W.D, will be sent to them for recording.

Two copies of the list will be sent to the P.W.D along with the files and one copy will be retained in this Branch.

Submitted for orders.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO. 7621
23 AUG 1957
REVENUE DEPTT. & MISCELLANEOUS BRANCH

Under
24/8/57

24.8.57
W.S. R.D

U.S. (Food)

PWD

These files relate to M.I.S. Hence they may be transferred to M.I.S. for acknowledging receipt of the same and retaining a duplicate copy for their reference.

Wkea.
10/9
M.I.S.
10/9/57.

MYSORE GOVERNMENT SECRETARIAT
NO. 585
3 SEP 1957
PUBLIC WORKS BRANCH

Date 4/9/57
PUBLIC WORKS & ...

2318/57
6/9/57

Checker as ...
21.9.57

181 [illegible] D/22

182 A/1/[illegible]3

183 A/[illegible]

184 B/[illegible]/[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] Kumaon Division

T.B. P(Contd. [illegible] slab).

187 A/8/48

188 98/A-14/557.

189 A-17/VII/[illegible]/II

190 18/A/[illegible]

191 cabinet [illegible] comps.

192 P/[illegible]

193 P/[illegible]

194 P/57/[illegible]

195 P/57/[illegible]

Files and papers received from (10) former Hyderabad Government.

(1) 93/JB/1359 F.

(2) K/200/JB/720/T/54

(3) 99/con/Misc/55/ } closed files.

(4) 18/con/Misc/56 }

(5) 22/Bss/1955

(6) D.O. Letter No. 4511, d/- 31-10-56.

(7) JB/757/T/54

(8) 23/3/55/SII

(9) JB/791/Misc/54

(10) P-209

(.) [redacted]

(12) K/49/8/Appl/53.

Recd. on (one file) 200/1(5) 24/7/57.

Received from the Special Branch. P.W.D.

19.1.87

/Div/316/TBP/52
/15 f/1950
IB/299/T/55
IB/282 of 55F
IB/251/Misc/54
IB/350/Misc/54
IB/372/Misc/54
IB/216/Misc/55
IB/1149/Misc/52
) IB/446/50
) IB/472/Par/53
) IB/532 of 55F
IB/521/T/1950
) IB/492/TBP/54
) IB/752/of 55F
IB/735 /T/55
) IB/730/Misc/53
) IB/722/Misc 53
) IB/691/Misc/53
) IB/677/T/55
) IB/631/Par/53
) IB/587/T/55
) IB/560/T/55
) IB/547/Misc/55
) IB/525/T/50
) IB/753/TBP/53
) IB/752/TBP/54
) IB/982/LA/54
) IB/516/Misc/54
) IB/960/LA/54
) IB/278/T/54
) IB/719/T/54
) IB/834/T/55
) IB/918/LA/54
) IB/998/T/54
) IB/870/T/52
) IB/1002/Misc/52
) IB/(illegible)/T/55
) IB/1481/B/50
) IB/1495/Misc/52
) Misc/T/55
) 461/T/55
) 1153/of 58F
) IB/1450/Misc/55
) IB/714/T/54
) IB/759/of 58F
) IB/785/of 58F
) IB/823/of 55
) IB/806/T/55
) IB/794/T/50
) IB/583/T/54
) IB/9(illegible)/Par/54
53) IB/965/T/54
54) IB/518/B/1950
55) IB/953/T/54
56) IB/948/T/54
57) IB/524/Misc/53
58) IB/511/Misc/55
59) IB/1519/of 58F
60) IB/568/Par/54
61) IB/Bills/5/50
62) IB/Bills/4/50
63) IB/3/LA/55
64) IB/2/Bill/50
65) IB/3/Bills/50
66) IB/6/Misc/54
67) IB/12/T/55
68) IB/6/Misc/50
69) IB/15/Bill/51
70) IB/6/Misc/55
71) IB/63/T/54
72) IB/62/Misc/54
73) IB/54/51
74) IB/32/Misc/55
75) IB/21/Misc/54
76) IB/17 of 1359F
77) IB/88 of 1359F
78) IB/80/Par/53
79) IB/79/Par/53
80) IB/78/LA/54
81) IB/75/Par/53
82) IB/213/T/52
83) IB/176/Misc/53
84) IB/1521/TBP/53
85) IB/122/Par/53
86) IB/115/TBP/52
87) IB/117/T/55
88) IB/116/T/55
89) IB/109/T/53
90) IB/89 of 1359F
91) IB/281/Misc/55
92) IB/264/T/55
93) IB/336/Par/53
94) IB/349/TBP/54
95) IB/342/B/50
96) IB/118/Misc/52
97) IB/Div/333/TBP/52
98) IB/426/T/54
99) IB/690/T/50
100) IB/603/T/53
101) IB/Div/281/Bills/54
102) IB/40/Misc/5
103) IB/51/Misc/51
104) IB/Div/29/Tmp/51
105) IB/158/Misc/5
106) IB/365/Misc/53
107) A/13/50
108) IB/520/T/53
109) IB/Misc/72/50
110) 617 of 58F
111) IB/686/T/55
112) IB/159/T/55
113) IB/314 of 58F
114) IB/233 of 1358F
115) IB/226 of 1359F
116) IB/227 of 1359F
117) IB/228 of 1359
118) IB/235/of 1359
119) IB/239 of 1359F
120) IB/240 of 1359F
121) IB/241 of 1359F
122) IB/242 of 1359
123) IB/243 of 1359F
124) IB/244 of 1359
125) IB/245 of 1359
126) IB/251/59F
127) IB/259/of 1359
128) IB/285 of 1359F
129) IB/286 of 1359F
130) IB/287 of 1359F
131) IB/288 of 1359F
132) IB/293/59F
133) IB/295 of 1359F
134) IB/297 of 1359F
135) IB/298 of 1359F
136) IB/304 of 1359
137) IB/32 of 1359
138) IB/333 of 1359
139) IB/339 of 1359
140) IB/352 of 1359
141) IB/439/Bill/1950
142) IB/341 of 1359F
143) IB/519/Misc/54
144) IB/86/T/51
145) IB/368/Bill/59F
146) IB/10/Bills/51
147) IB/25 of 1359F
148) IB/67/T/55F
149) IB/688 of 58F
150) IB/311/T/59 55F
151) IB/516/Misc/52
152) IB/159/Misc/52 55
153) IB/215/LA/52
154) IB/61/M/54
155) IB/257/LA/52
156) IB/758/TBP/52
157) IB/31/Misc/52
158) IB/Div/24/TBP/52
159) IB/61/TBP/53
160) IB/Div/350/TBP/52
161) IB/Div/184/TBP/52
162) IB/Div/478/TBP/52
163) IB/258/Misc/54
164) IB/634/Misc/54
165) IB/52/Misc/54
166) IB/28/Misc/57
167) IB/35/Misc/5
168) IB/130/Misc/5
169) IB/361/Misc/5
170) IB/540 of 58F
171) IB/520/17/50
172) IB/245/T/52
173) IB/Div/542/TBP/
174) IB/500/T/54
175) IB/Div/283/TBP/
176) IB/Div/100/Tmp/
177) IB/67/TBP/52
178) IB/120/TBP/52
179) IB/Div/212/TBP/52
180) IB/504/T/50
181) IB/740 of 55F
182) IB/486/B of
183) IB/335/B of
184) IB/650/of 55
185) IB/143/51
186) 98/254/T/5
187) IB/756/TBP/
188) IB/445/TBP
189) IB/137/TBP
190) IB/54/TBP/5
191) IB/292/TBP/
192) IB/58/TBP/5
193) IB/291/TBP/5
194) IB/53/T/55
195) IB/353/TBP/
196) IB/651/TBP/5
197) IB/226/T/55
198) IB/DEU/13/Tm
199) IB/Div/7/Tmp
200) IB/Dev/31/TB
201) Dev/28/TB
202) Dev/12/TB
203) IB/42/Bills/
204) IB/Dev/20/TB
205) IB/489/Bills/5
206) IB/406/Bills/
207) IB/365/of 59
208) IB/324 of 58
209) IB/414 of 1359
210) IB/480 of 581
211) IB/771/TBP/5
212) IB/403/Misc/
213) IB/924/T/5
214) IB/849/T/55
215) IB/825/T/5
216) IB/75/T/55
217) IB/503/T/55
218) IB/322/Misc
219) IB/790/TBP/
220) IB/711/Misc
221) IB/217/Misc
222) IB/170/Mis
223) IB/190/T/
224) IB/315/T/
225) IB/515/T/5
226) IB/522/T/
227) IB/520/Misc
228) IB/(illegible)/Misc
229) IB/(illegible)/T/

IB/52/T/55
IB/35/T/50
IB/636/T/55
IB/587/T/55
IB/622/Music/55
IB/35/T/54
IB/463/T/54
IB/1069/T/54
IB/24/T/54
IB/340/B/52
IB/680/T/54
IB/603/T/54
IB/400/T/54
IB/1002/Pan/52
IB/1491/Pan/52
B/680/Pan/53
B/33/T/53
/T/55
/125/T/54
B/773/T/55
B/3/T/55
B/531/T/51
B/693/T/54
/61/T/55
B/2/T/55
B/655/T/55
B/4/T/55
/774/T/54
IB/307/Music/55
682/Music/55
22/T/55
IB/430/Music/55
IB/1113/Music/55
IB/711/Music/55
IB/325/Music/55
IB/236/T/135F
IB/2224/B of 55F
IB/302 of 58F
IB/613/B of 58F
IB/476 of 58F
IB/664/B of 58F
IB/1666/Music/56
IB/743/Music/56
IB/509/Music/56
IB/1107/Bills/52
IB/Div/111/TBP/52
IB/Div/23/TBP/57
IB/255/T/54
/107/M/55
/27/M/53
/23/M/53
/5/M/53
(Cont)/48/Pv/56
/Pv/199/Pv/54
/64/M/54
/52/M/54
/115/M/53
/Pv/161/Pv/55
/M/Cement/55
/180/M/54
/Pv/28/M/53

296) 111/M/52
297) IB/2/Bills/51
298) IB/9/B/51
299) IB/11/Bills/51
300) IB/553/T/53
301) IB/353/T/53
302) IB/115P/T/52
303) IB/434/T/53
304) IB/538/T/50
305) 529/T/50
306) IB/499/T/50
307) IB/457/P/1550
308) IB/520/T/52
309) IB/225/LA/52
310) IB/182/LA/53
311) IB/748/T/53
312) IB/611/T/53
313) 181/E/Sc/51
314) 24/BSS/Scales/54
315) 111/BSS/Scales/54
316) 4/BSS/Scales/54
317) 39/ESc/1553
318) 22/ESc/52
319) K/IB/25/Music/58
320) 13/E/Sc/51
321) 35/S/24/52
322) 6/1355F
323) 41/ESc/50
324) 30/E/Sc/50
325) 5/E/Sc/51
326) Enc/P/52/50-51
327) Enc/67/IB/48
328) 1152/48-49
329) P/1111/48-49
330) Enc/36/1548
331) K/65/IB/309/Bills/52
332) K/IB/31/Music/58
333) K/131/IB/301/T/55
334) K/1110/IB/592/T/52
335) K/82/IB/764/T/55
336) K/28/IB/34/T/50
337) K/56/GVD-3417/Pv/
338) K/71/IB/609/Music/52
339) K/46/IB/828/T/50
340) K/IB/19/Pan/58
341) 98/831/LA/58
342) 98/561/Pan/58
343) K/IB/2/Pan/58
344) 98/556/LA/50
345) 98/302/LA/50
346) Enc/36/50(98/470)
347) 98/256/of 1359F
348) 98/157 of 1355F
349) 98/511/T/50
350) 98/1933/of 1355F
351) 98/748/T/53
352) 98/269/T/52
353) 98/252/LA/52
354) 98/518/LA/52
355) 98/273/Pan/52
356) 98/258/LA/52
357) 98/437/Pan/52
358) 98/1443/Pan/52
359) 98/518/T/54
360) 98/675/T/55
361) 98/528/T/54
362) 98/233/T/55
363) 98/161/T/53

364) 98/557/T/50
365) 98/106/T/51
366) 98/148/T/51
367) 35/BSS/Scales/54
368) 55/BSS/Scales/54
369) 61/BSS/Scales/54
370) 179/E/Mus/50
371) 98/2-23/LA/55
372) 98/464/LA/55
373) 98/386/LA/55
374) 98/406/LA/52
375) 98/2-81/LA/52
376) 98/2-30/LA/52
377) 98/519/LA/52
378) 98/283 of 1355F
379) 98/102 of 1355F
380) 98/287/T/53
381) 98/52/T/51
382) 34/T/51
383) 56/T/51
384) 98/76/T/51
385) 67/T/51
386) 98/258/LA/52
387) 98/317 of 58F
388) 98/155/T/52
389) 98/301 of 58F
390) 98/958/T/54
391) 98/6/T/54
392) 98/552/T/52
393) 98/543/T/55
394) 98/536 of 58F
395) 98/527/T/50
396) 98/719/Music/58
397) 98/859/Music/56
398) 98/Dev/221/TBP/52
399) 98/125/Bills/52
400) 98/71/T/55
401) 98/132/T/55
402) 98/743/T/55
403) 98/99/T/52
404) 98/280/T/52
405) 98/143/T/55
406) 98/91/T/52
407) 98/415/T/52
408) 98/3/T/52
409) Com/Music/54
410) 22/Com/Music/55
411) 34/Com/Music/55
412) 48/Com/Music/55
413) 73/Com/Music/52
414) 16/1154
415) 65/E/1358F
416) P/101/53
417) Enc/77/1552
418) Enc/59/1948-49
419) P/55/48-49
420) 66/Com/Music/52
421) 161/Com/Music/52
422) 98/143/T/53
423) 98/516/T/52
424) 98/624/T/52
425) 98/364/T/52
426) 98/271/T/52

427) 98/1
428) 98/
429) 98/102/T
430) 98/182/T
431) 98/
432) 98/
433) 98/
434) 98/
435) 98/
436) 98/
437) 98/
438) 98/
439) 98/
440) 98/303/T/53
441) 98/207/T/53
442) 98/203/T/53
443) 98/22/T/53
444) 98/183/T/53
445) 98/174/T/
446) 98/519/T/
447) 98/461/T/
448) 98/460/T/53
449) 98/12/Bills/53
450) K/159/98/1031
451) K/190/98/1860/Mus
452) K/224/98/TBP
453) K/134/Sc/1558
454) K/62/1961683/T
455) K/199/98/Pan
456) K/152/1951/Mus
457) K/207/98/LA
458) K/1559/IB/1
459) K/174/IB/TB
460) K/4/96/Mus
461) K/1/221/Mu
462) K/161/98/TB
463) K/98/22/M
464) K/98/111/Mu
465) K/173/TBP
466) K/115/98/M
467) K/113/BSS/
468) K/113/BSS/T
469) 21/HYD/BLD
470) K/208/98/M
471) K/209/98/T
472) 98/833/T/53
473) 98/615/T/52
474) 98/Div/27/T
475) 98/Div/26/T
476) 98/18/TBP
477) 98/270/T/
478) 98/590/T/
479) 98/748/T/
480) 98/761/T/53
481) 98/140/T/53
482) 36/T/52/T/
483) 98/725/T/
484) 98/609/T/
485) 98/751/1
486) 98/282/Mu
487) 98/162/Mu
488) 98/371/4
489) 98/737/58
490) 98/620/Mu
491) 98/12/Gv
492) K/2/1/Hyd
493) 22/Mu/18
494) K/181/IB/
495) K/TB/723
496) K/1155/T
497) K/1156/

K/98/Claims/9B/Bills/52

149 K/125/9B/593/T/55

150 K/54/9B/321/T/55

151 K/74/9B/105/Misc/54

152. Loose file - unstitched & No. 37.

153. K/157/9B/Hydro/56

154 K/143/9B/524/T/55

155 K/58/9B/102/T/55

156 K/60/9B/659/T/55

157 K/65/9B/300/T/55 (with CF. & notes file)

158 K/33/9B/535/T/55

159 K/37/Elec/56.

160 K/73/9B/957/Misc/55

161 K/192/9B/Misc/56

(162) K/29/9B/478/T/57

163 K/53/9B/408/T/52

164 K/86/9B/479/T/55

165 K/127/9B/Misc/56.

166 K/233/9B/TBP/56

167. K/225/9B/TBP/56

168 K/60/B/Rai/56.

169 K/254/9B/TBP/56

170 K/221/TB/Pas/56.

K/177/9B/58/T/55

172. K/176/9B/457/T/55

173 K/9B/260/Mi[redacted]/56 (Together with file No 11/B/G/1

174. Agenda & Proceedings of Tunghladza Board.

175 80/Cou/Misc/54.

176 K/63/9B/145/T/55

177 Disposing Members Diaries (2)

178 K/54/9B/321/T/55

179 K/9B/8/Misc/56.

180 9B/494/T/55

181. K/143/9B/524/T/55

182. K/189/9B/LA/56

183. P.286.

184. 9B/136/471/M/55

185 P/35

186 P.116

187 P.205

188 P 202

189 P 197.

190 P 43

191 P 76.

x 192 P 203

193. PW-A/2 1949

Received the above files from the Supt. on 11.1.57.

[illegible]/TBP/54
[illegible]0/TBP/54
[illegible]0/TBP/54
[illegible]/332/TBP/54
[illegible]/3164/TBP/54
[illegible]/168/TBP/54
[illegible]/128/TBP/54
[illegible]/431/TBP/54
[illegible]/675/T/53
[illegible]/535/TBP/54
[illegible]/1304/TBP/54
[illegible]/243/TBP/54
[illegible]/1481/TBP/54
[illegible]/371/TBP/54
[illegible]/158/TBP/53
[illegible]/366/[illegible]/53
[illegible]/352/TBP/54
[illegible]/TBP/53
[illegible]/705/TBP/53
[illegible]/1114/TBP/53
[illegible]/686/TBP/53
[illegible]/TBP/54
[illegible]/TBP/53
[illegible]/TBP/53
[illegible]/TBP/53

List of [illegible] persons to Rajeli bunda [illegible] Scheme Koichin Dist. to be transferred to [illegible]

1	131/Min/54.	28	1B/620/M/54	55	1B/146/[illegible]
	1B/949/T/55	29	1B/155/M/54	56	1B/Dev/57
	1B/270/T/56	30	1B/423/TBP/54	57	1B/Dev/[illegible]
	1B/424/RDS/56	31	1B/692/TBP/54	58	1B/560/56
	1B/36/T/55	32	1B/16/TBP/54	59	1B/104/1B/59
	1B/376/RDS/56	33	1B/222/T/54	60	1B/492/59 56
	1B/517/T/54	34	1B/905/T/54	61	1B/38/M/55F
	1B/306/T/54	35	1B/639/T/54	62	1B/656/56
	1B/377/T/54	36	1B/467/T/54	63	1B/337/56
	1B/24/T/55	37	1B/570/T/54	64	1B/529/56
	1B/469/T/55	38	1B/798/T/54	65	4/T/[illegible]
	1B/285/T/55	39	1B/58/TBP/54	66	1B/700/[illegible]/56
	[illegible]/T/55	40	1B/[illegible]	67	1B/159/LA/56
	1B/22/T/55	41	1B/283/M/53	68	1B/2[illegible]/56
	1B/297/T/55	42	1B/195/M/53	69	1B/222/T/56
	1B/2[illegible]/T/55	43	1B/653/T/53	70	1B/499/RDS/56
	1B/298/T/55.	44	1B/448/T/53.	71	4/T/53/F
	1B/18/T/55	45	1B/220/T/53	72	1B/570/RDS/56.
	1B/251/T/55	46	1B/179/LA/53	73	K/169/98/[illegible]/56
	50/T/55	47	1B/418/T/53	74	T/1B/123/56.
	5/T/55	48	1B/244/T/52	75	K/231/16/TBP/56
	109/T/55	49	1B/234/T/52	76	K/43/16/[illegible]/56
	1/T/55	50	1B/423/T/52	77	K/6/4/8/Go/[illegible]
	73/T/54	51	1B/588/[illegible]	78	4/[illegible]
	[illegible]/T/54	52	1B/147/T/[illegible]	79	492/36/[illegible]
	[illegible]/56	53	1B/277/T/52	80	119/[illegible]
	[illegible]	54	1B/527/LA/52		